

US DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA (ALEXANDRIA)  
FILED  
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE 1:12 CV 00852-GBL-

2012 OCT 19 P 12:49

JFA

SCHREIBER

v  
DUNABIN

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA

CENTRAL NIC  
JENNY WHITE  
35-39 MOORGATE  
LONDON, UK  
EC2R 6AR

---

NETWORK SOLUTIONS  
NICHOLAS BIZER  
13861 SUNRISE VALLEY DR # 300  
HENDRON, VA  
20171

---

VERISIGN GRS, JAMES HUBLETT  
12061 BLUEMONT WAY  
RESTON, VA  
20190

---

ICANN LEGAL DEPT  
1101 NEW YORK AVE, NW #930  
WASHINGTON, DC  
20005

---

ENOM / DEMAND MEDIA  
LEGAL DEPT  
5808 LAKE WASHINGTON BLVD  
KIRKLAND, WA  
98033

US DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA (ALEXANDRIA)  
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE 1:12CV00852-GBL-JFA

SCHREIBER

v

DUNABIN

2012 OCT 19 P 12:49

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA

OF EXHIBITS  
NOTICE OF SUBMISSION TO COMPLAINT.

SUMMARY DOCUMENTS/EVIDENCE AS  
PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TO DEFENDANTS  
2/TWO, 3/THREE, 4/FOUR, 5/FIVE AND  
6/SIX.

THIS DOCUMENT WAS NOT SENT TO DEFENANT  
1/ONE; BECAUSE IT REPRESENTS THE  
THE COMMUNICATION BETWEEN 2+6, THAT  
SHOW'S "KNOWING".  
PER LOCHEN MARTIN + NETWORK SOLUTIONS  
CONTRIBUTORY INFRINGENT REQUIRES  
PROOF OF "KNOWING".

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I GRAHAM T. SCHREIBER CERTIFY THAT THESE PAGES WERE SENT, TO THE SAID DEFENDANTS, WITH THE NOTICE OF SUBMISSION TO COMPLAINT ORIGINALLY SENT, TO THE COMPANIES, WITH THE RELATED CONTACTS, INCLUDES ADDRESSES.


GRAHAM T. SCHREIBER  


EXHIBIT 1



Landcruise Canada  
95 Thorncliffe Park Drive, Suite 1211,  
Toronto, ONTARIO M4H 1L7 CA

THOMAS  
920-2655 → 2019

① INTERLOG.COM  
② DA91-ORG "NIC HANDLE"  
③ NS1 # 198-53-145-18  
Host NAME → NS1.INTERLOG.COM  
NS2 @ INTERLOG.COM  
# 207.34.202.6



Dear Account Holder,

## Congratulations!

And welcome to Network Solutions! By registering your Web Address(es), LANDCRUISE.COM with the dot com people™ from NETWORK SOLUTIONS, you have started the exciting process of establishing your presence on the World Wide Web.

We'd like to thank you for choosing the dot com people™. Since 1993 we have helped people and businesses register more than 3 million Web Addresses. We're looking forward to helping you make a name for yourself on the Internet.

Enclosed in this package are several important documents to help you manage your account with us. Please take a few minutes to look over these essential items:

- Web Address Customer Card (attached to this letter) lists your account information, including Network Solutions Account Number, Web Address(es) and passwords. You will need this information to make any changes to your account. Please keep it in a safe place.
- E-mail Customer Card (attached to this letter) lists your e-mail account information if you ordered Network Solutions' dot com mail™ service.

1-888-642-9675

Please remove and keep in a safe place.

.com  
.net  
.org

### Network Solutions™ RegistrationPlus™

NS Account No.: [redacted]  
Account Holder Password: [redacted]  
ISP Password: dcb681  
Web Address(es):  
LANDCRUISE.COM

Renewal Date: December 16, 2000  
Your Cost: \$119.00

### Network Solutions™ dot com mail

NS Account No.: [redacted]  
Account Holder Password: [redacted]  
Web Address(es) you can  
use with dot com mail:  
LANDCRUISE.COM

Renewal Date: December 16, 1999  
Your Cost: \$0.00

(over)

- **Service Agreement** sets forth the terms and conditions of the Web Address and e-mail account services provided by Network Solutions, Inc.
- **Web Address FAQs** answers the most Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) about your new Web address. If you have other designated "account contacts," you may want to share copies of these FAQs with them too.
- **Web Address Activation Worksheet** lists information you'll need to update your account if you didn't activate your Web Address with an Internet Service Provider (ISP) when you registered. Please complete the Worksheet when you select an ISP. You will need this information to activate your Web Address via the online Account Management link at [www.networksolutions.com](http://www.networksolutions.com).
- **Customer Survey Card** asks your evaluation of our service so far. Please take a few minutes to tell us how we're doing. We really appreciate your feedback!

As you may remember during the registration process, you were asked for contact and security information to protect the details of your registration. It is important that you keep this information up-to-date so that Network Solutions may notify you promptly if any issues develop concerning your new Web Address.

If you have any questions about your registration, please visit our Web site, [www.networksolutions.com](http://www.networksolutions.com), or call our Customer Service Group any time at 1-888-642-9675. Customers outside the US or Canada, please call 703-742-0914.

Our primary goal is to enable you to get the utmost benefit possible from your Web Address. To support this goal, Network Solutions will be introducing a series of products and services throughout the year to help you maximize the power of the Web. Our personalized e-mail service—**dot com mail™**— is just the beginning!

Thank you again for choosing the dot com people™ from NETWORK SOLUTIONS to help you make a name for yourself on the Internet.

Sincerely,



Douglas Wolford  
Senior Vice President, Marketing

**dot com mail™**

**Email Access Instructions:**

- **Web Access:**  
<http://mail.mynetworksolutions.com> (net or org)  
At LOGIN screen:  
1. Enter your e-mail name e.g. [jane@janesmith.com](mailto:jane@janesmith.com)  
2. Enter your e-mail password  
3. Click on Login  
**NOTE:** If you just press the Enter key, nothing will happen!  
If you make a mistake, click on CLEAR to start again.
- **POP (Post Office Protocol) Access:**  
1. Log in to [mail.mynetworksolutions.com](http://mail.mynetworksolutions.com) (net or org)  
2. [smtp.mynetworksolutions.com](mailto:smtp.mynetworksolutions.com) (net or org)

For more information, please visit [www.networksolutions.com](http://www.networksolutions.com)

**RegistrationPlus™**

**Questions?**

E-mail: [help@networksolutions.com](mailto:help@networksolutions.com)  
Customer Service: 1-888-642-9675  
(outside US & Canada, 703-742-0914)  
Address: Network Solutions®  
Customer Service  
P.O. Box 745  
Herndon, VA 20172-0745

NETWORK SOLUTIONS™  
P.O. Box 745 • Herndon, VA 20172-0745



HOME CUSTOMER SERVICE SEARCH & SITE INDEX HELP & FAQ

NEED HELP WITH YOUR ORDER? CLICK HERE

## Your Registration is Complete

This is your receipt and a record of your transaction.

Please print this page.

A Network Solutions Welcome Kit should arrive in your mailbox in the next few weeks. It includes instructions to help you manage your Network Solutions account and an ID card with your account number, password, and Web address(es).

ACCOUNT INFORMATION	
Account No.	Account Password
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	Internet Service Provider Password *
	dwb681

\* If you have an Internet Service Provider (or sign up with one), give them this password so they can change hosting information for your account.

### Purchase Summary

Your purchases will appear on your credit card as a line item from Network Solutions.

Item	Comment	Cost
LANDCRUISE.COM	Reservation	\$119.00
<b>Total:</b>		<b>\$119.00</b>

Don't Forget To Print This Page!

[CLICK TO CONTINUE](#)

Friday, March 18, 2011. ~ 1st letter to Defendant 1.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Landcruise Ltd.

**To:** info@landcruise.uk.com ; nominet@nominet.org.uk ;  
goldvip@networksolutions.com

**Sent:** Friday, March 18, 2011 11:21 AM

**Subject:** Global brand infraction with URL consisting of "Landcruise".

Hello Greg Wheeler. and Nominet & Networksolutions:

Greg; I'd like to request that you change the name of your **NEW** business to something akin to "Land Yacht" Caravan Vacations and cease and desist with the use of "LandCruise" clever as it is, it conflicts with "Landcruise" and is blatant plagiarism.

Landcruise Ltd; has been in the global market, since 1998, via Google, Yahoo, MSN, AOL, Bing and others. Subsequent to the new world order; related to communications & corporate branding, a ".COM" is the pinnacle, and trumps any municipal effort. Yes. The UK is 'municipal' in the context of the World being one, with the internet and a '.COM' being the dominant force, regardless of the principle domicile.

As you probably know; and used as a motivational factor, in your choice of company name, the above named search engine's don't delineate between the Land Cruise as spelled out, connectively, nor do they delineate Landcruise. In Google terms they are regarded as "2 variants" like motor home or motorhome, rentals or rental.

Our primary client pool is the United Kingdom; based on their historical interest in Canada & beyond, the exchange rate, along with our 'cheap' petrol prices and ... we have a connection with Landcruise of BOAC, some 40 years ago.

You may have visited the website of Drive Travel & Motorhomes Worldwide, based in Australia, which are owned by Tony Wilson. Tony was also engaged with the original BOAC Landcruise and he, with professional etiquette, built his very successful firm with a name which bears no resemblance to his past, as we have it, globally.

No doubt you learned of our company; having received a brochure / flyer, from one of the many Caravan & Travel related shows we've attended, or presented our brand, Landcruise, at in the UK then researched our brands position online and felt like imitating it.

In closing; I'd request that you kindly respect our global brand and do a remarketing / rebranding of your firm, globally, and within the municipality of the UK.

As an overture of goodwill; I'd be pleased to meet you, on my next trip to the UK and will consider channeling my clientele towards your **MARKETING** firm, under a new brand image, as you work from home, in the serene English Countryside. Google link, to your HOME >> [http://maps.google.ca/maps?hl=en&q=1+Chalder+Farm+Cottages&ie=UTF8&hq=1+Chalder+Farm+Cottages&hnear=&radius=15000&ll=50.786153,-0.780997&spn=0.0.019205&z=16&layer=c&cbll=50.786153,-0.780997&panoid=NnttYa\\_GZvYUiU22lb9bLg&cbp=12.33.89..0.5](http://maps.google.ca/maps?hl=en&q=1+Chalder+Farm+Cottages&ie=UTF8&hq=1+Chalder+Farm+Cottages&hnear=&radius=15000&ll=50.786153,-0.780997&spn=0.0.019205&z=16&layer=c&cbll=50.786153,-0.780997&panoid=NnttYa_GZvYUiU22lb9bLg&cbp=12.33.89..0.5)


I appreciate that you'll be a gentleman; do the right thing and that we can work together.

Sincerely,



Friday, March 18, 2011. ~ 1st letter to Defendant 1.

Graham Schreiber, President.  
Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)  
416 803 4678.



Domain name:  
[landcruise.co.uk](http://landcruise.co.uk)

Registrant:  
Greg Wheeler

Registrant type:  
UK Individual

Registrant's address:  
12, Bleaches Court  
Lavant  
Chichester  
West Sussex  
PO18 0FE  
United Kingdom

Registrar:  
eUKhost Ltd t/a eUKhost LTD [Tag = EUKHOST]  
URL: <http://www.eukhost.com>

Relevant dates:  
Registered on: 12-Jan-2010  
Renewal date: 12-Jan-2012  
Last updated: 07-Apr-2010

Friday, March 18, 2011. ~ 2nd letter.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [Landcruise Ltd.](#)  
**To:** [info@landcruise.uk.com](mailto:info@landcruise.uk.com)  
**Cc:** [lesley.cowley@nominet.org.uk](mailto:lesley.cowley@nominet.org.uk)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 18, 2011 3:49 PM  
**Subject:** Fw: Global brand infraction with URL consisting of "Landcruise".

Hi Greg: Cc [lesley.cowley@nominet.org.uk](mailto:lesley.cowley@nominet.org.uk)

Good to chat with you this evening; you seem like a reasonable fellow and I look forward to receiving your reply, as you've contracted an opinion.

We had a visit from an ... [Andrew-Wheeler.demon.co.uk](http://Andrew-Wheeler.demon.co.uk); at Landcruise Ltd, who I guessed maybe you, so I figured I'd ring again to chat.

Having just searched Google; I see an Andrew Wheeler at "Seven" or of the law firm "7 Bedford Row". Coincidentally he has the same surname as yourself, is UK based and ... who I see too be a fairly well skilled solicitor, so I'm both bemused and amused, by the coincidence.

I'm sure your having good council in your court; that you've been informed that, the Internet is a global environment and encroaching a brand online, will be found out.

During our short chat; You stated that: "You simply derive your client base from within the community you live, and the surrounding area." As such; I'd suggest that posting flyers & leaflets, at local Churches, Schools, Rotary Club's, the local Chamber of Commerce, etc, might be a better route, should you desire to be a Land Cruise, masquerading as Landcruise.

Based on this profound admission; I'm lead to believe that your action & intent, was and is, too mislead the repeat clientele and the referral prospects, of "Landcruise.com" who are residing not just within the UK, but beyond in the EU, North America & all the other world markets, into a false security, when purchasing a motor home hire, with You, in Southern England.

Such blatant intent to misrepresent a brand; using a Landcruise named URL, with an extension of ".co.uk" will trick our firms repeat clients & referred prospects, into a false sense of comfort and commercial security, so they'll believe, that their purchase is sound, and will carry the high integrity of the firm established in 1998 as "Landcruise.com".

We are the global brand; and perhaps you are 'legal' as a Landcruise, in the UK, but you are a business who is knowingly presenting a fraudulent pretence.

You ARE NOT part of a significantly larger "Landcruise" group, under direction of our head office, here in Canada, who is "Landcruise" and you, simply stated, are not in our network, nor authorized to represent yourself as us, or anything remotely similar, in any global forum.

I believe that I've been honest with you and would like you to change your ... new businesses ... name to something else, not similar to Landcruise.

In good faith; I'll buy-out the URL names you have, which are possessing the word "Landcruise" so that you'll not be out of pocket, for the remaining time name(s) are registered, with Nominet, in the UK, "AS AT" Today, in the event that you proceed to purchase the URL(s) for longer, from Nominet, which will be a sign of intent to fraud.


The payment will only be equal to the market fee, of Nominet, and prorated, for the time reaming. And; I'll take over your incorporated UK name, place it under our ownership, at Landcruise Ltd, and arrange for the required foreign ownership documents of a UK based 'Ltd' company.

Friday, March 18, 2011. ~ 2nd letter.

I anticipate that you'll see the merit in cooperation and not continue to mislead the global public.

Sincerely,

Graham Schreiber, President, [Landcruise.com](http://Landcruise.com)



----- Original Message -----

**From:** [Landcruise Ltd.](http://Landcruise Ltd.)

**To:** [info@landcruise.uk.com](mailto:info@landcruise.uk.com)

**Sent:** Friday, March 18, 2011 11:28 AM

**Subject:** Fw: Global brand infraction with URL consisting of "Landcruise".

Hi Greg:

I just rang your home office; and your intricate telephone system was unable to connect us, as I wanted to have a chat with you, in connection with below.

You can reach me at 416.803.4678. or I'm on Skype at Landcruise International Ltd.

Cheer's Graham.

From: Quotes <canada2006@landcruise.com>  
Subject: wiley.co.uk > Consumer Markets Manager ...  
Date: 8 January, 2012 2:02:38 PM EST  
To: lorraine@landcruise.uk.com, info@gregwheeler.co.uk  
Cc: Tim Dalby <Tim.Dalby@nominet.org.uk>, Nick Phillips <nickphillips@barlowrobbins.com>

Hi Lorraine & Greg: CC Tim & Nick:

Having now proven Lorraine Dunabin to be somewhat challenged; when it comes to the presentation of "facts" in a **judicial inquiry**, with Nominet, under the governance of ICANN (Branch of: The United States Department of Commerce.) I'm turning my journalistic research talents, into character research, in order to determine the origins of her great, *experience*, in commerce & life.

Well I've discovered how; or a portion of how, you became such an expert on **brand development!** Lorraine <> "Our years of experience in a number of different industries that have given us the background to come up with our own ideas and manage our own business:"

Please see ... "Wiley has also formed a new consumer markets group based in Chichester to **focus on brand and sales development**. Under **Lorraine Dunabin, consumer markets manager, it will concentrate on building brands and promotions**, as well as non-trade sales." >> Source: <http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:YPIWwRdSdhoJ/www.allbusiness.com/retail-trade/miscellaneous-retail-miscellaneous/4658193-1.html+lorrain+dunabin&cd=9&hl=en&ct=clnk&gl=ca&client=safari&sz=111NkkHq> << The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England. Telephone: 44.1243.779777, Facsimile: 44.1243.775878, Email: [customer@wiley.co.uk](mailto:customer@wiley.co.uk)

Lorraine <> "he has accused us of blatant plagiarism, an intent to capitalise on the reputation of his Company – LandCruise Ltd. Established in 1998 – and of an abusive registration of [landcruise.co.uk](http://www.landcruise.co.uk) . I would like this opportunity to show you as best I can that:

1. **The brand name of our company was devised by us with no knowledge of any other motorhome hire company operating under this name** <> Have you had a chance as of yet to read the "Whoson" document sent? "WhosOn: Automated Daily Report For Wednesday, November 17, 2010 For Site [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)"

Another piece of information I sent to Nominet; or CentralNic (or both) was related to "LinkedIn" and how with such exuberance you trumpeted, your new enterprise, there with a published profile, then curiously, given your position of confidence & zeal, in "Your Brand" that you had, then retracted this same page or profile from this, Global Networking Service!

