

Addendum to Rebuttal of Reconsideration Request

I am now in receipt of the attachments to the reply to my reconsideration request and this is my updated rebuttal.

In ICANN's fervor to deny the request they disregarded the posted privacy policy and published private communications I had with ICANN staff. This was posted without my prior knowledge or permission.

A complaint was made to the posted privacy policy contact. There does not appear to be a dedicated privacy contact as stated in the posted privacy policy and the request was diverted to the office I complained about in the first place.

While ICANN took inordinate amounts of time to insert "sic" because I did not capitalize "whois," they did not rule of the bulk of my request. All they did was pick and choose items that they could deny while ignoring issues they did not feel like dealing with. The reply was designed to intimate me for complaining and the common ICANN practice of "wearing people down" by sending them down useless rat holes to deter them from raising issues in the future. I request that a legitimate response be provided to my reconsideration request that addresses the issues I raised.

Further, ICANN staff will not sign any of the communications and no individual will take responsibility for the reply I received. The staff is most likely too embarrassed to attach their personal name to these abusive communications.