with ICANN.

LAI-2891654v1

[PROPOSED] Default Judgment

	N.
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	ļ
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	ı
20	١
21	
22	,
23	,
24	
25	;
26	•

- Plaintiff ICANN is a not-for-profit public benefit corporation 2. organized under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in Marina del Rey, California.
- Defendant RegisterFly.Com, Inc. is a for-profit public corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in Miami Beach, Florida.
- Defendant UnifiedNames, Inc. is the parent company for 4. RegisterFly.Com, Inc. Defendant UnifiedNames, Inc. is a for-profit public corporation organized under the laws of the State of New Jersey with a separate principal place of business in Miami Beach, Florida.
- This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 5. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(2). The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and the dispute is between a citizen of California, ICANN, a citizen of Florida and Delaware, RegisterFly.Com, Inc., and a citizen of New Jersey and Florida, UnifiedNames, Inc.
- Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because a substantial part 6. of the events giving rise to the claims in this case occurred in this judicial district. Further, Section 5.6 of the RAA provides that jurisdiction and exclusive venue for all litigation concerning the RAA shall be in a court located in Los Angeles, California.
- Defendant RegisterFly.Com, Inc. served as an ICANN-accredited 7. registrar. On September 13, 2004, ICANN entered into a registrar accreditation agreement with "Top Class Names, Inc." On or around November 22, 2004, Top Class Names, Inc. filed a Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation with the State of Delaware, changing its name to RegisterFly.Com, Inc.
- RegisterFly was no longer following the appropriate domain name 8. registration process required under the RAA with ICANN, and RegisterFly appears to be in a state of complete disarray.

28

- 9. Neither ICANN nor RegisterFly has been excused from performance of its obligations under the RAA. ICANN is in full compliance with its contractual obligations under the RAA.
- 10. RegisterFly engaged in conduct violating multiple sections of the RAA and failed to cure the breaches within the fifteen working day cure period allowed for under Section 5.3 of the RAA.
- 11. Under Section 3.3 of the RAA, RegisterFly was required to maintain an interactive web page with daily updates concerning all active Registered Names sponsored by the Registrar. RegisterFly failed to maintain the continuous operability of its web page and failed to perform the required updates to the publicly available data in violation of Section 3.3.
- 12. Under Section 3.4 of the RAA, RegisterFly was required to maintain electronic records for each active Registered Name it sponsors in its registry. RegisterFly was also required to make those records available to ICANN for inspection at ICANN's request. Despite numerous requests for inspection by ICANN, RegisterFly refused to allow ICANN to inspect and audit the Data, in violation of the contract.
- 13. Section 3.6 of the RAA required RegisterFly to escrow with ICANN a complete copy of the Data for all names registered with RegisterFly. ICANN requested a complete copy of all RegisterFly registration Data on numerous occasions in February and March 2007. In violation of Section 3.6, RegisterFly failed to provide ICANN with this complete electronic copy within the timeframe required.
- 14. Under Section 4.1 of the RAA, RegisterFly was required to follow ICANN's Transfer Policy. In violation of this provision, RegisterFly ignored its customers' transfer requests. RegisterFly failed to effectuate transfers, failed to provide the necessary codes to facilitate transfers, and failed to unlock names to allow for transfers.

- Each of RegisterFly's breaches provides an independent ground for 15. termination of the RAA.
- Through these actions, RegisterFly breached its obligations under the 16. RAA by refusing to perform as required.
- ICANN has been damaged by RegisterFly's breaches, and RegisterFly's customers have been damaged by RegisterFly's breaches, which have prevented ICANN from protecting RegisterFly's customers (as the RAA specifically authorizes). RegisterFly's behavior has prevented ICANN from doing its job -- protecting the stability, integrity, and utility of the DNS on behalf of the global Internet community.
- ICANN provided RegisterFly all of the appropriate notifications of 18. breach of the RAA, RegisterFly's right to cure the breaches within fifteen working days, and the resulting notices of termination for failure to cure those breaches in the time allowed, as required under Section 5 of the RAA.
- RegisterFly continued to breach the RAA after the initiation of this 19. action, as it failed to pay accreditation fees to ICANN as required under Section 3.9 of the RAA. RegisterFly currently owes ICANN \$122,152.35 in unpaid accreditation fees invoiced after the filing of the Complaint in this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Judgment by Default be entered as follows:

- ICANN rightfully terminated RegisterFly's RAA; 1.
- That Defendants, their officers, agents, representatives, employees, 2. assigns, and all persons acting in concert or in privity with any of them are permanently enjoined from engaging in any further violations of the surviving terms of the RAA. These ongoing terms include, but are not limited to: obligations relating to the retention and maintenance of registration data; the timely payment of accreditation fees as invoiced; and respecting the termination of the ICANN Logo License Agreement;

27

28

3. The Defendants owe damages to ICANN in the amount of \$122,152.35 for the unpaid accreditation fees. Defendants are required to provide ICANN payment of the \$122,152.35 via wire transfer within ten (10) days of the entry of this Judgment; and

4. The entry of this Default Judgment in no way supersedes or otherwise alters the June 12, 2007 Permanent Injunction, or any other Order entered by this Court during the pendency of this action. Defendants are required to abide by all

orders of this Court, and this Court retains jurisdiction over the continued

IT IS SO ORDERED.

enforcement of all Orders in this matter.

Dated: September 24, 2007

The Honorable Manuel L. Real United States District Court Judge

[PROPOSED] Default Judgment

2

4 5

6 7

8

1011

12

14

13

16

15

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

## PROOF OF SERVICE BY PERSONAL DELIVERY

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Los Angeles County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 555 South Flower Street, Fiftieth Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071-2300. On September 12, 2007, I caused to be served the foregoing document:

## [PROPOSED] DEFAULT JUDGMENT

on the interested party by placing a true copy in envelope(s) addressed as follows:

Heather McCloskey, Esq. Ervin Cohen & Jessup LLP 9401 Wilshire Blvd., 9th Floor Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Attorney for Defendant, RegisterFly.Com, Inc.

I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand to the addressee(s).

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on September 12, 2007, at Los Angeles, California.

Elizabeth Tran