Cheers, Graham.

# ICANN

---

## DNSO Constituency Groups: Additional Constituency Organizing Efforts

---

After the seven Initial DNSO Constituencies specified in the ICANN Bylaws are recognized, the ICANN Board may consider recognizing additional Constituencies.

The ICANN Bylaws state that:

"[a]ny group of individuals or entities may petition the Board for recognition as a new or separate Constituency. Any such petition will be posted for public comment pursuant to Article III, Section 3. The Board may create new Constituencies in response to such a petition, or on its own motion, if it determines that such action would serve the purposes of the Corporation."

Information about additional Constituency organization efforts will be posted here as it is made available. Such submissions should be made via email to [constituencies@icann.org](mailto:constituencies@icann.org).

---

### Additional Constituency Proposals

**IMPORTANT NOTICE** - The statements below are provided by individuals and organizations working to self-organize DNSO Constituency Groups. They are posted here to assist the self-organization process and to solicit public comment. They have not been approved by ICANN and their posting here does not indicate that the groups under formation will necessarily be officially recognized by the ICANN Board of Directors. Please submit comments regarding ICANN DNSO Constituencies to [comment-dnso@icann.org](mailto:comment-dnso@icann.org). All comments will be posted on the ICANN website at <http://www.icann.org/comments-mail/comment-dnso/maillist.html> for public review and response. (If your comment is not posted within 12 hours of its submission, please contact [webmaster@icann.org](mailto:webmaster@icann.org).)

---

### INDIVIDUAL DOMAIN NAME OWNERS

Submitted by Joop Teernstra ([terastra@terabytz.co.nz](mailto:terastra@terabytz.co.nz)), April 21, 1999. Last updated August 4, 1999.

The Cyberspace Association .  
Proposal for a constituency for Individual Domain Name Owners.  
For details, please see <http://www.democracy.org.nz/idno/>.



## PRIVATE REGISTRIES

Submitted by Stephen Dyer (steve@uk.com), May 21, 1999. Last updated May 21, 1999.

Private Registries Constituency Group.

This proposal is submitted by Centralnic Ltd and NomiNation Ltd - both private registries.

A Private Registry provides registration and DNS service for any domain or sub-domain at any level in the domain name hierarchy. For example in the UK NomiNation Ltd provides a namespace below uk.com and various other similar namespaces.

Such namespaces are often competitive to ccTLD's, especially in countries where local regulations, pricing or political situations limit the availability of the ccTLD.

It is of importance to the orderly administration of the namespace as a whole and the universal application of policies promulgated by ICANN, WIPO and other organisations that a constituency exists for the recognition and participation of this significant and growing community of registrars.

To participate in this constituency organizing effort, please contact either:

Stephen Dyer of CentralNic Ltd, [steve@uk.com](mailto:steve@uk.com)  
163 New King's Road, London, SW6 4SN, UK  
+44 171 371 8558

Susan Malec of NomiNation Ltd - [susan@nomination.net](mailto:susan@nomination.net)  
+44 207 384 3050

Stephen Dyer  
Chairman  
CentralNic Ltd

[Constituency Groups](#)

[DNSO](#)

[Supporting Organizations](#)

[ICANN Home](#)

ICANN/DNSO

DNSO Mailling lists archives

**[registrars]**

<<< Chronological Index >>> <<< Thread Index >>>

**Re: [registrars] And the future registry operator for .ORG is ????**

- *To:* "Nikolaj Nyholm" <nikolajn@ascio.com>, <registrars@dnso.org>
- *Subject:* Re: [registrars] And the future registry operator for .ORG is ????
- *From:* "Ketu Patel" <ketan@neteka.com>
- *Date:* Wed, 19 Jun 2002 10:51:17 -0400
- *References:*  
   <2F15A97500CFA0469C9BACC2041F8AC7021F89BB@aries.dk.speednames.com>
- *Reply-To:* "Ketu Patel" <ketu@neteka.com>
- *Sender:* owner-registrars@dnso.org

FYI.... CentralNIC is a second level registry operator (eu.com, de.com.....and so on)

here's the link to their homepage

<http://www.centralnic.com/>

- Ketu

----- Original Message -----

From: "Nikolaj Nyholm" <nikolajn@ascio.com>

To: <registrars@dnso.org>

Sent: June 19, 2002 7:03 AM

Subject: RE: [registrars] And the future registry operator for .ORG is ????

> a couple of quick notes from my review this morning...

>

> /n

>

> -----

> Interesting group of companies -- the only three with backing in the civic  
> society seem to be ISOC/Afilias, GNR/Red Cross and UIA/Verisign.

>

> The rest seem like organisations made up for the purpose of the bid.

>

> Register.com has two applications -- The DotOrg Foundation and Register  
> ORGANization, Inc..

> They've registered dotorgfoundation.org in the name of Seth Jacoby, a

> register.com employee, and registerorganization.org in the name of a

> RegistryPro employee.

>

> theorgfoundation.org has been registered in the name of one of eNom's  
> employees.

> unityregistry.org is listed with Poptel as registrant.

> > -----Original Message-----

> > From: Ross Wm. Rader [mailto:ross@tu cows.com]

> > Sent: 19. juni 2002 12:45

> > To: Michael D. Palage; registrars@dnso.org

> > Cc: discuss-list@opensrs.org

> > Subject: Re: [registrars] And the future registry operator

> > for .ORG is ????

> > Couple of things - I thought it was "nice" that the  
> > Register.com bid page  
> > forced a Gator installation down my throat (ick). Second, It was my  
> > impression that part of this exercise was to move dotORG out  
> > of VGRS - but,

> > they are behind two of the bids. Is this a PR exercise or?

> > Lastly, isn't

> > Registry Advantage the same thing as Register.com/Registry Pro?

> > Keeping track of the players is becoming increasingly difficult ;)

> > Thanks,

> > -rwr

> > ----- Original Message -----

> > From: "Michael D. Palage" <michael@palage.com>

> > To: <registrars@dnso.org>

> > Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2002 2:18 AM

> > Subject: [registrars] And the future registry operator for

> > .ORG is ????

> > > <http://www.icann.org/tlds/org/applications-received-18jun02.htm>

---

• **References:**

- RE: [registrars] And the future registry operator for .ORG is ????

- *From:* Nikolaj Nyholm <nikolajn@ascio.com>

---

<<< [Chronological Index](#) >>> <<< [Thread Index](#) >>>

---



ICANN/DNSO

DNSO Mailing lists archives

**[nc-udrp]**

---

[<<< Chronological Index >>>](#)   [<<< Thread Index >>>](#)

---

**[nc-udrp] Follow-up from WIPO on .uk.com and similar extensions**

- *To:* "NC UDRP" <[nc-udrp@dnso.org](mailto:nc-udrp@dnso.org)>
- *Subject:* [nc-udrp] Follow-up from WIPO on .uk.com and similar extensions
- *From:* "Philip Sheppard" <[philip.sheppard@aim.be](mailto:philip.sheppard@aim.be)>
- *Date:* Wed, 11 Dec 2002 15:42:53 +0100
- *Organization:* AIM
- *Sender:* [owner-nc-udrp@dnso.org](mailto:owner-nc-udrp@dnso.org)

---

Forwarded from the Business Constituency mail list:

Please see below, a response from the Head of Legal Development at WIPO, Mr Christian Wichard, pertaining to the abovementioned extensions and the UDRP's applicability to these extensions:-

In the "Terms and Conditions" of CentralNic, the company offering this kind of registrations, Clause 14 states: "CentralNic may at its sole option, cancel the registration or suspend registration of the domain name if a. ordered to do so by a court of competent jurisdiction; b. following the ICANN Uniform-Domain-Name-Dispute-Resolution-Policy the name has been judged to infringe the trademark or other intellectual property of the complainant; c. the use of the domain name is illegal; d. if there is a breach of these terms and conditions; including without limitation, clause 1; e. the continued use of a domain name could cause technical problems on the Internet."

In two recent decisions, WIPO Panelists have found that the UDRP does not apply to domain names registered under these (or similar) terms and conditions.

The decision, rendered in case No. D2001-1484 (<http://arbiter.wipo.int/domains/decisions/html/2001/d2001-1484.html>), concerned a third level domain name registered in ".uk.com". In that decision, the Panelist found as follows:

"The Panel questions whether the new/current CentralNic terms and conditions properly and effectively incorporate the Policy. There appears to be no express incorporation of the Policy...The Panel has not been shown the terms of the agreement(s) between CentralNic and ICANN and/or the registrar for the '.uk.com' domain names, but it may be that the only entity properly subject to the Policy in respect of the '.uk.com' domain names is CentralNic itself."

The Panel therefore found that it had no jurisdiction to hear the Complaint insofar as the "uk.com" domain names were concerned. The panel emphasized,

however, that it did "not seek to circumscribe how a future panel might approach the issue."

In case No D2002-0895  
(<http://arbiter.wipo.int/domains/decisions/html/2002/d2002-0895.html>),  
involving a ".eu.com" domain name, the Panel held

"There is, however, nowhere in the Terms and Conditions...any explicit reference to the Policy nor to any obligation to submit to a mandatory administrative proceeding; on the contrary, the reference to the exclusive jurisdiction of the English courts seems to point to the contrary. Furthermore, the wording of the clause...seems to mean that the Registrar has the option to follow a decision or not, and in any case there is no mention of any possibility to transfer a domain name to a complainant, and, further, reference is made only to "infringement" of a trademark and not to the type of finding that Paragraph 4 of the Policy is concerned with...This lack of legal clarity about the applicability of the Policy and about the resulting obligation for Respondent to submit to a mandatory administrative proceeding is a particularly serious matter in the context of the simplified written administrative procedure under the Policy, which, in the view of the Panel, must have a clear legal basis...In view of those considerations the Panel must - regrettably and reluctantly - come to the conclusion that it has not been established that the Policy applies to the domain name at issue and that, consequently, it has not been established that the Panel is competent to consider the case. The Panel therefore has no choice but to dismiss the Complaint."

Since CentralNic does not seem to have revised its terms and conditions, the above considerations would still seem to apply. Even though future panels would not be bound by the above considerations, they are likely to follow them as a precedent in similar cases.

I suggest that you contact CentralNic (<http://www.centralnic.com/>), itself regarding the application of any dispute resolution mechanism referred to in their "Terms and Conditions".

Kind Regards,  
Samantha

Samantha Frida  
Domain Name Attorney

Philips Intellectual Property & Standards

---

[<<< Chronological Index >>>](#)   [<<< Thread Index >>>](#)

---

ICANN/DNSO

DNSO Mailling lists archives

**[ga-full]**

<<< Chronological Index >>> <<< Thread Index >>>

**Re: [ga] ICANN conflict of interest policy and spousal employment**

- *To:* t byfield <tbyfield@panix.com>, DNSO General Assembly <ga@dnso.org>
- *Subject:* Re: [ga] ICANN conflict of interest policy and spousal employment
- *From:* Gary Osbourne <gro@direct.ca>
- *Date:* Mon, 13 May 2002 22:01:01 -0700
- *Cc:* Milton Mueller <mueller@syr.edu>
- *In-Reply-To:* <20020514022305.GA27160@panix.com>
- *Sender:* owner-ga-full@dnso.org

At 10:23 PM 13/05/02 -0400, t byfield forwarded:

>From: "Milton Mueller" <Mueller@syr.edu>

>  
>According to the transcript, the Board member who pushed the  
>Board away from non-profit was Robt. Blokzijl. See the transcript at  
><http://www.icann.org/accra/captioning-afternoon-14mar02.htm>

>  
>And guess what? Mr. Blokzijl has a special relationship to  
>Neulevel. His wife was hired by Neulevel a few months after  
>they were married. Lynn Blokzijl is the Neulevel representative  
>in the Netherlands.

>  
>Even if this is all "coincidence," it doesn't make ICANN look  
>very good. Mr Blokzijl had better recuse himself from the .org  
>redelegation discussion, decision and all other aspects of the  
>process.

Arguably he should have recused himself prior to the .org discussion, given the potential conflict. Now that this has come to light, in most civilized countries he would resign. But that is if one is an elected official, and one is civilized. He can't claim ignorance of the need for recusal as he was one of those who did so regarding the new gTLDs:  
<http://www.icann.org/tlds/tld-review-update-01nov00.htm#AvoidingConflictsOfInterest>

Hmmm, Centralnic. They sell ccTLD/gTLDs:  
<http://www.centralnic.com/page.php?cid=57>  
By special appointment of Jon Postel no less:  
<http://www.centralnic.com/page.php?cid=32>

One wonders idly if Blokzijl recused himself from discussions about reserving two letter sLDs in new TLDs (and in the .org redelegation).

I don't suppose it would be in order for a motion for the GA to come up with a new marketing slogan for ICANN. Too bad. My vote would go to: ICANN - Not juat a spectacle, we're THE bad optics corporation. -g

--

This message was passed to you via the ga-full@dnso.org list. Send mail to majordomo@dnso.org to unsubscribe ("unsubscribe ga-full" in the body of the message). Archives at <http://www.dnso.org/archives.html>

---

• **Follow-Ups:**

- [Re: \[ga\] ICANN conflict of interest policy and spousal employment](#)
  - *From:* Jeff Williams <jwkckidl@ix.netcom.com>
- [RE: \[ga\] ICANN conflict of interest policy and spousal employment](#)
  - *From:* Joanna Lane <jo-uk@rcn.com>

• **References:**

- [\[ga\] ICANN conflict of interest policy and spousal employment](#)
  - *From:* t byfield <tbyfield@panix.com>

---

[<<< Chronological Index >>>](#)   [<<< Thread Index >>>](#)

---

ICANN/DNSO

DNSO Mailing lists archives

**[nc-udrp]**

---

[<<< Chronological Index >>>](#)   [<<< Thread Index >>>](#)

---

**Re: [nc-udrp]uk.com extension**

- *Subject:* Re: [nc-udrp]uk.com extension
- *From:* "Harold J. Feld" <hfeld@mediaaccess.org>
- *Date:* Tue, 10 Dec 2002 12:21:24 -0500
- *CC:* UDRP Task Force <nc-udrp@dnso.org>, owner-nc-udrp@dnso.org
- *References:* <OF3A62009B.399FF047-ON85256C8B.000D2085@verizon.com>
- *Sender:* owner-nc-udrp@dnso.org
- *User-Agent:* Mozilla/5.0 (Windows; U; Win98; en-US; rv:0.9.4) Gecko/20011019 Netscape6/6.2

---

It seems to me ill advised to make policy based on a single case. To the extent that this may represent some kind of trend, then it should perhaps be noted in passing as a development which bears further observation.

Furthermore, even if a problem exists, and it is sufficiently widespread to warrant a change in a policy of general applicability, then the costs of any solution must be equally considered. The initial work of this TF in analyzing successes and problems of application of the UDRP to second level domains will provide necessary information for even the consideration of issues in the third level domains.

Finally, I observe that this is an area that potentially implicates policy areas staked out by the GAC and sovereign governments on the use of two and three letter country codes in gTLDs. While UK is not, I believe, an official country code on the ISO 3166-1 list, the controversies surrounding this particular area of naming appear to me a dangerous diversion for the TF.

As an aside, I'm not sure whether a significant problem could exist in this circumstance. The theory of cybersquatting is based on consumer confusion -- the cybersquatter misdirects the user with an identical or confusingly similar name. The .uk commercial second level is ".co". I can understand a consumer potentially typing "name.uk.co" instead of "name.co.uk" But to invert the ccTLD and add the .com extension? This seems to me very unlikely to occur -- even to the novice internet user.

I presume that even browsers (if there are any) that still automatically append ".com" to entries without a TLD do not do so if there is a valid TLD extension (".uk").

