



New Generic Top-Level Domains: Malicious Conduct

New York/London City

July 2009



Overview

- Potential for Addressing Malicious Conduct
 - ◆ Relationship to Trademark Protection
- Inputs on this Issue
- Overview of Key Inputs So Far



Placing “malicious conduct” in the overarching issues construct

- Our efforts focused on malicious conduct as criminal activity
 - ♦ Eg. Phishing to conduct financial fraud/identity theft, spread of malware/establishing botnets
- Distinct from trademark protection
 - ♦ Different processes for determination of what at issue and to authorize response
 - ♦ Certain remediation steps may address both issues – strengthen mechanisms for ensuring registrars and registrants can be contacted

3



Inputs on Malicious Conduct

- Comment on Applicant Guidebook v1 & v2
- Comment via Overarching Issues Wiki
- Relevant SSAC reports and recommendations (SAC 38 – Registrar Abuse Contact; forthcoming SSAC 41 – DNS Redirection and synthesized DNS responses)
- Outreach to Involved Groups
 - ♦ Anti-Phishing Working Group
 - ♦ Registry Internet Security Group
 - ♦ FIRST/CERT community
 - ♦ Banking/Finance Associations (BITS/FSR, ABA, FS-ISAC, FSTCC)
- Public Consultation at Sydney and through July/August

4



APWG Draft Input

- New threats
- Issues of Scale
- Long-Standing Issues

Final report expected; focus on remediation measures

5



Comment on APG v2 & Analysis

- Key Themes of Comment
 - ◆ Need to leverage outside expertise
 - ◆ Develop standardized remediation approaches
- Potential changes for implementation

6



Addressing Key Issues

- Addressing control of security-implicated new gTLDs
 - ♦ Understand appropriate standards for registrar/registrants in these TLDs & registry-level security/continuity practice
- Ensuring effective practices in all new gTLD operation
 - ♦ Implement DNSSec; prohibit wildcarding; remove glue records
- Ensuring ability to react to malicious conduct
 - ♦ WHOIS requirements and enhanced compliance
 - ♦ Registrar-level requirements such as abuse point of contact
 - ♦ Include approach as part of application/evaluation



Way Forward

- Continue consultations here and in NY, London, Hong Kong, Dubai
 - ♦ Discuss possible mitigation approaches
- Work with Banking/Finance on security-specific TLD concerns and mitigation approaches
- Develop appropriate mitigation processes and explanatory memoranda for AGB3

