Case 2 11-cv-09514-PSG-JCG Document 27 Filed 04/04/12 Page 1 of 4 Page ID #:387

4534869.2/48277-00011

TO THE DISTRICT COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Plaintiffs Manwin Licensing International S.à.r.l. and Digital Playground, Inc. (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), Defendant Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers ("ICANN"), and Defendant ICM Registry, LLC ("ICM"), stipulate as follows through their undersigned counsel of record:

- 1. By Order dated February 15, 2012, the Court approved the parties' stipulation granting Defendants 60 days (or until April 17, 2012) to respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, filed on February 17, 2012. The parties stipulated so that they could have time to explore settlement.
- 2. The parties' settlement discussions have not yet finished. In order to complete those discussions, the parties stipulate to extend, by an additional about three weeks until May 8, 2012, the deadline for Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint.
- 3. ICANN and ICM currently intend to file motions in response to the First Amended Complaint on the May 8, 2012 deadline, if no settlement occurs before then.
- 4. Given the complexity of the issues involved in the First Amended Complaint, the parties believe having additional time to prepare briefing on the motions would be beneficial to the parties and the Court.
- 5. The parties therefore agree on the following briefing schedule for the motions:
- (a) Defendants shall respond to the First Amended Complaint by May 8, 2012.
- (b) Plaintiffs shall have 30 days up to and including June 8, 2012 to file opposition to the motions to be filed in response to the First Amended Complaint.

1	(c) ICM and ICANN shall have until June 29, 2012 to file replies		
2	in support of the motions.		
3	6. The parties request that motions be heard on or about July 30, 2012.		
4	7. No prior extensions of time have been requested or granted		
5	concerning the First Amended Complaint and the response to it, other than as		
6	described above.		
7	8. Neither the parties nor the Court will be prejudiced by an extension,		
8	because there are no pending pre-trial or other deadlines in this action.		
9	SO STIPULATED.		
10	2		
11	DATED: April \leq , 2012 THOMAS P. LAMBERT JEAN PIERRE NOGUES		
12	KEVIN E. GAUT MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP		
13			
14	By:		
15	Kevin E. Gaut Attorneys for Defendant		
16	Attorneys for Defendant		
17	DATED: April 3, 2012 JEFFREY A. LEVEE		
18	JONES DAY		
19			
20	By: lettrey A (ever 1 km		
21	Jeffrey A. WeVee Attorneys for Defendant Internet		
22	Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
Mitchell 28 Silberberg & Knupp LLP	STIPULATION ON BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR MOTIONS		
4534869 274	IN RESPONSE TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT		

1 2	DATED: April 3, 2012 AND: WILM & DO	REA WEISS JEFFRIES MER, CUTLER, PICKERING, HALE DRR LLP
3		
4	By: (andrea Wiry John'es / BMS
5	A A	ndrea Weiss Jeffices ttorneys for Defendant ICM Registry, LC
6	I.	LC
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
Mitchell 28	STERVIL ATION ON PRIEFFIC CO.	TEDM E POD MOTIONS
Knupp LLP 4534869.2/4	STIPULATION ON BRIEFING SCI IN RESPONSE TO FIRST AMI	ENDED COMPLAINT