Harold

sarah.b.deutsch@verizon.com wrote:

>  
> All,  
>  
> I'd like to alert the Task Force to a new issue that has been discussed  
> within the BC concerning infringement problems arising in the .uk.com  
> extension. Currently, neither the UDRP nor Nominet provide any form of  
> alternative dispute resolution for this extension, which has, not  
> surprisingly, resulted in a host of new infringement problems for owners of  
> well known marks. Apparently, the UDRP does not currently cover any domain  
> name beyond second-level domains ( e.g. Aventis.eu.com WIPO case).  
> CentralNic, the Registry for the .uk.com extension, is now stuck acting as  
> a "neutral third party" in the middle of third party disputes, but I  
> believe they would like a fast and easy way out of this situation. I  
> would like to propose that the Task Force engage in further discussion on  
> how the UDRP can potentially address this problem.  
>  
> Sarah  
>  
>  
> Sarah B. Deutsch  
> Vice President & Associate General Counsel  
> Verizon Communications  
> Phone: 703-351-3044  
> Fax: 703-351-3670  
> sarah.b.deutsch@verizon.com  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>

- 
- **References:**
    - **Re: [nc-udrp]uk.com extension**
      - *From: sarah.b.deutsch@verizon.com*

---

[<<< Chronological Index >>>](#)   [<<< Thread Index >>>](#)

---

Acceptable Use Policy Version 1.9  
Site Terms of Use and DMCA Claims  
Service Agreement  
Policies

Acceptable Use Policy Version 1.9  
Introduction

**This Acceptable Use Policy encourages the responsible use of Network Solutions' services** and delineates the relatively narrow range of uses of Network Solutions services that are contrary to Network Solutions' mission, generally because such uses either pose an unacceptable risk to the stability, integrity, or quality of Network Solutions' systems or the systems of its vendors, **or harm (or threaten to harm) the rights and interests of third parties.** This Acceptable Use Policy supplements your Service Agreement with us. Uses of the Network Solutions services described below are prohibited.

Prohibited Uses

I. You agree to comply with all applicable local, state, national and international laws and regulations regarding use of all services delivered by Network Solutions. **The following are prohibited uses of our services:**

1. **Transmission, distribution, uploading, posting or storage of any material in violation of any applicable law or regulation is prohibited. This includes, without limitation, material protected by copyright, trademark, trade secret or other intellectual property right used without proper authorization,** material kept in violation of state laws or industry regulations such as social security numbers or credit card numbers, and material that is obscene, defamatory, libelous, unlawful, harassing, **abusive, threatening, harmful, vulgar, constitutes an illegal threat, violates export control laws, hate propaganda, fraudulent material or fraudulent activity, invasive of privacy or publicity rights, profane, indecent or otherwise objectionable material of any kind or nature.** You may not transmit, distribute, or store material that contains a virus, "Trojan Horse," adware or spyware, malware, corrupted data, or any software or information to promote or utilize software or any of Network Solutions services to deliver unsolicited e-mail. **You further agree not to transmit any material that encourages conduct that could constitute a criminal offense, gives rise to civil liability or otherwise violates any applicable local, state, national or international law or regulation.**
2. Sending Unsolicited Bulk Email ("UBE", "spam"). The sending of any form of Unsolicited Bulk Email through Network Solutions' servers is prohibited. Likewise, the sending of UBE from another service provider advertising a web site, email address or utilizing any resource hosted on Network Solutions' servers, is prohibited. Network Solutions accounts or services may not be used to solicit customers from, or collect replies to, messages sent from another Internet Service Provider where those messages violate this Policy or that of the other provider.
3. Running Unconfirmed Mailing Lists. Subscribing email addresses to any mailing list without the express and verifiable permission of the email address owner is prohibited. All mailing lists run by Network Solutions customers must be Closed-loop ("Confirmed Opt-in"). The subscription confirmation message received from each address owner must be kept on file for the duration of the existence of the mailing list. Purchasing lists of email addresses from 3rd parties for mailing to or from any Network Solutions-hosted domain, or referencing any Network Solutions account, is prohibited.
4. **Advertising, transmitting, or otherwise making available or using any software, program, product, or service that is designed to violate this AUP or the AUP of any other Internet Service Provider, which includes, but is not limited to, the facilitation of the means to send Unsolicited Bulk Email, initiation of pinging, flooding, mail-bombing, denial of service attacks.**
5. **Forwarding Internet users to any Web site that, if such web site were provided by Network Solutions, would violate the provisions of this Acceptable Use Policy.**
6. Using the Private Registration service to provide anonymity to activities which are unlawful, fraudulent, or violate the intellectual property rights of a third party.
7. **Using the DNS Manager service, or knowingly or recklessly permitting others to use the DNS Manager Services: (a) for any unlawful, invasive, infringing, defamatory, or fraudulent purpose; (b) to alter, steal, corrupt, disable, destroy, trespass or violate any security or encryption of any computer file, database or network; (c) so as to materially interfere with the use of Network Solutions' network by other**

Acceptable Use Policy Version 1.9  
Site Terms of Use and DMCA Claims  
Service Agreement  
Policies

customers or authorized users: **(d) in a manner that is inconsistent with Network Solutions routing policies, provided you have notice of such policies or such policies are publicly available on Network Solutions Web site;** (e) in violation of the applicable acceptable use policies of Network Solutions' backbone providers, provided you have notice of such policies or such policies are publicly available on such backbone providers' Web sites: **(f) to alter, tamper with, adjust, repair or circumvent any aspect of the DNS Manager Service provided by Network Solutions; or (g) in a manner which, in Network Solutions opinion, is inconsistent with the generally accepted rules of Internet etiquette and conduct.**

8. Unauthorized attempts by a user to gain access to any account or computer resource not belonging to that user (e.g., "cracking").
9. Obtaining or attempting to obtain service by any means or device with intent to avoid payment.
10. Engaging in any activities that do or are designed to harass, or that will cause a denial-of-service (e.g., synchronized number sequence attacks) to any other user whether on the Network Solutions network or on another provider's network.
11. **Using Network Solutions' services in a manner that interferes with the use or enjoyment of the Network Solutions network or other services by other customers** or authorized users. This shall include excessive use of services which impair the fair use of other Network Solutions customers.
12. Using Network Solutions' services as online backup or storage, or mirroring mass downloads.
13. Holding of Network Solutions (including its affiliates) or their employees or shareholders up to public scorn, ridicule, or defamation.
14. **Impersonating any person or entity**, including, but not limited to, a Network Solutions official, **or falsely stating or otherwise misrepresenting your affiliation with a person or entity.**
15. Use of Internet relay chat ("IRC").

**II. You acknowledge and agree that it is a violation of this AUP for your services to cause an interruption or degradation of, interference with, or disproportionate burden on the operations of Network Solutions' systems or the services of other customers**, regardless of whether such interruption, degradation, interference or burden is the result of your actions or those of a third party over which you have no control.

III. You are solely responsible for the content you furnish to Network Solutions in connection with your Web site or other services we provide to you, or make available to others through use of our services. Network Solutions cannot and does not design (except where you are receiving our Design Services), review or screen content you provide to us for inclusion in your Web site (or that you use, provide or make available in connection any services we provide to you) and does not assume any obligation to monitor such content. However, you agree that we may review your Web site or other content in responding to a third party complaint or for any other reason.

**IV. You may access and use our services for lawful purposes only** and you are solely responsible for the knowledge and adherence to any and all laws, statutes, rules and regulations pertaining to your use of our services. **You agree that you will not (i) use the services to commit a criminal offense or to encourage conduct that would constitute a criminal offense or give rise to a civil liability, or otherwise violate any local state, Federal or international law or regulation; (ii) upload or otherwise transmit any content that you do not have a right to transmit under any law or contractual or fiduciary duty; (iii) interfere or infringe with any trademark or proprietary rights of any other party; (iv) interfere with the ability of other users to access or use our services; (v) claim a relationship with or to speak for any individual, business, association, institution or other organization for which you are not authorized to claim such a relationship; (vi) interfere with or disrupt the service or servers or networks connected to the service, or disobey any requirements, procedures, policies or regulations of networks connected to the service; or (vii) reproduce, duplicate, copy, use, distribute, sell, resell or otherwise exploit for any commercial purposes any portion of the services.**



Acceptable Use Policy Version 1.9  
Site Terms of Use and DMCA Claims  
Service Agreement  
Policies

## Online Copyright Infringement Liability Limitation Act

### Knowledge of Infringing Material

To qualify for the § 512(c) safe harbor, the OSP **must not have actual knowledge that it is hosting infringing material or be aware of facts or circumstances from which infringing activity is apparent.** It is clear from the statute and legislative history that an OSP has no duty to monitor its service or affirmatively seek infringing material on its system.<sup>[12]</sup> However, **the statute describes two ways in which an OSP can be put on notice of infringing material on its system: 1) notice from the copyright owner, and 2) the existence of "red flags."**

This is advantageous for OSPs because OCILLA's clear process allows them to avoid making decisions about whether or not material is actually infringing. Such decisions can be complex both because it is difficult to determine whether the copyright has expired on a material without access to complete information such as publication date, and because even copyrighted material can be used in some cases under the doctrine of [fair use](#), the applicability of which is difficult to evaluate.

Instead of making a complex legal determination, OCILLA allows OSPs to avoid liability provided they comply with the terms of the statute, regardless of the validity of any claim of infringement.

### Red Flags

The second way that an OSP can be put on notice that its system contains infringing material, for purposes of section 512(c), is referred to the "red flag" test.<sup>[12]</sup> The "red flag" test stems from the language in the statute that requires that an OSP not be "aware of facts or circumstances from which infringing activity is apparent."<sup>[18]</sup>

The "red flag" test contains both a subjective and an objective element. **Objectively, the OSP must have knowledge that the material resides on its system. Subjectively, the "infringing activity would have been apparent to a reasonable person operating under the same or similar circumstances."**<sup>[12]</sup>

### Notice from Copyright Owner

The first way an OSP can be put on notice is through the copyright holder's written notification of claimed infringement to the OSP's designated agent. This must<sup>[13]</sup> include the following:

- (i) **A physical or electronic signature of a person authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is allegedly infringed.**
- (ii) **Identification of the copyrighted work claimed to have been infringed, or, if multiple copyrighted works at a single online site are covered by a single notification, a representative list of such works at that site.**
- (iii) **Identification of the material that is claimed to be infringing or to be the subject of infringing activity and that is to be removed or access to which is to be disabled, and information reasonably sufficient to permit the service provider to locate the material.**
- (iv) **Information reasonably sufficient to permit the service provider to contact the complaining party, such as an address, telephone number, and, if available, an electronic mail address at which the complaining party may be contacted.**

**>>> CentralNic have a manipulated "Whois" document, with their Whois code, immediatly above that of the party they are Contributory Infringers for.**

(v) **A statement that the complaining party has a good faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the copyright owner, its agent, or the law.**

(vi) **A statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is allegedly infringed.**



# DNSViz

Go to domain name...

## A DNS visualization tool

landcruise.uk.com

Updated: 2012-07-16 14:49:52 UTC (a day ago) [Update now](#)

[« Previous analysis](#) | [Next analysis »](#)

2012-07-16

- 
- 
- 
- 

[Tweet](#)

— DNSSEC options ([show](#)) —

**Notices**

**RRset status**

Insecure (3)

**DNSKEY/DS/NSEC status**

**Secure (14)**

**Delegation status**

**Secure (3)**

Insecure (1)

**DNSKEY legend**

[Full legend](#)

- Published only
- SEP bit set
- Revoke bit set
- Trust anchor

**See also**

[DNSSEC Debugger by Verisign Labs.](#)

**DNSSEC Authentication Chain**

Download: [png](#) | [svg](#)

Mouse over and click elements in the graph below to see more detail.





EXHIBIT 2



[Back to Verisign Labs Tools](#)

Domain Name:

Detail: [more\(+\)](#) / [less\(-\)](#) Time: 2012-07-21 13:31:28 UTC, NTP stratum 3

## Analyzing DNSSEC problems for [landcruise.uk.com](#)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✔ Found 2 DNSKEY records for .</li> <li>✔ DS=19036/SHA1 verifies DNSKEY=19036/SEP</li> <li>✔ Found 1 RRSIGs over DNSKEY RRset</li> <li>✔ RRSIG=19036 and DNSKEY=19036/SEP verifies the DNSKEY RRset</li> </ul>
com	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✔ Found 1 DS records for com in the . zone</li> <li>✔ Found 1 RRSIGs over DS RRset</li> <li>✔ RRSIG=50398 and DNSKEY=50398 verifies the DS RRset</li> <li>✔ Found 2 DNSKEY records for com</li> <li>✔ DS=30909/SHA256 verifies DNSKEY=30909/SEP</li> <li>✔ Found 1 RRSIGs over DNSKEY RRset</li> <li>✔ RRSIG=30909 and DNSKEY=30909/SEP verifies the DNSKEY RRset</li> </ul>
uk.com	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✔ Found 2 DS records for uk.com in the com zone</li> <li>✔ Found 1 RRSIGs over DS RRset</li> <li>✔ RRSIG=47783 and DNSKEY=47783 verifies the DS RRset</li> <li>✔ Found 3 DNSKEY records for uk.com</li> <li>✔ DS=2726/SHA256 verifies DNSKEY=2726/SEP</li> <li>✔ Found 2 RRSIGs over DNSKEY RRset</li> <li>✔ RRSIG=30889 and DNSKEY=30889 verifies the DNSKEY RRset</li> </ul>
landcruise.uk.com	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✘ No DS records found for landcruise.uk.com in the uk.com zone</li> <li>✘ No DNSKEY records found</li> <li>✔ landcruise.uk.com A RR has value 212.69.193.198</li> <li>✘ No RRSIGs found</li> <li>✘ No RRSIGs found</li> <li>✘ No RRSIGs found</li> </ul>

Move your mouse over any ✘ or ⚠ symbols for remediation hints.

Want a second opinion? Test landcruise.uk.com at [dnsviz.net](#).

From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: CentralNic ~ MIA <> ICANN | ICANN-Accredited Registrars  
Date: 26 June, 2012 6:40:42 PM EDT  
To: ICANN <icann@icann.org>, bart.boswinkel@icann.org  
Cc: jenny@centralnic.com, James Hubler <jhubler@verisign.com>, Nicholas Beizer <Nicholas.Beizer@networksolutions.com>, Erik Wilbers <erik.wilbers@wipo.int>, Francis Gurry <francis.gurry@wipo.int>



Hi ICANN: cc CentralNic, Network Solutions, VeriSign & WIPO:

Hopefully your all having a great time over in Prauge!

Today, I was looking for the specific "RAA" for / between CentralNic {UK.com} and ICANN, to look up & cross reference more questions I've begun asking myself, with the RAA and also .COM RA.

Anticipating it'll appear in a form something like this archive file > [http://archive.icann.org/en/lds/tel3/doc\\_04.htm](http://archive.icann.org/en/lds/tel3/doc_04.htm) < as I'm finishing off my files, to present at the US Federal District Court in Alexandria, Va, next week, when I'll be meeting Glenda Walker, who'll be checking-in my paperwork.

FYI ~ I'm looking for the wording in the CentralNic / [UK.com](http://www.uk.com) contract that would have something to do with ICANN's RAA, in Section 5.3 with 5.3.1 that might relate to their pretending to be an "official" ccNSO and 5.3.6 for "operational *integrity* of the internet" .

While poking around with various phrase's, I came back across this page, as below; and ... **curiously, CentralNic is missing; and the webpage shown below states "Page Updated Tuesday, 26-June-2012" .... What's going on ???**

**Ah, another change of name, or revision of name to shelved name (2009), now useful ..... TLD Registrar Services Ltd. (United Kingdom)** <http://www.centralnic-registrar.com> >> TLD Registrar Services Ltd. 2009 United Kingdom .aero .asia .biz .cat .com .coop .info .jobs .mobi .museum .name .net .org .pro .tel .travel .xxx

Within the ICANN accreditation, I still don't see that CentralNic, **oop's TLD Registrar Services Ltd 2009** .... have been granted membership in the ccNSO ranks!

Cheer's

Graham Schreiber.  
Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)

Vancouver: 1.604.227.1610  
Calgary: 1.587.333.4620  
Edmonton: 1.780.666.1580  
Toronto: 1.416.803.4678  
Halifax: 1.902.800.1740

ICANN Logo



## ICANN-Accredited Registrars

ICANN currently accredits domain-name registrars for the following Top Level Domains:

- [.aero](#), (reserved for the global aviation community) sponsored by Societe Internationale de Telecommunications Aeronautiques (SITA INC USA)
- [.asia](#), (reserved for the Pan-Asia and Asia Pacific region) sponsored by DotAsia Organisation
- [.biz](#), (restricted to businesses), operated by NeuStar, Inc.
- [.cat](#), (reserved for the Catalan linguistic and cultural community), sponsored by Fundació puntCat.
- [.com](#), operated by VeriSign, Inc.
- [.coop](#), (reserved for cooperatives) sponsored by Dot Cooperation LLC
- [.info](#), operated by Afilias Limited
- [.jobs](#), (reserved for the human resource management community) sponsored by Employ Media LLC
- [.mobi](#), (reserved for consumers and providers of mobile products and services) sponsored by mTLD Top Level Domain, Ltd.
- [.museum](#), (restricted to museums and related persons), sponsored by the Museum Domain Management Association International (MDI)
- [.name](#), (restricted to individuals), operated by Verisign Information Services, Inc.
- [.net](#), operated by VeriSign, Inc.
- [.org](#), operated by Public Interest Registry

From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: Re: USPTO Trademark infraction at Virginia, USA. < Landcruise.com (1998) Vs Landcruise.uk.com (2009)  
Date: 4 June, 2012 7:34:49 PM EDT  
To: Legal-BLV <legal@enom.com>, ICANN <icann@icann.org>, bart.boswinkel@icann.org, jenny@centralnic.com



Hi Legal Department: cc ICANN & Bart & CentralNic:

I'm reading the Whois data for the problem domain name; and notice that, some of the information has been incorrectly input, as per >  
<https://www.networksolutions.com/whois-search/landcruise.uk.com>

Things such as the "original creation date" ... Because CentralNic are "Contributorily Infringing" my domain name & diluting my brand, of [Landcruise.com](http://Landcruise.com) with the use of the word Landcruise, inside the ".UKcom" with their own domain name, issued from Virginia & bound by Virginia Law, the "ORIGINAL DATE" of the domain name should read ... 01-May-1996.

