



# ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: E4F9X-9V3C0

Registry Name: DNS.be vzw

gTLD: .brussels

Status: ICANN Review

Status Date: 2017-07-20 13:59:29

Print Date: 2017-07-20 13:59:42

## Proposed Service

Name of Proposed Service:

*Removal of add/renew/transfer grace periods from .vlaanderen and .brussels*

Technical description of Proposed Service:

*As we move the platform of .vlaanderen and .brussels from TLDbox (nic.at) to our own platform (DNS Belgium), we won't offer the following grace periods anymore:*

- Add grace*
- Renew grace*
- Transfer grace*

## Consultation

Please describe with specificity your consultations with the community, experts and or others. What were the quantity, nature and content of the consultations?:

*No specific consultations were made with the community or experts.*

a. If the registry is a sponsored TLD, what were the nature and content of these consultations with the sponsored TLD community?:

*Not a sponsored TLD*

b. Were consultations with gTLD registrars or the registrar constituency appropriate? Which registrars were consulted? What were the nature and content of the consultation?:

*All registrars were informed about the changes the new platform would bring. Two registrars were consulted during our alpha test phase, namely registrars bNamed.net and Hosting Concepts B.V. (Openprovider). They tested our system so we could reassure the changes we've made are acceptable. They pointed out that Auto-renew grace period is the only important grace period for them. That's why we will keep offering auto-renew grace periods for our registrars.*



# ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: E4F9X-9V3C0

Registry Name: DNS.be vzw

gTLD: .brussels

Status: ICANN Review

Status Date: 2017-07-20 13:59:29

Print Date: 2017-07-20 13:59:42

c. Were consultations with other constituency groups appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

*Not applicable*

d. Were consultations with end users appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

*All registrars were informed about the changes the new platform would bring. Two registrars were consulted during our alpha test phase, namely registrars bNamed.net and Hosting Concepts B.V. (Openprovider). They tested our system so we could reassure the changes we've made are acceptable. They pointed out that Auto-renew grace period is the only important grace period for them. That's why we will keep offering auto-renew grace periods for our registrars.*

e. Who would endorse the introduction of this service? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

*Not applicable*

f. Who would object the introduction of this service? What were(or would be) the nature and content of these consultations?:

*Not applicable*

## Timeline

Please describe the timeline for implementation of the proposed new registry service:

*On 1 October, the current system will be migrated from TLDbox (Nic.at) to the DNS Belgium platform. The changes will be applicable as of the 1st October after the transition has been completed.*

## Business Description

Describe how the Proposed Service will be offered:

*Our Registry system will have less grace periods than before. Only Redemption grace and Auto-renew grace period will*



# ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: E4F9X-9V3C0  
Registry Name: DNS.be vzw  
gTLD: .brussels  
Status: ICANN Review  
Status Date: 2017-07-20 13:59:29  
Print Date: 2017-07-20 13:59:42

*remain.*

Describe quality assurance plan or testing of Proposed Service:

*To guarantee the proper working of our system, we have tested each month, starting from November 2016, a migration of our current database on TLDbox to our new internal platform. This way, we could test the difference between the two systems in detail. On top of that, we have automated tests for the whole system that run every week.*

Please list any relevant RFCs or White Papers on the proposed service and explain how those papers are relevant.:

*RFC 3915*

## Contractual Provisions

List the relevant contractual provisions impacted by the Proposed Service:

*There are no relevant contractual provisions that are impacted by this change.*

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the reporting of data to ICANN:

*Reporting to ICANN, however, will be slightly adapted. In the per-registrar monthly reporting, transactions will be immediately counted in the month they occur for following transactions:*

- net-adds-1-yr to net-adds-10-yr (consequence of removal addPeriod)*
- net-renews-1-yr to net-renews-10-yr (consequence of removal renewPeriod)*
- transfer-gaining-successful (consequence of removal transferPeriod)*
- deleted-domains-grace will always be 0 (consequence of removal addPeriod)*
- deleted-domains-nograce will contain every delete transactions (consequence of removal addPeriod)*

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the Whois?:

*The WHOIS output won't show any of the above-mentioned grace periods.*

## Contract Amendments



# ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: E4F9X-9V3C0

Registry Name: DNS.be vzw

gTLD: .brussels

Status: ICANN Review

Status Date: 2017-07-20 13:59:29

Print Date: 2017-07-20 13:59:42

Please describe or provide the necessary contractual amendments for the proposed service:

*There are no contractual amendments needed for this change in service.*

## Benefits of Service

Describe the benefits of the Proposed Service:

*Simplification of the platform.*

## Competition

Do you believe your proposed new Registry Service would have any positive or negative effects on competition? If so, please explain.:

*This will have no effect on competition whatsoever.*

How would you define the markets in which your proposed Registry Service would compete?:

*Not applicable*

What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to your proposed Registry Service?:

*Not applicable*

In view of your status as a registry operator, would the introduction of your proposed Registry Service potentially impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete?:

*Not applicable*

Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed Registry Service? If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor, and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide.:



# ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: E4F9X-9V3C0

Registry Name: DNS.be vzw

gTLD: .brussels

Status: ICANN Review

Status Date: 2017-07-20 13:59:29

Print Date: 2017-07-20 13:59:42

*Not applicable*

Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please describe the communications.:

*There have been several communications already to make registrars aware of the coming changes. Registrars can as well already connect on our test system to fully test the new platform.*

- A delta document which listed the differences between the current and the new platform was created and communicated to the registrars*
- Presentations were held with registrars one on one to point out these differences*
- With the start of alpha and beta test phases, every registrar has received a communication with a link to the manuals of our new platform.*

Do you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please submit them with your application. (ICANN will keep the documents confidential).:

*No extra documents submitted*

## Security and Stability

Does the proposed service alter the storage and input of Registry Data?:

*The canceled grace periods aren't stored in the database anymore. We'll back-up history data of these grace periods from before the 1st of October 2017.*

Please explain how the proposed service will affect the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of responses to Internet servers or end systems:

*No impact from the proposed service on throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of responses to Internet servers or end systems.*

Have technical concerns been raised about the proposed service, and if so, how do you intend to address those concerns?:



# ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: E4F9X-9V3C0

Registry Name: DNS.be vzw

gTLD: .brussels

Status: ICANN Review

Status Date: 2017-07-20 13:59:29

Print Date: 2017-07-20 13:59:42

*No technical concerns have been raised about the proposed service.*

## Other Issues

Are there any Intellectual Property considerations raised by the Proposed Service:

*No*

Does the proposed service contain intellectual property exclusive to your gTLD registry?:

*No*

List Disclaimers provided to potential customers regarding the Proposed Service:

*No disclaimers provided for this proposed service.*

Any other relevant information to include with this request:

*No other relevant information included.*