

E-filing

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Ira P. Rothken (SBN 160029)
ROTHKEN LAW FIRM
1050 Northgate Drive, Suite 520
San Rafael, CA 94903
Tel: (415) 924-4250
Fax: (415) 924-2905
ipr@techfirm.com

Seth R. Lesser
LOCKS LAW FIRM, PLLC
110 East 55th Street
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (212) 838-3333
Fax: (212) 838-9760
srlesser@lockslaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

SYNCALOT, INC., a California corporation, and)	CASE NO: C-03-4378-MJJ
DAVID BLOOM, an individual, in individual)	
and representative capacities, on behalf of)	NOTICE OF DISMISSAL
themselves and all others similarly situated,)	
	Fed.R. Civ.P. Rule
Plaintiffs,)	41(a)(1)(i))
vs.)	
VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware corporation, THE)	_____
INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED)	
NAMES AND NUMBERS, a not-for-profit)	
California corporation, and the UNITED)	
STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE,)	
Defendants.)	

FILED

MAY 03 2004

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:
2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that plaintiffs SYNCALOT, INC. and DAVID
3 BLOOM hereby dismiss this action without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(i)
4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the declaration of Ira P. Rothken
5 attached hereto.¹
6

7 DATED: April 30, 2004

ROTHKEN LAW FIRM

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27


28

By: _____
Ira P. Rothken (SBN 160029)
1050 Northgate Drive
Suite 520
San Rafael, CA 94903
Tel: (415) 924-4250
Fax: (415) 924-2905
ira@techfirm.com
www.techfirm.com

LOCKS LAW FIRM, PLLC
Seth R. Lesser
110 East 55th Street
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (212) 838-3333
Fax: (212) 838-9760
srlesser@lockslaw.com
www.lockslaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IT IS SO ORDERED


MARTIN J. JENKINS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

5/2/2004
DATE

¹ As stated in 5-23 Moore's Federal Practice - Civil § 23.160, "...the 2003 amendments to Rule 23(e) intentionally resolved the debate in favor of limiting the courts' supervisory powers over dismissals and voluntary settlements to class actions in which a class has been certified."

43