This order is validated because; Domain ID:CNIC-D0659590 appears immediately before or above the Domain Name:[LANDCRUISE.UK.COM](http://LANDCRUISE.UK.COM)

Please will you explain why the "original creation date" for [Landcruise.uk.com](http://Landcruise.uk.com) is incorrect and does not reflect the proper date, with perhaps a statement relating to the date the domain was sub-divided in a third layer?

Demand Media has been selling CentralNic since 2004, according to CentralNic at > <https://www.centralnic.com/company/news/2004/eNom> < so as an "Accredited" ICANN merchant, why has your firm shown your ICANN logo in the same display as the sale of CentralNic's products?

CentralNic are ICANN accredited; for everything "legal" and published in the IANA Root, which included sanctions ccNSO domains, of which, theirs is NOT included.

It's against your Registrar Agreement of 2009, to represent products not sanctioned by ICANN, with the ICANN Logo!

For YOUR convenience, I've gone to > <http://web.archive.org/web/20090831131158/http://www.enom.com/> < and pulled a date prior to [Landcruise.uk.com](http://Landcruise.uk.com) being sold; and in the HTML text below, you'll see **HIGHLIGHTED IN RED** reference to ICANN.

The link from YOUR website goes here > <http://web.archive.org/web/20090802182046/http://www.icann.org/en/registrars/accredited-list.html> < and amongst THE MANY variations of Enom, none of them are showing that ICANN have "accredited" the sale of [uk.com](http://uk.com) or again, ANY CentralNic product.

Please, also share with me; and ICANN, via "Reply all" why You've placed an ICANN endorsement in the same sales & marketing communications pages, where your selling a non-approved domain name?

Thank you for your help.

Cheers,

Graham Schreiber.  
416.803.4678.

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01//EN" "http://www.w3.org/TR/html4/strict.dtd">
<html>
<head>
  <!-- WEBS-SJL -->

  <meta name="description" content="Login to eNom, Domain name registration, Web Site Hosting, Email Services, and NameJet. ICANN-accredited registrar.">
  <meta name="keywords" content="domain name, web hosting, email, web site hosting, domain name registration">
  <meta http-equiv="Content-Type" content="text/html; charset=iso-8859-1">

  <!-- Mimic Internet Explorer 7 -->
  <meta http-equiv="X-UA-Compatible" content="IE=EmulateIE7" >

  <link rel="shortcut icon" href="http://web.archive.org/web/20090831131158im/http://www.enom.com/favicon.ico" type="image/x-icon" />

  <link rel="STYLESHEET" type="text/css" href="http://web.archive.org/web/20090831131158cs/http://www.enom.com/css/e3style.css" />
  <link rel="STYLESHEET" type="text/css" href="http://web.archive.org/web/20090831131158cs/http://www.enom.com/css/print.css" media="print" />

  <!--[if gte IE 5]>
  <link rel="stylesheet" type="text/css" href="/css/enom-style-ie.css" media="screen" />
  <![endif]-->

  <script type="text/javascript" src="http://web.archive.org/web/20090831131158js/http://www.enom.com/js/jquery.js"></script>
  <script type="text/javascript" src="http://web.archive.org/web/20090831131158js/http://www.enom.com/js/jquery-plugins.js#2009.18.08"></script>
```

From: Graham <graham@landcruise.com>  
Subject: DNSSEC - landcruise.uk.com A RR has value 212.69.193.198  
Date: 31 May, 2012 1:24:20 PM EDT  
To: James Hubler <jhubler@verisign.com>, Nicholas Beizer <Nicholas.Beizer@networksolutions.com>, jenny@centralnic.com, ICANN <icann@icann.org>, bart.boswinkel@icann.org

3 Attachments, 79 KB

Hello All:

Just had a few more 'what if' questions pop-up, based on all my findings; and now that I know what the questions are, or how to pose the questions, I can get answers!

It would appear to me, that VeriSign does have some information about the domain name that is victim of ... "contributory infringement" by CentralNic and Network Solutions, who "knowing" of CentralNic's ambitions, with about 3.5 years exposure, should have terminated the domain name use, as per their rules, of ... 11. REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES. You agree and warrant that: (i) neither your registration nor use of the any of the Network Solutions services nor the manner in which you intend to use such Network Solutions Services will directly or indirectly infringe the legal rights of a third party,

Rather than kill the domain name, they joined them! Somebody in power knew that this was a "Golden Goose" as this person was involved with ICANN on a Committee that would have been exposed to CentralNic's lobbying the ccNSO for inclusion.

**Senior Vice President**

**Network Solutions**

Public Company 501-1000 employees, Information Technology and Services industry  
August 2004 – December 2009 (5 years 5 months)

**Chair, Registrar Constituency**

**ICANN, the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers**

Nonprofit 51-200 employees, Internet industry  
June 2006 – May 2009 (3 years)

This person, so captivated with activities behind the Dot; jumped-forward-of-the-Dot, that they decided to Go-Nuts, with a person from not just from CentralNic, but also Enom / Demand Media, the kindly folks in Washington State, who sold the diluted ".com" to Ms. Lorraine Dunabin, in the UK.

With the elapsed time between 1999 and today; the then (IDNO) > <http://archive.icann.org/en/dns/old/additionalpage.htm> <working group lobbying ICANN should have made Network Solutions, then, both now and currently just VeriSign, ask questions!

I've down loaded the "privileged" ICANN Registry / Registrar agreements & some similar files of VeriSign, which I'll be pouring over, looking for connections.

This looks like ... "willful blindness" ...

More reading !!!

Cheers, Graham.



[DNSSEC Ana...hive \(76 KB\)](#)

[landcruise.uk.com](#)



No DS records found for [landcruise.uk.com](#) in the [uk.com](#) zone

No DNSKEY records found



[landcruise.uk.com](#) A RR has value 212.69.193.198

No RRSIGs found

No RRSIGs found

No RRSIGs found

On 2012-03-26, at 1:16 PM, Hubler, James wrote:

Graham,

As we have discussed several times, Verisign is a thin-registry and, as such, does not maintain a contractual relationship with or information regarding registrants of .com domain names, nor does it host any content associated with these domain names. Moreover, and **as I have stressed to you before, because your allegations pertain to a third-level domain names ([landcruise.uk.com](#)), Verisign has no information regarding this particular domain and that your concerns should be directed to either the registrar or registrant of [uk.com](#).**



From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
 Subject: uk.com > Diluting & Contributory Infringement of Landcruise.com  
 Date: 29 May, 2012 6:20:00 PM EDT  
 To: abuse@web.com  
 Cc: jenny@centralnic.com, Nicholas Belzer <Nicholas.Belzer@networksolutions.com>, James Hubler <jhubler@verisign.com>



Hi [Web.com](#) / Network Solutions: cc Jenny at CentralNic & James at VeriSign.

I'd like to draw to your attention to the domain name of [www.uk.com](#), which is a domain name, owned by CentralNic, who are adversely affecting the integrity of my ".com" which is [Landcruise.com](#)

Please will you place a ... Transfer Lock ... on the domain.

You'll see that via > <http://www.robtex.com/mns/uk.com.html#fall> < many of the IP Address they use, are located in Holland, conveniently isolated from many International IP Treaties!

Too bad for them, the ".com" they are piggy-backing on is domiciled in the United States, through your firm and with VeriSign.

Since I'm in the final stages of gathering information, about them, resulting from things I've learned, pursuing [www.landcruise.uk.com](#) ... a domain name registered in the United States, with Enom.

See \*ALL SERVICES ARE COVERED BY THE GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS THAT ARE LISTED BELOW ALONG WITH THE TERMS IN THE APPLICABLE SCHEDULE(S) FOR THE SPECIFIC SERVICES THAT ARE PURCHASED.\* and Section 19, which makes this request permissible.

Please confirm that this request has been done, as the Small Claims letter will be sent out shortly, for USFDC in Eastern District.

Cheers,

Graham Schreiber.

Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](#)

Vancouver: 1.604.227.1610  
 Calgary: 1.587.333.4620  
 Edmonton: 1.780.666.1580  
 Toronto: 1.416.803.4678  
 Halifax: 1.902.800.1740

ALL SERVICES ARE GOVERNED BY THE GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS THAT ARE LISTED BELOW ALONG WITH THE TERMS IN THE APPLICABLE SCHEDULE(S) FOR THE SPECIFIC SERVICES THAT ARE PURCHASED.

This is Service Agreement Version Number 9.13

9. INDEMNITY. You agree to release, indemnify, defend and hold harmless Network Solutions and any of our (or their) contractors, agents, employees, officers, directors, shareholders, affiliates and assigns from all liabilities, claims, damages, costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses, relating to or arising out of (a) this Agreement or the breach of your warranties, representations and obligations under this Agreement, (b) the Network Solutions services or your use of such services, including without limitation infringement or dilution by you, or someone else using our service(s) from your computer, (c) any intellectual property or other proprietary right of any person or entity, (d) a violation of any of our operating rules or policies relating to the service(s) provided, (e) any information or data you supplied to Network Solutions, including, without limitation, any misrepresentation in your application, if applicable, (f) the inclusion of metatags or other elements in any website created for you or by you via the Network Solutions services, or (g) any information, material, or services available on your licensed Network Solutions Website. When we are threatened with suit or sued by a third party, we may seek written assurances from you concerning your promise to indemnify us. Such assurances may, without limitation, be in the form of a deposit of money by you to us or our representatives to cover our fees and expenses, including but not limited to reasonable attorneys' fees, in any such suit or threat of suit. Your failure to provide such assurances may be considered by us to be a material breach of this Agreement. We shall have the right to participate in any defense by you of a third-party claim related to your use of any of the Network Solutions services, with counsel of our choice. We shall reasonably cooperate in the defense at your request and expense. You shall have sole responsibility to defend us against any claim, but you must receive our prior written consent regarding any related settlement. The terms of this paragraph will survive any termination or cancellation of this Agreement.

11. REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES. You agree and warrant that: (i) neither your registration nor use of the any of the Network Solutions services nor the manner in which you intend to use such Network Solutions Services will directly or indirectly infringe the legal rights of a third party, (ii) you have all requisite power and authority to execute this Agreement and to perform your obligations hereunder, (iii) you have selected the necessary security option(s) for your domain name registration record, (iv) you are of legal age to enter into this Agreement (or you are at least 13 years of age and have your parents' permission to apply for services hereunder), and (v) you agree to comply with all applicable laws and regulations.

19. Termination for Unlawful Activity or Third Party Complaints. Network Solutions may terminate your Registration Services at any time for any activity related to the Registered Domain that Network Solutions believes may violate any federal, state, local, European Community, European Community Member State regulation or law or any court order ("Applicable Laws"). Network Solutions has the right to revoke your use of the Registered Domain under the License Agreement at any time if a third party alleges that the Registered Domain or your use of the Registered Domain infringes or violates the rights of any person or entity, or otherwise violates any Applicable Laws (whether or not such allegations are true).

## 21. GOVERNING LAW.

a. You and Network Solutions agree that this Agreement and any disputes hereunder shall be governed in all respects by and construed in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia, United States of America, excluding its conflict of laws rules. You and we each agree to submit to exclusive subject matter jurisdiction, personal jurisdiction and venue of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division for any disputes between you and Network Solutions under, arising out of, or related in any way to this Agreement (whether or not such disputes also involve other parties in addition to you and Network Solutions). If there is no jurisdiction in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division, for any such disputes, you and we agree that exclusive jurisdiction and venue shall be in the courts of Fairfax County, Fairfax, Virginia.

## SCHEDULE A TO NETWORK SOLUTIONS SERVICE AGREEMENT ADDITIONAL TERMS APPLICABLE TO REGISTRANTS OF DOMAIN NAMES (nsWebAddress™)

7. Domain Name Disputes Brought by Third Parties. You agree that, if your use of our domain name registration services is challenged by a third party, you will be subject to the provisions specified in our dispute policy in effect at the time of the dispute. For the adjudication of any disputes brought by a third party against you concerning or arising from your use of a domain name registered with us or your use of our domain name registration services, you (but not Network Solutions) agree to submit to subject matter jurisdiction, personal jurisdiction and venue of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division and the courts of your domicile. You agree that in the event a domain name dispute arises with any third party, you will indemnify and hold us harmless pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth below in this Agreement. If we are notified that a complaint has been filed with a judicial or administrative body regarding your use of our domain name registration services, you agree not to make any changes to your domain name record without our prior approval. We may not allow you to make changes to such domain name record until (i) we are directed to do so by the judicial or administrative body, or (ii) we receive notification by you and the other party contesting your registration and use of our domain name registration services that the dispute has been settled. Furthermore, you agree that if you are subject to litigation regarding your registration and use of our domain name registration services, we may deposit control of your domain name record into the registry of the judicial body by supplying a party with a registrar certificate from us. You agree that we will comply with all court orders, domestic or international, directed against you and/or the domain name registration.

17. Sharing of Information. You also acknowledge and agree that Network Solutions will share with each applicable domain name registry which provides Country Code Top Level Domains ("ccTLDs") or Generic Top Level Domains ("gTLDs") services, certain information submitted by you in your application(s) for our services, as required by our agreement(s) with the applicable registry or to provide the services you have applied for. You also acknowledge and consent to the use, copying, distribution, publication, modification and other processing of your personal data by the applicable registry and its designees and agents in connection with the applicable registry's service obligations to us or third parties, or as otherwise deemed necessary by the registry to fulfill the registry's service obligations to us or any third party.

18. Registry Actions or Inactions. Our ability to provide services to you depends in part upon the provision of services by third parties, such as the registry for each ccTLD or gTLD. We cannot control and will not be responsible for the actions or inactions of such third parties. For example, each registry has reserved the right to deny, cancel or transfer any domain name registration under certain circumstances. You acknowledge and agree that we shall not be liable to you or any other party in connection with claims, damages, losses, expenses or costs incurred or suffered by you as a result of actions taken or not taken by third parties, including, but not limited to, the applicable registry for your ccTLD or gTLD.

From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: Fwd: "Significantly Interested Parties for ccTLD" & Telephone call > Ext: 8641.  
Date: 28 May, 2012 5:40:14 PM EDT  
To: policy-staff@icann.org



2 Attachments, 300 KB

Hi Liz:

I found your contact information at > <http://gnso.icann.org/issues/whois/studies> <

Please will you retrieve the various inquiries I've submitted to ICANN, in connection with my inquires after both CentralNic & [Landcruise.uk.com](http://www.landcruise.com); and share with me:

How is it that CentralNic are still in the 'good books' of / at ICANN, as a Registry, of your legitimate domain name endings, when they are damaging the integrity of the most critical TLD?

Since 1999; CentralNic has been intent on the dilution of the ".com" name space; and has truly succeeded in Contributory Infringing many firms such as mine!

Why has ICANN not shut them down? ICANN has known of them!

I look forward to hearing from you.

Thanks

Graham Schreiber.  
Landcruise Ltd > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)  
416 803 4678.

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
**Subject:** "Significantly Interested Parties for ccTLD" & Telephone call > Ext: 8641.  
**Date:** 25 May, 2012 2:11:28 PM EDT  
**To:** [bart.boswinkel@icann.org](mailto:bart.boswinkel@icann.org)

Hi Bart:

I just rang your California office and left a message for you, at Ext 8641, as a follow up on emails sent to WIPO, in which You've been sent CC's.

While I appreciate I'm to late for comment, my inquiry with WIPO is directly relevant to your campaign, as attached and would like to be informed as a member of the internet community.



[report-com.....pdf \(214 KB\)](#)

I'm still waiting for WIPO to isolate their statistics, for cases related to what I feel to be Contributory Infringement, Dilution, or both, from their portfolio of unsanctioned ccTLD domains, as they're not ccNSO approved and IANA listed.

Please will you impart the powers / will of ICANN, to secure the requested information, for me.

Cynically ... I suspect that WIPO & CentralNic have a warm relationship; and I'm guessing that WIPO's doing them in, would be like killing the proverbial "golden goose" ... given that WIPO's fees are \$1,450.00 for review, versus \$350.00 in Virginia. Naturally Francis, resent press release makes them look good, but it fails, rather conveniently, to place a spotlight on the seed of the problem.

Also ... As ICANN issue the .com franchise to VeriSign, to be the Registry, of .com and also grant rights to Network Solutions, to be a Registrar .... I need to know the exact source of these rules at ... Network Solutions, where I see they publish information, as per > <http://www.networksolutions.com/legal/aup.isp> <

Acceptable Use Policy Version 1.9  
Site Terms of Use and DMCA Claims  
Service Agreement  
Policies

<https://www.networksolutions.com/legal/static-service-agreement.isp?sessionid=bc6fa0be02f4de5be852876f4e41UzY#b>

11. REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES. You agree and warrant that: (i) neither your registration nor use of the any of the Network Solutions services nor the manner in which you intend to use such Network Solutions Services will directly or indirectly infringe the legal rights of a third party, (ii) you have all requisite power and authority to execute this Agreement and to perform your obligations hereunder, (iii) you have selected the necessary security option(s) for your domain name registration record, (iv) you are of legal age to enter into this Agreement (or you are at least 13 years of age and have your parents' permission to apply for services hereunder); and (v) you agree to comply with all applicable laws and regulations.

I've plodded across this document ...



[ICANN | co...chive \(86 KB\)](#)

and need to know, from ICANN what the line of command is, for rules such as these, published by Network Solutions.

Further, why has ICANN, VeriSign or Network Solutions, not exercised "Section 11" with regard to the use of the [uk.com](http://www.uk.com) domain name, as it CLEARLY conflicts with the rules, since CentralNic have bought the [uk.com](http://www.uk.com) domain name from Network Solutions.

From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: "Significantly Interested Parties for ccTLD" & Telephone call > Ext: 8641.  
Date: 25 May, 2012 2:11:28 PM EDT  
To: bart.boswinkel@icann.org



2 Attachments, 300 KB

Hi Bart:

I just rang your California office and left a message for you, at Ext 8641, as a follow up on emails sent to WIPO, in which You've been sent CC's.

While I appreciate I'm to late for comment, my inquiry with WIPO is directly relevant to your campaign, as attached and would like to be informed as a member of the internet community.



[report-com....pdf \(214 KB\)](#)

I'm still waiting for WIPO to isolate their statistics, for cases related to what I feel to be Contributory Infringement, Dilution, or both, from their portfolio of unsanctioned ccTLD domains, as they're not ccNSO approved and IANA listed.

Please will you impart the powers / will of ICANN, to secure the requested information, for me.

Cynically ... I suspect that WIPO & CentralNic have a warm relationship; and I'm guessing that WIPO's doing them in, would be like killing the proverbial "golden goose" ... given that WIPO's fees are \$1,450.00 for review, versus \$350.00 in Virginia. Naturally Francis, resent press release makes them look good, but it fails, rather conveniently, to place a spotlight on the seed of the problem.

Also ... As ICANN issue the .com franchise to VeriSign, to be the Registry, of .com and also grant rights to Network Solutions, to be a Registrar ..... I need to know the exact source of these rules at ... Network Solutions, where I see they publish information, as per > <http://www.networksolutions.com/legal/aup.jsp> <

[Acceptable Use Policy Version 1.9](#)  
[Site Terms of Use and DMCA Claims](#)  
[Service Agreement](#)  
[Policies](#)

<https://www.networksolutions.com/legal/static-service-agreement.jsp?sessionId=bcb6a0be0214de5be85287641e41UzY#>

**11. REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES.** You agree and warrant that: (i) neither your registration nor use of the any of the Network Solutions services nor the manner in which you intend to use such Network Solutions Services will directly or indirectly infringe the legal rights of a third party, (ii) you have all requisite power and authority to execute this Agreement and to perform your obligations hereunder, (iii) you have selected the necessary security option(s) for your domain name registration record, (iv) you are of legal age to enter into this Agreement (or you are at least 13 years of age and have your parents permission to apply for services hereunder); and (v) you agree to comply with all applicable laws and regulations.

I've plodded across this document ...



[ICANN | co...chive \(86 KB\)](#)

and need to know, from ICANN what the line of command is, for rules such as these, published by Network Solutions.

Further, why has ICANN, VeriSign or Network Solutions, not exercised "Section 11" with regard to the use of the [uk.com](#) domain name, as it CLEARLY conflicts with the rules, since CentralNic have bought the [uk.com](#) domain name from Network Solutions.

Thank you very much, in advance for your help & information.

Cheers,

Graham Schreiber.

Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)

Vancouver: 1.604.227.1610  
Calgary: 1.587.333.4620  
Edmonton: 1.780.666.1580  
Toronto: 1.416.803.4678  
Halifax: 1.902.800.1740

## Report of Public Comments

<b>Title:</b>	<b>Interim report on support Significantly Interested Parties for ccTLD Delegation or Redelegation requests</b>		
<b>Publication Date:</b>	22 May 2012		
<b>Prepared By:</b>	Bart Boswinkel		
<b>Comment Period:</b>		<b>Important Information Links</b>	
Open Date:	3 February 2012	Announcement	
Close Date:	20 April 2012	Public Comment Box	
Time (UTC):	23.59	View Comments Submitted	
<b>Staff Contact:</b>	Bart Boswinkel	<b>Email:</b>	Bart.Boswinkel@icann.org
<b>Section I: General Overview and Next Steps</b>			
<p>The ccNSO Framework of Interpretation Working Group (Fol WG) sought public comment on its recommendations on obtaining and documenting support from "Significantly Interested Parties" (formerly known as Local Internet Community or LIC) for requests for delegation and re-delegation of a ccTLD. The recommendations contained in the report are proposed interpretation of existing policy and guidelines.</p> <p>The WG closely reviewed the submitted comment to determine whether to modify its report. According to its charter the WG is not obligated to include all comments made during the comment period, nor is it obligated to include all comments submitted by any one individual or organization.</p> <p>The Working expects to publish its Final Report prior to the next ICANN meeting in Prague, Czech Republic (June 2012)</p>			
<b>Section II: Contributors</b>			
<i>At the time this report is prepared one (1) comment was received, excluding 1 spam email. The contributor is listed below.</i>			
<u>Organizations and Groups:</u>			
<b>Name</b>	<b>Submitted by</b>	<b>Initials</b>	
Not applicable			
<u>Individuals:</u>			
<b>Name</b>	<b>Affiliation (if provided)</b>	<b>Initials</b>	
Antony Van Covering		AVC	



From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: VeriSign chatroom & suggested update for Wikipedia.  
Date: 11 May, 2012 9:36:30 AM EDT  
To: James Hubler <jhubler@verisign.com>, Nicholas Beizer <Nicholas.Beizer@networksolutions.com>, jenny@centralnic.com, Tom Lam <tlam@web.com>



Hi James: and Nicholas, Jenny & Tom.

James ... By now or shortly no doubt, you'll have been made aware of the fact that I was conversing with your online chat service, making various inquiries.

One of which is regarding the Terms & Conditions or Terms of use, that govern the ".com" domain name use. I requested that VeriSign direct me to the source for rules, as created & delegated by VeriSign, inside the VeriSign website to those who ICANN licence, to sell the service your empowered to oversee.

I was told that the Terms & Conditions your firm imposes on others, like Network Solutions & CentralNic is "Privileged" and that I should consult with ICANN. So I did as Paulino directed ... As per ICANN search > <http://www.icann.org/en/search/com%2Bterms%2Bof%2Buse> < and the ICANN reply is > **Forbidden** You don't have permission to access /en/search/com+terms+of+use on this server. <

Please will you, James, furnish the Terms & Conditions details that are imposed on your clients, representing the ".com" as sales agents, for VeriSign.

Here again, in part, are the rules of Network Solutions, where I see they publish information, as per > <http://www.networksolutions.com/legal/aup.jsp> <

Acceptable Use Policy Version 1.9  
The Terms of Use and DMCA Claims  
Service Agreement  
Privacy

<http://www.networksolutions.com/legal/ntic-service-agreement.jsp?sessionid=bcb290e02445e5be852876245411717#>

**11. REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES. You agree and warrant that: (i) neither your registration nor use of the any of the Network Solutions services nor the manner in which you intend to use such Network Solutions Services will directly or indirectly infringe the legal rights of a third party, (ii) you have all requisite power and authority to execute this Agreement and to perform your obligations hereunder, (iii) you have selected the necessary security option(s) for your domain name registration record, (iv) you are of legal age to enter into this Agreement (or you are at least 13 years of age and have your parents' permission to apply for services hereunder); and (v) you agree to comply with all applicable laws and regulations.**

Nicholas; why in keeping with your firms own rules, have you decided to sell CentralNic's portfolio of dubious goods, repackaged & shipped from Holland?

Revenue? Certainly! ~ The market for diluted & infringing ".com" sub-names must be enormously profitable.

Network Solutions certainly don't need CentralNic for anything else, given that for the honest '.com' sale or any other 'legal' ... ICANN approved domain name ending, your competing businesses! It's recognized that forward of the Dot, your not competing, but collaborating.

James, I'll look forward to receiving the "privileged" terms & conditions, or in the absence there of, a detailed explanation of VeriSigns vendor obligations. I'll keep looking through ICANN, as Paulino directed, maybe I'll find the information, requested?

Also, you should have your marketing team update the Wikipedia service. They're almost five (5) years behind! [http://www.wikinvest.com/stock/VeriSign\\_\(VRSN\)/Filing/10-Q/2007/E3464246](http://www.wikinvest.com/stock/VeriSign_(VRSN)/Filing/10-Q/2007/E3464246)

VRSN - Topics Note 16: Network Solutions

This excerpt taken from the VRSN 10-Q filed Jul 12, 2007.

**Note 16: Network Solutions**

During the first quarter of 2006, Network Solutions repaid in full all amounts outstanding under the Secured Senior Promissory Note dated November 25, 2003. In addition, Network Solutions redeemed VeriSign's 15% equity interest in Network Solutions. VeriSign received total payments from Network Solutions in the amount of \$47.8 million, which included \$26.0 million to reduce the principal balance of the note receivable, \$0.1 million of interest income related to the note receivable and the difference of \$21.7 million was recorded as a gain on investment in other income. **As a result of the redemption of the membership interests, the Company no longer owns equity interests in any Internet domain name registrars.**

Have a safe & enjoyable weekend!

Cheers,

Graham Schreiber.

Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)

Vancouver: 1.604.227.1610  
Calgary: 1.587.333.4620  
Edmonton: 1.780.666.1580  
Toronto: 1.416.803.4678  
Halifax: 1.902.800.1740

From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: Search Results | Country Code Names Supporting Organisation <>  
CENTRALNIC  
Date: 11 May, 2012 9:48:38 AM EDT  
To: James Hubler <jhubler@verisign.com>, Nicholas Beizer <Nicholas.Beizer@networksolutions.com>, jenny@centralnic.com, Tom Lam <tlam@web.com>



Acronym helper:

Search

ccNSO

Country Code Names Supporting Organisation



ICANN

(<http://www.icann.org>)

### Search Results

✓ No Results found

No results were found that matched your criteria. Please try broadening your search.

- Check if your spelling is correct.
- Remove quotes around phrases to match each word individually: "blue sweater" will match less than blue sweater

Hi All:

Have a look here at ICANN ccNSO - <http://ccnso.icann.org/search/icann-search/centralnic> (<http://ccnso.icann.org/search/icann-search/centralnic>) - "No results found".

Look's like CentralNic are sanctioned by ICANN to sell "legal" domain names! Their cc.TLD portfolio is ... missing!

Graham Schreiber.

Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com) (<http://www.landcruise.com>)

Vancouver: 1.604.227.1610  
 Calgary: 1.587.333.4620  
 Edmonton: 1.780.666.1580  
 Toronto: 1.416.803.4678  
 Halifax: 1.902.800.1740



From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: RIPE NET run by VeriSign and more ...  
Date: 2 May, 2012 2:25:03 PM EDT  
To: James Hubler <jhubler@verisign.com>, Nicholas Beizer <Nicholas.Beizer@networksolutions.com>, jenny@centralnic.com  
Cc: Tony Willoughby <twilloughby@iprights.com>

Hi James, Nicolas, Jenny & Tony at [IPO.gov.uk](http://IPO.gov.uk)

Thanks for the information about Network Solutions.

Since I've got some spare time, I've just gleaned a bit-more information about VeriSign; and how your firm runs the RIPE NET, as below, you'll see text from the VeriSign Chatroom.

Cheers, Graham.

Please wait while we find an agent to assist you.  
You have been connected to Diego

Graham Schreiber: Hi Diego  
Diego: Thank you for contacting Verisign Support, how may I help you?  
Diego: Hello  
Graham Schreiber: Yes, I have read that VeriSign sold Network Solutions, however, they kept the Domain Name Registry portion, when the sale went to [Web.com](http://Web.com)  
Graham Schreiber: Please could you tell me about the controlling interest?  
Diego: Just a moment please  
Graham Schreiber: OK. Thanks!  
Diego: There is no controlling interest - Verisign kept the registry portion - Therefore Network Solutions kept on the registrar side  
Graham Schreiber: Oh, so that's what VeriSign is listed in IANA root?  
Graham Schreiber: I received my information about VeriSign from this source > <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Verisign> < which quotes "Verisign divested the Network Solutions retail (domain name registrar) business in 2003, retaining the domain name registry (wholesale) function as its core internet addressing business [5]"  
Diego: Verisign manages the registry "database for all comint, tv, name, cc, jobs  
Graham Schreiber: cc as in [uk.com](http://uk.com)? Is that why CentralNic is not shown in the IANA Root?  
Diego: cc is the island of Cocos  
Graham Schreiber: How are VeriSign related to the domain names of [uk.com](http://uk.com)?  
Diego: We maintain the database for all registrars that registers .com domain names but not for [uk.com](http://uk.com)  
Graham Schreiber: [uk.com](http://uk.com) is Normnet. I'm looking at [uk.com](http://uk.com)  
Graham Schreiber: [uk.com](http://uk.com) is CentralNic of United Kingdom and they use the RIPE NET in Holland, for their IP #'s  
Graham Schreiber: Does VeriSign run the machines that make the RIPE NET function?  
Diego: Just a moment please  
Graham Schreiber: OK.  
**Diego: Yes, Verisign runs the machines that make the RIPE NET function. Also, the reason why Verisign is listed under IANA is because we manage gTLD "com/net" and Centralnic only manages countries tlds.**  
Graham Schreiber: Oh, so your a global business, not just in the United States  
Diego: Correct.  
Graham Schreiber: Is RIPE NET a "company" or do the letters mean something?  
Diego: NET was created for mostly social-networking-just like .org. it was created for organization/communities  
Graham Schreiber: So the domain name of [uk.com](http://uk.com) is owned by CentralNic and when they sell a world, to become [Landcruise.uk.com](http://Landcruise.uk.com) as an example, the system goes into the United States to get the [www.uk.com](http://www.uk.com) part then the "Landcruise" request that CentralNic self is maintained (hosted) in Holland, where Your systems connect the two parts and make it a complete website. Then people see the www. then "Landcruise" and the [uk.com](http://uk.com) as one complete package?  
**Diego: Not really. [uk.com](http://uk.com) is a 2nd level domain, in which Verisign will hold it in our database. Now, if you are doing a 3rd level domain- it will still be under Verisign because the 1st level is still .com**  
Graham Schreiber: How is [www.uk.com](http://www.uk.com) a 2nd level domain and not a 1st level?  
Graham Schreiber: Oh, so it's 1st level = .com  
Graham Schreiber: 2nd level is UK.  
**Graham Schreiber: 3rd level is the Landcruise .... that is joined by your system in Holland > That's how you define it as a 3rd level?**  
**Diego: That is the best explanation I can provide for you. I may go into a more technical explanation regarding .roo -1-2-3 level domains.**  
Graham Schreiber: WOW. So part of a website is in the United States, another part is in Holland, that unit to become one VeriSign service  
Graham Schreiber: Then, it goes from Holland, back to England, where the retail products are presented as the full & complete website. Text & images combined with Levels 1, 2 & 3  
Diego: Sir, just because you are getting the 2nd level domain [uk.com](http://uk.com) - that doesn't mean that all traffic or that your domain will actually go through England or that is part of England. Verisign has multiple servers all over the world to ensure connectivity  
Graham Schreiber: The England part is where a website is "hosted" by a hosting company  
Diego: That is correct if it's being hosted in England - yes  
Graham Schreiber: And from an FTP file it goes "backwards" to collect the component parts of the 3rd level domain name?  
Diego: I can provide you some links regarding gTLD and tlds - besides this do you have any other questions regarding any domain names?  
Graham Schreiber: If the links will show me how VeriSign in the United States takes Levels 1 & 2 then combines them with Level 3, in Holland, before everything goes to where a website client hosts a website, that would be very helpful.  
Diego: I have done some research in google there are the links-- in another words your connection goes out to the root in search of the IP you are requesting the specific address. Then it goes to 2nd level domain for more information for that specific IP-- then is pointed to the servers that hosts that domain name. these links will explain detail information on DNS root zone  
Diego: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Domain\\_name\\_root\\_servers](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Domain_name_root_servers) <http://www.root-servers.org/>  
DNS root zone: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/DNS\\_root\\_zone](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/DNS_root_zone)  
Graham Schreiber: Thank you very much for being so helpful and sending those links  
Graham Schreiber: I appreciate it. Have a great day!  
Diego: You are very welcome- That's why there are several servers throughout the world  
Diego: Have a good day  
Graham Schreiber: You to. Cheers, Graham  
Thank you for contacting Customer Support. You may now close this window.  
Your session has ended. You may now close this window.

On 2012-05-02, at 1:59 PM, Hubler, James wrote:

Yes, Verisign divested the Network Solutions registrar business in 2003. That registrar business has since operated under the Network Solutions name.

<image001.gif>

James T. Hubler  
Senior Corporate Counsel  
[jhubler@verisign.com](mailto:jhubler@verisign.com)

<image002.gif>

t 703-948-4370 m 703-268-1302  
12061 Bluemont Way  
Reston, VA 20190

[Verisigninc.com](http://Verisigninc.com)

From: Graham Schreiber [mailto:graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2012 12:44 PM  
To: Hubler, James  
Subject: Re: CentralNic ... Regarding [Landcruise.uk.com](http://Landcruise.uk.com)

Hi James:

Thanks for your reply, I received my information about VeriSign from this source > <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Verisign> < which quotes "Verisign divested the Network







From: Graham <graham@landcruise.com>  
Subject: Re: [#GDI-729086]: intemic.net/UDRPIntakeReportSystem.html  
Date: 18 April, 2012 12:09:21 PM EDT  
To: complaint-followup@icann.org  
Cc: ICANN <icann@icann.org>

1 Attachment, 637 KB

Hi:

I just looked there again and .uk.com is not shown.

.uk is there, under Nominet.

What can you tell me about CentralNic?

CentralNic own the domain name of [www.uk.com](http://www.uk.com)

CentralNic owns > <http://www.uk.com/registrars> and the companies listed, sell domain names for them, as per "© Copyright [www.UK.com](http://www.UK.com) 2012. All Rights Reserved

Powered by CentralNic LTD "

The [www.uk.com](http://www.uk.com) website makes no reference to ICANN, on their homepage, or the Registrar page, or for that matter, any page, that I can see!

Looking deeper, at CentralNic, it's on page > <https://www.centralnic.com/sitemap> < that I see references to ICANN.

- [CentralNic Secures and Greens the Web, As .US.ORG Enters General Availability](#)
- [Marketers debate usefulness of ICANN's new domain names - btobonline.com](#)
- [Dot-anything Web domain costs big \\$55 - cnn.com](#)
- [Analysis: New Internet rules will spawn battle for "dots" - reuters.com](#)
- [How ICANN's Approval of New Domains Will Change the Web - mashable.com](#)
- [Forget .com, here's .coke - money.cnn.com](#)
- [ICANN's Domain Expansion: Why Metallika Makes Sense - forbes.com](#)
- [ICANN Approves Custom Generic Top Level Domains - eweek.com](#)
- [ICANN approves top level domain name changes - UPI.com](#)
- [ICANN Approves Generic Top Level Domains - enterprisenetworkingolanet.com](#)

Further along, inside CentralNic, I see this webpage, > <https://www.centralnic.com/registrars/list?icann=1> < Listing sales agents of ICANN, somehow relating them as CentralNic, to ICANN.

This seems to be rather disconnected.

Is this a 'wildcard' ... How can a domain name sell domain names or represent to sell domain names, inside, or under, a domain name?

They are the same people who applied to be Registrars as a Registry, for the .org portfolio in IANA. - You will also see them as Organic Names!



[Registrar Active \(637 KB\)](#)

The closet "Country Code Manager" is VeriSign, with the .com !

I'm very confused; and request your help in identifying CentralNic to / with ICANN.

There is a company in the UK who are ~ for me ~ creating issues for my company, by dilutive, look-a-like actions and I'm going to sue them in Virginia, because ENOM, who sold the domain name of [landcruise.uk.com](http://landcruise.uk.com) point quickly to CentralNic, who's offices in the USA, are in Washington.

As you know, the UDRP is "non-binding" so, in a 'real' court, I need 'real facts' and again, request your help in providing information.

Thanks, Graham.

On 2012-04-18, at 11:23 AM, ICANN Webmaster Inquiry wrote:

ICANN does not accredit registrars for ccTLDs or set registration policy for ccTLDs. For details about ccTLD registration policy, you should contact the designated country code manager.

A list of all delegated country code TLDs and their designated managers is available at <http://www.iana.org/cctld/cctld-whois.htm>.

Best regards,

ICANN Services

Ticket Details

Ticket ID: GDI-729086  
Department: CCTLD  
Priority: Low  
Status: **Closed**

From: Graham <graham@landcruise.com>  
Subject: intermic.net/UDRPIntakeReportSystem.html  
Date: 18 April, 2012 9:42:57 AM EDT  
To: webmaster@icann.org

Hello InterNic:

I've been through the system with Nominet; for a .co.uk domain name, paid the fee, they messed up and didn't do what was contracted, so I placed a "charge back" request through Visa, for breach of contract

That I've been "Registered" with a NicHandle since 1998 for the word Landcruise, has little sway.

Although it's been in bona fida use since day 1. The 'respondent' lied to Nominet, against documented proof and due to their having a UK Trademark, with [IPO.gov.uk](http://IPO.gov.uk) (abusively secured). Little interest to the Brit's, who I may have (did) irritate, by telling them that they were beholden to the USDoC, under ICANN.

CentralNic did a token investigation and channel me to UDRP, no doubt because in Virginia, they're liable.

At this juncture, I'm investigating their "3rd Party" affiliations, so, if Pro Se, in District Court is my only option, I'll be well documented and will be able to identify who they are (VeriSign & Network Solutions) are shown via robtex, with some RIPE thing in Holland.

What is an NS-SOA? shown in the Record column of Robtex?

"Famous" or "Well Known" Marks are dubious and in Court documents, almost not real. Whereas, "Incontestable" is real and qualifies after five (5) years. My Landcruise was around a hair-shy of eleven (11) years, before the fraud in the UK, started "trading as" a Landcruise.

The ICANN Trademark Clearing house is to little to late and rather feeble, to many 'work groups'. ICANN don't have the same dignity of honour as does the USPTO. To my knowledge, the USPTO has not in a "not for profit" frenzy, set about hyphenating -marks and selling them as sub/mark-local, or sub/mark-agent ... etc.

If your able to resolve this [Landcruise.co.uk](http://Landcruise.co.uk) & .uk.com domain name issue, to my firms ownership, I'd be most appreciative.

Simplicity and resolution are my desire.

I look forward to chatting with you.

Cheers, Graham.  
416 803 4678.

PS .... The form didn't function, so I'm emailing. < Here I notice I'm whining to ICANN and that InterNic is not a separate entity.

Are you truly motivated to help, or are you profiting so massively, from domain name fees, that the dilution I **perceive** to be, is contributory?????





From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: .UK.COM - Centralnic British Domain Delegation Information - International Domain Name Registration made easy by BB-Online.co.uk.  
Date: 23 March, 2012 10:56:38 PM EDT  
To: jhubler@verisign.com, nbeizer@networksolutions.com, joe.alagna@centralnic.com  
Cc: ICANN <icann@icann.org>



Support +44 1582 572148  
(Standard rate call)

- [Home](#)
- [Register domain »](#)
- [Prices »](#)
- [Domain services »](#)
- [Hosting »](#)
- [About us »](#)

## European domains: UK-GB (Centralnic) (.uk.com)

Check availability

**Important:** When entering your search string don't write "www" or the Centralnic British domain type, ie. "uk.com". Therefore to search "www.bb-online.uk.com" enter "bb-online" and nothing else.

The only letters allowed within a domain name are: a b c d e f g h i j k l m n o p q r s t u v w x y z - 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9. Spaces are NOT ALLOWED.

To register [your Centralnic British domain, click here](#)

### .com - Whois Delegation Record

% IANA WHOIS server  
 % for more information on IANA, visit <http://www.iana.org>  
 % This query returned 1 object  
 domain: COM  
 organisation: VeriSign Global Registry Services  
 address: 21345 Ridgetop Circle  
 address: Dulles Virginia 20166  
 address: United States  
 contact: administrative  
 name: Registry Customer Service  
 organisation: VeriSign Global Registry Services  
 address: 21345 Ridgetop Circle  
 address: Dulles Virginia 20166  
 address: United States  
 phone: +1 703 925-6999  
 fax-no: +1 703 421-5828  
 e-mail: [info@verisign-grs.com](mailto:info@verisign-grs.com)  
 contact: technical  
 name: Registry Customer Service  
 organisation: VeriSign Global Registry Services  
 address: 21345 Ridgetop Circle  
 address: Dulles Virginia 20166  
 address: United States  
 phone: +1 703 925-6999  
 fax-no: +1 703 421-5828  
 e-mail: [info@verisign-grs.com](mailto:info@verisign-grs.com)  
 nserver: [A.GTLD-SERVERS.NET](#) 192.5.6.30 2001:503:a83e:0:0:0:2:30  
 nserver: [B.GTLD-SERVERS.NET](#) 192.33.14.30 2001:503:231d:0:0:0:2:30  
 nserver: [C.GTLD-SERVERS.NET](#) 192.26.92.30  
 nserver: [D.GTLD-SERVERS.NET](#) 192.31.80.30  
 nserver: [E.GTLD-SERVERS.NET](#) 192.12.94.30  
 nserver: [F.GTLD-SERVERS.NET](#) 192.35.51.30  
 nserver: [G.GTLD-SERVERS.NET](#) 192.42.93.30  
 nserver: [H.GTLD-SERVERS.NET](#) 192.54.112.30  
 nserver: [I.GTLD-SERVERS.NET](#) 192.43.172.30  
 nserver: [J.GTLD-SERVERS.NET](#) 192.48.79.30  
 nserver: [K.GTLD-SERVERS.NET](#) 192.52.178.30  
 nserver: [L.GTLD-SERVERS.NET](#) 192.41.162.30  
 nserver: [M.GTLD-SERVERS.NET](#) 192.55.83.30  
 ds-rdata: 30909 8 2 E2D3C916F6DEEAC73294E8268FB5885044A833FC5459588F4A9184CFC41A5766  
 whois: [whois.verisign-grs.com](http://whois.verisign-grs.com)  
 status: ACTIVE  
 remarks: Registration information: <http://www.verisign-grs.com>  
 created: 1985-01-01

changed: 2011-03-31  
 source: IANA

Our prices shown for Centralnic British are totally inclusive of maintenance and all third party fees incurred during the course of registration. We guarantee that no additional costs - hidden or otherwise - will be added.

To register [your Centralnic British domain, click here](#)



## Centralnic British (.uk.com) Prices:

Domain	Registration	Renewal
.ae.com	£ 59 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.ar.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.au.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.br.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.cn.com	£ 49 per 2 years	£ 29 per 2 years
.de.com	£ 138 per 2 years	£ 118 per 2 years
.eu.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.gb.com	£ 39 per 2 years	£ 39 per 2 years
.hu.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.jpn.com	£ 59 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.kr.com	£ 59 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.no.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.qc.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.ru.com	£ 59 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.sa.com	£ 35 per year	£ 30 per year
.se.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.uk.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.us.com	£ 59 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.uy.com	£ 59 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.za.com	£ 59 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years

### Restrictions:

Centralnic British domains, None

Our prices shown for Centralnic British are totally inclusive of maintenance and all third party fees incurred during the course of registration. We guarantee that no additional costs - hidden or otherwise - will be added.

[Register your Centralnic British domain, click here](#)

### Terms & Conditions

The Centralnic British domain name registry is operated by CentralNic. All Centralnic British domain names are registered via them.

CentralNic oversee the management of the Centralnic British domain name registry .

Centralnic British domains are intended for Open to all.

Centralnic British Domain names are registered subject to our [terms and conditions](#) and the Centralnic British registry terms and conditions for [Centralnic British domains](#).

#### .uk.com Domain Registration

.uk.com [uk.com Registration](#)

#### General Information

.uk.com [UK-GB \(Centralnic\) details](#)

#### .uk.com FactSheet

.uk.com [UK-GB \(Centralnic\) factsheet](#)

#### .uk.com Renewals

.uk.com [UK-GB \(Centralnic\) renewals](#)

### Once it is registered.

When the Centralnic British domain name is registered it will be held on our international server. We provide a free under-construction page, *for as long as you require one*. (The purpose of this is to show an enquirer that the name is currently registered and is unavailable).

E-mail redirection and web redirection for Centralnic British domains are available as an option.

Free Centralnic British domain transfer to the ISP of your choice - if you wish. BB Online does not charge release fees for transferring domains to different Centralnic British domain name registrars.

PO Box 2162, Luton, Bedfordshire, LU3 2YT, UK. | Tel: +44 (0)1582 572148 | Fax: +44 (0)1582 585057 | Email: [support@bb-online.com](mailto:support@bb-online.com)



Registered in England & Wales No.3458098 | Fairlands, New Barn Road, New Barn, Longfield, Kent DA3 7JF | VAT No. 707 122 077

- [BB Online](#) ®
- [Domain Recover](#) ®
- [Domain Trace](#) ®
- [Domain Hospital](#)
- [Domain Forum](#)
- [Resources](#)

©2009-2011 Copyright BB Online UK Limited, International Domain Registrars, Reproduction partial or otherwise is strictly prohibited.

Graham Schreiber.

Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)

Vancouver: 1.604.227.1610  
Calgary: 1.587.333.4620  
Edmonton: 1.780.666.1580  
Toronto: 1.416.803.4678  
Halifax: 1.902.800.1740

From: LRO <lro@wipo.int>  
Subject: RE: Landcruise Vs Landcruise or Landcruise Vs ???? < Re: ConfirmSystem: icann@icann.org [[59365bb4dea7e761]]  
Date: 26 March, 2012 9:26:24 AM EDT  
To: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>, ICANN <icann@icann.org>  
Cc: Tony Willoughby <twilloughby@iprights.com>, jhubler@verisign.com <jhubler@verisign.com>, nbeizer@networksolutions.com <nbeizer@networksolutions.com>, joe.alagna@centralnic.com <joe.alagna@centralnic.com>

Dear Mr. Schreiber,

Regarding your below email, we confirm that the WIPO Center does administer domain name disputes under the CentralNIC Dispute Resolution Policy. You will find a copy of the CentralNIC Dispute Resolution Policy at the following link: <https://www.centralnic.com/support/dispute/policy>, and a copy of the model complaint at: [www.wipo.int/amc/en/docs/complaint-cnrc.doc](http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/docs/complaint-cnrc.doc)

Please note that in order to file a Complaint with the WIPO Center under CentralNIC Dispute Resolution Policy (using the email address: [domain\\_disputes@wipo.int](mailto:domain_disputes@wipo.int)), it is necessary for a Complainant to have first attempted a mediation at CentralNic under the [CentralNic Mediation Procedure](#) and [Rules](#).

For more information, you may wish to contact [CentralNic](#) directly.

Please note that once you file a Complaint with the WIPO Center you will receive an acknowledgement of receipt email with a case number. At the same time you should proceed with payment of the required fees; the Rules state that (b) No action shall be taken by the Provider on a complaint until it has received from Complainant the initial fee in accordance with [Paragraph 19\(a\)](#); payment by credit card should be made using the WIPO Center's secure [online payment facility](#). For payment-related queries or difficulties, please call (+41 22) 338 8247.

Yours sincerely,

WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center

---

From: Graham Schreiber [mailto:graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com]  
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2012 4:29 PM  
To: ICANN; LRO  
Cc: Tony Willoughby; jhubler@verisign.com; nbeizer@networksolutions.com; joe.alagna@centralnic.com  
Subject: Landcruise Vs Landcruise or Landcruise Vs ???? < Re: ConfirmSystem: icann@icann.org [[59365bb4dea7e761]]

Hi ICANN & WIPO "Legal Rights Objection": cc Tony Willoughby: with also ... James Hubler at VeriSign & Nicholas Beizer at Network Solutions & Joe Alagna at CentralNic.

ICANN ... Please will you share with me the exact position of CentralNic (Organic Names Limited) with both Network Solutions & VeriSign.

I'm trying to recover a domain name; through United States Court; sold by a CentralNic's agent Enom.

It appears in IANA, that the listed "registrar" for ".com" is VeriSign, who own the "Registry" portion of Network Solutions, who have a working contract with CentralNic. At the core of this; CentralNic's retail clients accept liability & the rules of US Law, by contract with / of domain name purchase. As per ....

It must be noted that, the requirements of the end user, of services sold by CentralNic (VeriSign & Network Solutions) are as follows: "subject to oversight by third parties, (VeriSign & Network Solutions), without limitation, the rules, regulations and policies of the Internet Corporation of Assigned Names and Numbers ("ICANN") the U.S. Department of Commerce, the registry administrators of ".com" in VeriSign ccTLD .LA and certain contractual agreements between CentralNic and such registry administrators and other technical service providers (VeriSign & Network Solutions) (collectively, as they may be amended from time to time, the "Third-Party Obligations")."

Beyond struggling to recover my "in constable" mark, through 1st in use / in use, as a "REGISTERED~.COM" it would appear to me, that there is the following problem, that American firms are liable for, which are both "Dilution" and "Contributory Infringement" through and by the sale of ".uk.com" domain extensions.

The sale by "contributory infringement" has lead to "dilution" by ".uk.com" which made an allowance for an "abusive" registration, by a UK firm "Alco Leisure Limited, who are "trading as" Landcruise" with also a ".co.uk" purchased at a later date.

The consequence of sale; has resulted in the UK business securing an [IPO.gov.uk](http://IPO.gov.uk) Trademark, of Landcruise, that they secured, from / at source, the United States.

Now, I'm unable to return to the United Kingdom, to market my business, because they, Alco Leisure Limited, 'own' the Trademark rights to my name and can block me, legally.

Going into micro-detail; from the macro-detail above; I have documented proof that ... The mentioned firm has visited my business, prior to the purchase of the ".uk.com" domain name, and made numerous visits, thereafter.

You'll notice that Tony Willoughby has a CC of this inquiry; because of his relationship with the follow organizations.

Nominet. <> Previous & as yet unresolved issue, owing to internet hierarchy.  
The [IPO.gov.uk](http://IPO.gov.uk) <> Who in due course I must address in UK Court, to recover "my" brand, as per WIPO & Madrid Protocol.  
WIPO <> After getting the US Court order for "Infraction" of Mark, Trademark, Known brand, In use, In contestable, etc, etc.

Upon conclusion of getting the American Law sourced problems solved; I'll be having WIPO appoint Mr. Willoughby, to review my case, for WIPO authority over both the [IPO.gov.uk](http://IPO.gov.uk) & Nominet. Further, if required, I'll be contracting him / his relationships, for presentation in the UK Courts, for local Trademark recovery.

For the record ... In my research / chase of Network Solutions, prior to conversation with Nicholas, I had contact with Bobby Turnage, almost immediately after his departure, as I tracked him down, through LinkedIn. He is now with a new firm; and due to conflict, has declined to help me, so I'm plodding along as a loan Google researcher of facts.

I sincerely hope that somebody has the courtesy to help me get this resolved; as to date, I was after quiet resolution & principle, so I could happily run my business.

But ... Maybe I've happened upon a RETIREMENT FUND! Of say; \$50,000,000.00 or so, maybe a wee-bit less!

From: Graham <graham@landcruise.com>  
Subject: Settle out of Court? <-> Re: The internet ... Governed by US Law and accountable to US Law.  
Date: 25 March, 2012 11:35:48 AM EDT  
To: joe.alagna@centralnic.com  
Cc: jenny@centralnic.com, jhubler@verisign.com, nbeizer@networksolutions.com, ICANN <icann@icann.org>

Hi Joe: cc Jenny, James, Nicholas & ICANN.

Further to my email(s) the last of which [proves](#) the connection & bond between VeriSign & CentralNic, who are in a public business relationship, beneath & with Network Solutions.

When you (Joe) wrote the email having had a conversation or two with me, plus the emails, sent from Yourself & Jenny, You both were in complete knowledge of the complete facts, which I've now cast light on!

Having been loosely accurate for an individual, on a steep learning curve, it might have been best for you to admit things; and help, rather than proactively continue to protect the fact that your firm(s) collectively, are engaged in the "dilution" of brands with "Registered" American protection, under 1st. United States Department of Commerce and 2nd. under ICANN, who are charged with the task of managing or balancing USDoC Laws & rules, with the Internet, from the earliest days, until current.

This fact being that Your businesses success is exclusively at the pleasure of VeriSign, who are governed by ICANN, as is Network Solutions, your firms .com "registrar?" under the IANA "Registry?" (Curiously, as an aside, [centralnic.co.uk](#) has a "registrar" of Enom, same as the party I'm pursuing! <- This is funny! Enom's "Administrative Contact: Whois Privacy Protection Service, Inc. Whois Agent ([privacy@whoisprivacyprotection.com](mailto:privacy@whoisprivacyprotection.com)) ) PLUS [centralnic.com](#) <- Current Registrar: NETWORK SOLUTIONS, LLC.) makes CentralNic and all listed, accountable to US Laws.

Below I'm going to highlight points where, You've (Joe Alagna) shared, what I consider to be **partial** truths, in a gallant effort to send me outside the same United States Jurisdiction of Law's & Contracts, where your collective firms all enjoy contract rights, to WIPO who are no doubt very honest, but are ... outside the US Laws, to which I'm afforded "Rights" too.

Since I've got so much information perhaps, VeriSign; with a Market Value of \$ 6,084,054,660.00 (<http://www.casdaq.com/quote/Verisign>) and Network Solutions with CentraNic working together, in and under VeriSign, could figure out a way to appease me, with an ... Out of Court Settlement, of \$50,000,000.00 as previously mentioned ... maybe a wee-bit less ... I'll walk away from Landcruise, and spend the rest of my days happily doing & funding Rotary projects!

It's just a thought !!!!

Chat soon, cheers, Graham.

On 2011-11-11, at 1:36 PM, Joe Alagna wrote:

Hi Graham,  
There are a few misunderstandings in your email that I'd like to address as it relates to the particular domain name "[landcruise.uk.com](#)".  
**CentralNic acts as registry for [uk.com](#). We do this independently. (Proven a lie. At the pleasure of VeriSign, who own an integral part of Network Solutions, who CentralNic is in a public relationship with.) We are the registrant of [uk.com](#) and we sell our sub-domains such as [landcruise.uk.com](#)**

Selling to unauthorized firm(s) accommodates "dilution" and in CentralNic's published mission statement, your in essence, turning a "blind eye" on the fact that entities who secure cc.TLD domains, such as "[landcruise.uk.com](#)" are not sanctioned or authorized to "create" ... **a simultaneously local and global Internet Identity.**

Nominet is the registry for [uk](#) ([co.uk](#), [org.uk](#), etc). **We are distinctly different companies that manage distinctly different domain endings** and operate under our own policies when it comes to trademark law. Although Nominet have been very kind to reply to you and answer your questions, I'm sure they will acknowledge that they have nothing to do with domain names that end in [uk.com](#).

There is a close knit relationship!

Related to ICANN. As an independent registry CentralNic does not have a formal agreement with ICANN and we are not required to. **(This is left to VeriSign.)** This is because we offer our [sub-domains](#) independently. Our sub-domains (like [landcruise.uk.com](#)) are short and easy-to-remember so many registrars offer them as an alternative when a .com or a .co.uk domain is desired but unavailable.

When the aforementioned two are not available; it's almost always, certainly very frequently, at a very high percent, due to the fact that the "Commercial Enterprise a .COM" is owned by the "Right"ful owner. International companies, Global Companies and Local companies, like mine, bought ".com" through InterNic until September 1998 then ICANN took over, with SRI, AT&T and Network Solutions being the sales agents, thereafter, and it was with Network Solutions that I bought "[Landcruise.com](#)" from, in December of 1998.

Buying from ICANN (under USDoC approval) sales team, or "Registrars" I became and have always been, the "Registered" owner of Landcruise.

Since 1998, I have long surpassed the benchmark of "Known" to the point where I'm "Incontestable" as a trading business "Mark" with a "Branded Name" licensed from & within Virginia, USA. As such, privileged to enjoy the "luxuries" of American Law's & Justice.

But here again, ICANN has nothing to do with the sale of CentralNic sub-domains. ICANN's agreements are primarily with top-level-domain registries like the ones that manage .com, .net, .org and many country code TLDs.

**By the creation of a 2nd level with [cc.com](#) down from .com origin, Your collective firms are "diluting" the source! Much like taking a 100 room mansion; and making it into 100 individual apartments!**

You can view those agreements here: <http://www.icann.org/en/sectors/agreements.html> By the way, many ccTLD registries do not have agreements with ICANN and are not required to. They prefer to manage trademark disputes independently and policies and can vary from registry to registry.

So, although you are welcome to write to ICANN or the Department of Commerce, they won't make any rulings in your case. As I explained over the phone yesterday, CentralNic has negotiated an agreement with WIPO that is very similar (almost exactly the same) as the UDRP. You can view it here: <http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/domains/guidelines/index.html> **We have done this because we care about intellectual property rights ("Poppy Cock" as my Grandmother would say!) and wanted to create a process fair for complainants and registrants. (Fair is within the United States Legal System, the system that you function with in; and are accountable to)**

I feel bad that you're going through all this trouble, but as far as I can tell, this registrant feels that they have the right to that name and we cannot show any bias. Once you hear back from Jenny about the mediation we've attempted, I think that your next and proper recourse will be to carry on a claim with WIPO. As part of the terms of registering that domain, the registrant of [landcruise.uk.com](#) has agreed to abide by our Dispute Resolution Process, and the decision WIPO makes will be binding on us all.

Please feel free to call me or Jenny if you have any more questions.

Sincerely,

Joe Alagna  
GM, North American Markets  
--

CentralNic USA Ltd  
Tel: +1 (909) 606-9175  
Fax: +1 (909) 606-9275  
Web: <http://www.centralnic.com>

CentralNic Ltd is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 4985780  
Registered Offices: 35-39 Moorgate, London, EC2R 6AR  
CentralNic USA Ltd - 15942 Los Serranos CC Drive, #0230, China Hills, CA 91709

From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: Dilution of .com to .uk.com -> .UK.COM .com/.net Domain Names - 101Domains - International Domain Registration made easy.  
Date: 23 March, 2012 10:48:43 PM EDT  
To: jhubler@verisign.com, nbelzer@networksolutions.com, joe.alagna@centralnic.com  
Cc: ICANN <icann@icann.org>



This is Google's cache of <http://www.101domains.com/tlds/uk.com.shtml>. It is a snapshot of the page as it appeared on 15 Mar 2012 01:59:00 GMT. The [current page](#) could have changed in the meantime. [Learn more](#)

These search terms are highlighted: **verisign centralnic uk com**

[Text-only version](#)

**101 DOMAINS**

- Home
- Register Domains
- Prices
- Services
- Help
- Contact Us
- About Us

The Original International Domain Name Registrar since 1997

Know your ideal domain name? Search & register now!

Search for  Global tlds

Commercial subdomains (.com) Prices:

**UK.COM Centralnic British International Domain Registration**

All our **Centralnic** British domain name prices include the maintenance & all other 3rd party fees during the registration period. There are no other costs involved when registering **Centralnic** British domain names, no transfer fees and no hidden costs.

**Registration:**

To register your **Centralnic** British domain name, [click here!](#)

Restrictions: **Centralnic** British domain name registration, None

Check availability

*Notes: Don't **uk.com** put "www" or the **Centralnic** British domain name type, ie. "**uk.com**". Thus for "www.101domains.**uk.com**" enter "101domains" and no more.*

*Please remember that **Centralnic** British domain names can only contain the following letters: a b c d e f g h i j k l m n o p q r s t u v w x y z - 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9, Spaces are NOT ALLOWED.*

**UK.COM Centralnic British and International domain names from 101 Domains since 1997**

**Prices**

**.ae.com - United Arab Emirates (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years  
Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.ar.com - Argentina (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 40.80 per 2 years  
Renewal: \$ 40.80 per 2 years

**.au.com - Australia (NetRegistry)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years  
Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.br.com - Brazil (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years  
Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.cn.com - China (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years  
Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.de.com - Germany (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 132 per 2 years  
Renewal: \$ 132 per 2 years

**.eu.com - Europe (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years  
Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.gb.com - UK-GB (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years  
Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.hu.com - Hungary (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years

Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.jpn.com - Japan (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years

Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.kr.com - Korea (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years

Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.no.com - Norway (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years

Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.qc.com - Quebec (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years

Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.ru.com - Russia (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years

Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.sa.com - Saudi Arabia (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years

Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.se.com - Sweden (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years

Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.uk.com - UK-GB (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years

Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.us.com - USA (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years

Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.uy.com - Uruguay (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years

Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**.za.com - South Africa (Centralnic)**

Registration: \$ 66 per 2 years

Renewal: \$ 66 per 2 years

**Restrictions:**

**Centralnic** British domain name registration, None

All our **Centralnic** British domain name prices include the maintenance & all

other 3rd party fees during the registration period. There are no other costs involved, no transfer fees and no hidden costs.

### **Registration:**

[Register your Centralnic British domain name, click here](#)

### **Terms & Conditions**

**VeriSign** runs the **Centralnic** British domain registry. They are the sole source of **Centralnic** British domains.

**Centralnic** British domain names are intended for Company entities (worldwide).

Registrations at second level permitted.

**Centralnic** British Domain names are registered subject to our [terms and conditions](#) and the **Centralnic** British registry terms and conditions for [Centralnic British domains](#).

### **Delegation Information**

From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
 Subject: .UK.COM - Centralnic British Domain Delegation Information - International Domain Name Registration made easy by BB-Online.co.uk.  
 Date: 23 March, 2012 10:56:38 PM EDT  
 To: jhubler@verisign.com, nbeizer@networksolutions.com, joe.atagna@centralnic.com  
 Cc: ICANN <icann@icann.org>




Home  
 Register a domain  
 Renewal  
 Domain services  
 Support

Support +44 1582 572148  
 (Standard rate call)

## International domain registration, and IP protection

European domains:

**UK-GB (Centralnic) (.uk.com)**



Find and register your domain

Check availability

**Important:** When entering your search string don't write "www" or the Centralnic British domain type, i.e. ".uk.com". Therefore to search "www.bb-online.uk.com" enter "bb-online" and nothing else.

The only letters allowed within a domain name are: a b c d e f g h i j k l m n o p q r s t u v w x y z - 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9. Spaces are NOT ALLOWED.

To register your Centralnic British domain, [click here](#)

### .com - Whois Delegation Record

```
% IANA WHOIS server
% for more information on IANA, visit http://www.iana.org
% This query returned 1 object
domain: COM
organisation: VeriSign Global Registry Services
address: 21345 Ridgetop Circle
address: Dulles Virginia 20166
address: United States
contact: administrative
name: Registry Customer Service
organisation: VeriSign Global Registry Services
address: 21345 Ridgetop Circle
address: Dulles Virginia 20166
address: United States
phone: +1 703 925-6999
fax-no: +1 703 421-5828
e-mail: info@verisign-grs.com
contact: technical
name: Registry Customer Service
organisation: VeriSign Global Registry Services
address: 21345 Ridgetop Circle
address: Dulles Virginia 20166
address: United States
phone: +1 703 925-6999
fax-no: +1 703 421-5828
e-mail: info@verisign-grs.com
nserver: A.GTLD-SERVERS.NET 192.5.6.30 2001:503:a83e:0:0:0:2:30
nserver: B.GTLD-SERVERS.NET 192.33.14.30 2001:503:231d:0:0:0:2:30
nserver: C.GTLD-SERVERS.NET 192.26.92.30
nserver: D.GTLD-SERVERS.NET 192.31.80.30
nserver: E.GTLD-SERVERS.NET 192.12.94.30
nserver: F.GTLD-SERVERS.NET 192.35.51.30
nserver: G.GTLD-SERVERS.NET 192.42.93.30
nserver: H.GTLD-SERVERS.NET 192.54.112.30
nserver: I.GTLD-SERVERS.NET 192.43.172.30
nserver: J.GTLD-SERVERS.NET 192.48.79.30
nserver: K.GTLD-SERVERS.NET 192.52.178.30
nserver: L.GTLD-SERVERS.NET 192.41.162.30
nserver: M.GTLD-SERVERS.NET 192.55.83.30
ds-rdata: 30909 8 2 E2D3C916F6DEEAC73294E8268FB5885044A833FC5459588F4A9184CFC41A5766
whois: whois.verisign-grs.com
status: ACTIVE
remarks: Registration information: http://www.verisign-grs.com
created: 1985-01-01
changed: 2011-03-31
source: IANA
```

Our prices shown for Centralnic British are totally inclusive of maintenance and all third party fees incurred during the course of registration. We guarantee that no additional costs - hidden or otherwise - will be added.

To register your Centralnic British domain, [click here](#)



Centralnic British (.uk.com) Prices:

Domain	Registration	Renewal
.ae.com	£ 59 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.ar.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.au.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.br.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.cn.com	£ 49 per 2 years	£ 29 per 2 years
.de.com	£ 138 per 2 years	£ 118 per 2 years
.eu.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.gb.com	£ 39 per 2 years	£ 39 per 2 years
.hu.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.jpn.com	£ 59 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.kr.com	£ 59 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.no.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.qc.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.ru.com	£ 59 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.sa.com	£ 35 per year	£ 30 per year
.se.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.uk.com	£ 69 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.us.com	£ 59 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.uy.com	£ 59 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years
.za.com	£ 59 per 2 years	£ 59 per 2 years

#### Restrictions:

Centralnic British domains, None

Our prices shown for Centralnic British are totally inclusive of maintenance and all third party fees incurred during the course of registration. We guarantee that no additional costs - hidden or otherwise - will be added.

[Register your Centralnic British domain, click here](#)

#### Terms & Conditions

The Centralnic British domain name registry is operated by Centralnic. All Centralnic British domain names are registered via them.

Centralnic oversee the management of the Centralnic British domain name registry.

Centralnic British domains are intended for Open to all.

Centralnic British Domain names are registered subject to our [terms and conditions](#) and the Centralnic British registry terms and conditions for [Centralnic British domains](#).

**.uk.com Domain Registration**

[.uk.com Registration](#)

**General Information**

[.uk.com UK-GB \(Centralnic\) details](#)

**.uk.com FactSheet**

[.uk.com UK-GB \(Centralnic\) factsheet](#)

**.uk.com Renewals**

[.uk.com UK-GB \(Centralnic\) renewals](#)

**Once it is registered.**

When the Centralnic British domain name is registered it will be held on our international server. We provide a free under-construction page, *for as long as you require one.* (The purpose of this is to show an enquirer that the name is currently registered and is unavailable).

E-mail redirection and web redirection for Centralnic British domains are available as an option.

Free Centralnic British domain transfer to the ISP of your choice - if you wish. BB Online does not charge release fees for transferring domains to different Centralnic British domain name registrars.

PO Box 2162, Luton, Bedfordshire, LU3 2YT, UK. **Tel:** +44 (0)1582 572148 **Fax:** +44 (0)1582 585057 **Email:** [support@bb-online.com](mailto:support@bb-online.com)  
Registered in England & Wales No. 3458098 Fairlands, New Barn Road, New Barn, Longfield, Kent DA3 7JF VAT No. 707 122 077  
[BB Online](#) \* [Domain Recovery](#) \* [Domain Trace](#) \* [Domain Hospital](#) \* [Domain Forum](#) \* [Resources](#)  
© 2009-2011 Copyright BB Online UK Limited. International Domain Registrars. Reproduction partial or otherwise is strictly prohibited.

Graham Schreiber.

Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)

Vancouver: 1.604.227.1610  
Calgary: 1.587.333.4620  
Edmonton: 1.780.666.1580  
Toronto: 1.416.803.4678  
Halifax: 1.902.800.1740



From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: Landcruise Vs Landcruise or Landcruise Vs ????? < Re: ConfirmSystem: icann@icann.org [[59365bb4dea7e761]]  
Date: 23 March, 2012 11:29:05 AM EDT  
To: ICANN <icann@icann.org>, lro@wipo.int  
Cc: Tony Willoughby <twilloughby@iprights.com>, jhubler@verisign.com, nbeizer@networksolutions.com, joe.alagna@centralnic.com



Hi ICANN & WIPO "Legal Rights Objection": cc Tony Willoughby: with also ... James Hubler at VeriSign & Nicholas Beizer at Network Solutions & Joe Alagna at CentralNic.

ICANN ... Please will you share with me the exact position of CentralNic (Organic Names Limited.) with both Network Solutions & VeriSign.

I'm trying to recover a domain name, through United States Court; sold by a CentralNic's agent Enom.

It appear's in IANA, that the listed "registrar" for ".com" is VeriSign, who own the "Registry" portion of Network Solutions, who have a working contract with CentralNic. At the core of this; CentralNic's retail clients accept liability & the rules of US Law, by contract with / of domain name purchase. As per ....

*It must be noted that, the requirements of the end user, of services sold by CentralNic (VeriSign & Network Solutions) are as follows "subject to oversight by third parties. (VeriSign & Network Solutions) , without limitation, the rules, regulations and policies of the Internet Corporation of Assigned Names and Numbers ("ICANN") the U.S. Department of Commerce, the registry administrators of ".com" is VeriSign) ccTLD LA and certain contractual agreements between CentralNic and such registry administrators and other technical service providers (VeriSign & Network Solutions) (collectively, as they may be amended from time to time, the "Third Party Obligations")."*

Beyond struggling to recover my "in constable" mark, through 1st in use / in use, as a "REGISTERED ~ .COM" it would appear to me, that there is the following problem, that American firms are liable for, which are both "Dilution" and "Contributory Infringement" through and by the sale of ".uk.com" domain extensions.

The sale by "contributory infringement" has lead to "dilution" by ".uk.com" which made an allowance for an "abusive" registration, by a UK firm "Alco Leisure Limited, who are ""trading as"" Landcruise" with also a ".co.uk" purchased at a later date.

The consequence of sale; has resulted in the UK business securing an [IPO.gov.uk](http://IPO.gov.uk) Trademark, for my business name, of Landcruise, that they secured, from / at source, the United States.

Now, I'm unable to return to the United Kingdom, to market my business, because they, Alco Leisure Limited, 'own' the Trademark rights to my name and can block me, legally.

Going into micro-detail; from the macro-detail above; I have documented proof that ... The mentioned firm has visited my business, prior to the purchase of the ".uk.com" domain name, and made numerous visits, thereafter.

You'll notice that Tony Willoughby has a CC of this inquiry; because of his relationship with the follow organizations.

- Nominet
  - The [IPO.gov.uk](http://IPO.gov.uk)
  - WIPO
- ◊ Previous & as yet unresolved issue, owing to internet hierarchy.
  - ◊ Who in due course I must address in UK Court, to recover "my" brand, as per WIPO & Madrid Protocol.
  - ◊ After getting the US Court order for "Infraction" of Mark, Trademark, Known brand, In use, In contestable, etc, etc.

Upon conclusion of getting the American Law sourced problems solved; I'll be having WIPO appoint Mr. Willoughby, to review my case, for WIPO authority over both the [IPO.gov.uk](http://IPO.gov.uk) & Nominet. Further, if required, I'll be contracting him / his relationships, for presentation in the UK Courts, for local Trademark recovery.

For the record ... In my research / chase of Network Solutions, prior to conversation with Nicholas, I had contact with Bobby Turnage, almost immediately after his departure, as I tracked him down, through LinkedIn. He is now with a new firm; and due to conflict, has declined to help me, so I'm plodding along as a loan Google researcher of facts.

I sincerely hope that somebody has the courtesy to help me get this resolved; as to date, I was after quiet resolution & principle, so I could happily run my business.

But ... Maybe I've happened upon a RETIREMENT FUND! Of say; \$50,000,000.00 or so, maybe a wee-bit less!

Wishful thinking for a weekend ...

Cheers,

Graham Schreiber.

Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)

- Vancouver: 1.604.227.1610
- Calgary: 1.587.333.4620
- Edmonton: 1.780.666.1580
- Toronto: 1.416.803.4678
- Halifax: 1.902.800.1740

On 2011-10-04, at 5:07 PM, [icann@icann.org](mailto:icann@icann.org) wrote:

Thank you for your message.

From: Graham <graham@landcruise.com>  
Subject: Landcruise Vs Landcruise for US Courts > Central Registry Solutions - ICANNWiki { with / under VeriSign & HQ in Virginia. }  
Date: 22 March, 2012 9:36:00 AM EDT  
To: jhubler@verisign.com, nbeizer@networksolutions.com, joe.alagna@centralnic.com

## Central Registry Solutions

Like 0

Share

From ICANNWiki



**Central Registry Solutions** is a back-end registry service provider established by the joint venture between Network Solutions and CentralNic. The company was established in 2009 with Bill Gore serving as Chairman of the Board. The company's offices are located in London, UK and Virginia, USA. <sup>[1]</sup> <sup>[2]</sup>

### Services

CRS provides the Registry Services which include domain look-up (Whois), domain registrations, domain renewals, DNS resolution services and domain forwarding; Marketing and Distribution Strategic Planning; Consulting Services for ICANN Application for new gTLDs and Value-Added Services. <sup>[3]</sup>

### Leadership


The CRS team include: <sup>[4]</sup>

- Bill Gore, Chairman of the Board
- Ben Crawford, Board Member
- Rick Wilhelm, Board Member
- Statton Hammock, Corporate Counsel & Board Secretary
- Sangeetha Narasimhan, Management Team
- Paul Diaz, Management Team
- Gavin Brown, Management Team

### References

1. ↑ Central Registry Services Website (<http://02d18a6.netsolhost.com>)
2. ↑ Network Solutions® and CentralNic Launch New Joint Venture Called Central Registry Solutions ([http://02d18a6.netsolhost.com/press-releases\\_20090302.html](http://02d18a6.netsolhost.com/press-releases_20090302.html))
3. ↑ Services (<http://02d18a6.netsolhost.com/registry-services.html>)
4. ↑ CRS Team (<http://02d18a6.netsolhost.com/team.html>)

Retrieved from "http://icannwiki.com/index.php/Central\_Registry\_Solutions"  
Categories: USA | Companies | Registries



Type: Partnership  
 Industry: Internet  
 Founded: 2009  
 Founder(s): Network Solutions, LLC and CentralNic Ltd.  
 Headquarters: Virginia  
 Country: USA  
 Website: CRS Website (<http://02d18a6.netsolhost.com/index.php>)  
 Blog: CRS Blog (<http://02d18a6.netsolhost.com/blog/>)

**Key People**

Bill Gore, Chairman of the Board

- This page was last modified on 25 January 2012, at 00:48.
- This page has been accessed 42 times.

From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: Zone File & cc.tld ?? <- Who are CentralNic? | Our Services | CentralNic  
Date: 21 March, 2012 10:30:59 AM EDT  
To: jhubler@verisign.com, legal@verisign.com, nbeizer@networksolutions.com, info@centralnic.com



1 Attachment, 47 KB

## CentralNic: Who are CentralNic?

### Account Login

- [Skip Navigation](#)
- [Our Names](#)
- [Our Services](#)
  - [Who are CentralNic?](#)
  - [Registry System](#)
  - [Innovation](#)
  - [Registry Models](#)
  - [Migration Process](#)
  - [New TLDs](#)
- [Registrars](#)
- [Support](#)
- [About Us](#)
- [Contact](#)

### Path:

- [Home](#)
- / [Our Services](#)
- / [Who are CentralNic?](#)

[com-tld-zo...t.doc \(47 KB\)](#)

## Zone File Access Agreement

[http://www.verisigninc.com/en\\_US/products-and-services/domain-name-services/grow-your-domain-name-business/tld-zone-access/index.xhtml](http://www.verisigninc.com/en_US/products-and-services/domain-name-services/grow-your-domain-name-business/tld-zone-access/index.xhtml)

<http://www.icann.org/en/about/agreements/registries/verisign/appendix-03-01mar06-en.htm>

<http://geonic.net/?section=glossary&subsection=structure&exe=glossary4>

## Who are CentralNic?

CentralNic was founded in 1995 with the vision of bringing more choice and competition into the domain name marketplace. When Nominet launched the .CO.UK domain for the UK in that year, the original price was to be about £150.

However, once Nomination (CentralNic's predecessor) launched the [UK.COM](#) registry, inspired by an idea suggested by Internet "founding father" [Jon Postel](#), charging only £32.50 per year, the effect of the additional competition reduced the price of domain name registrations in the UK, to the point where the UK is now one of the biggest marketplaces for domain names in the world, disproportionate to its population.

### Growth

CentralNic brings experience, expertise, and a competitive business style to the domain registry space...

As the [UK.COM](#) registry grew, it was recognised that there was further potential to introduce new second-level domain names to compete with ccTLDs that had excessive pricing and restrictive registration rules. As a result, in the year 2000 Nomination was reformed into **CentralNic**, with a large portfolio of two-letter .COM and .NET domain names, covering over 70% of the world's population.

From the beginning, **CentralNic's** business model was well suited to offering a scalable registry platform: multiple namespaces were offered side-by-side (whereas most registry systems could only handle a single namespace and had to be cloned in order to support more than one TLD), and a strong emphasis on registrar-led sales and marketing which was an innovation in the days before the Shared Registry System (SRS) was introduced, **when (the original) Network Solutions (now VeriSign) had a monopoly on all gTLD registrations.**

### New Ideas

The reformation of the company in 2004 with new shareholders and a new management team has resulted in a new era of growth and development for **CentralNic**, resulting in significant expansion into the marketplace, earning **CentralNic** its reputation as a *major player in the domain name industry*.







From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: VeriSign agent, CentralNic <> Cease & Desist notice for Landcruise.uk.com  
Date: 12 March, 2012 3:53:38 PM EDT  
To: DMCA@verisign.com, complaint-followup@icann.org, idn@ICANN.org  
Cc: info@centralnic.com  
Bcc: byron.holland@cira.ca



Hi DMCA and Legal & Complaints @ ICANN: cc CentralNic:

Under authority of the **United States Department of Commerce**, my business "[Landcruise.com](http://Landcruise.com)" is a Whois "**registered**" domain name, in business & conducting business since 1998, under / in ... Virginia, USA with "**States Law**" coverage, pending USPTO Trademark # 85348243, by employment of InterNic / Network Solution registration and "Hosting" in Virginia, with Network Solutions, accommodates me with the luxuries of US Law protection.

As VeriSign is the "**Master Franchise**" who grants a "**Franchisee**" license to CentralNic, to divide / sub-divide / dilute a ".com" with "[cc.com](http://cc.com)" they are functioning under United States Law; therefore, I request that you terminate their clients use, of my "Brand" name = Landcruise, with the ".uk.com" classification.

### Cease & Desist notice to [Landcruise.uk.com](http://Landcruise.uk.com)

Lorraine Dunabin: [lorraine@landcruise.uk.com](mailto:lorraine@landcruise.uk.com) ~ Alco Leisure Limited. ~ "**Trading As**" ~ "Landcruise".  
Unit 5, Woodhorn Business Centre, Woodhorn Lane, Oving, Chichester, West Sussex, PO20 2BX, UK. Telephone: +44 1243 641402

This firm is using my "**brand name**" of "Landcruise" in cyberspace and selling an "**Identical**" or "**Look-a-Like**" service, imitating mine and sourcing their business from my marketplace ~ inside cyberspace ~ willfully confusing prospect clients into a "trust relationship" as proven, within their own website, they state, under ... **34 DEFINITIONS & INTERPRETATION ~ a. DEFINITIONS ~ ii. 'The Company' or 'The Company' means Alco Leisure Ltd trading as LandCruise, 1 Chalder Farm Cottages, Chalder Lane, Sidlesham, West Sussex, PO20 7RN.**

This firm **DOSE NOT** have my permission to communicate the name "Landcruise" in their business and they never have. They are aware of my grievance with them, through Nominet (**functioning with contempt & bias towards WIPO Law, and an even more deplorable act of ignoring PROVEN abuse.**) & CentralNic, communications!

I've researched & concluded that ....under **USPTO & States Law**; a business "**after five years, become "incontestable," at which point the exclusive right to use the mark is conclusively established."**" > <http://cyber.law.harvard.edu/mtaschool/fisher/domain/tm.htm> < and as both USPTO & ICANN / IANA govern VeriSign, by or under, USDoC, I'd request that through all levels of domain name, country code domain name & all others to come, be restricted from using my Brand Name, ANYWHERE inside the internet.

The Trademark Clearinghouse is ... **To little, to late** ... and since it's no doubt being bantered about, this week, in Sunny Costa Rica, I'd request that you apply US Trademark rules to all future domains. That being, after five (5) years, uncontested & unimpeded, an originally registered ".com" should become GLOBALLY INCONTESTABLE.

And and if a business has gone ten (10) Years; without issue, it should be grandfathered and automatically be granted it's own .brand .... in my case ".landcruise". Conveniently, mine went almost eleven (11) years, before being affected, by a "knowing" brand pirate, liar and fraud.


I look forward to hearing from you shortly.

Cheers,

Graham Schreiber.

Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)

Vancouver: 1.604.227.1610  
Calgary: 1.587.333.4620  
Edmonton: 1.780.666.1580  
Toronto: 1.416.803.4678  
Halifax: 1.902.800.1740



From: no-reply@icann.org  
Subject: ICANN Contact Form - Copy  
Date: 4 October, 2011 8:08:40 AM EDT  
To: graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com

From: graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com (10.32.0.17)

First Name: Graham

Last Name: Schreiber

Email Address: graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com

Affiliation: Founder & President of Landcruise; established in 1998.

Country of Current Residence: Canada

Subject: USPTO Trademark of

Message: Dear Sir / Madam:

I wish to restrict the renewal of the domain name "landcruise.uk.com" on the 12th. of October, 2011 to the current holder; because I'm now completing the final requirements of a United States "trademark" as above, with the USPTO.

An arbitration has been done with "Nominet" in the United Kingdom, for other 2nd level domains, with no resolution, as the ".uk.com" is beyond their authority. Control over this URL, is within the United States and it's laws.

Proof of use; by myself & my my business, DBA / Doing Business As can be easily proven, via the "whois" service.

I can be reached at 416.803.4678 or by email, at the listed email address.

Thank you very much.

Graham Schreiber.





From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: WIPO > Preparing case & need help, please!  
Date: 11 November, 2011 3:23:00 PM EST  
To: idn@ICANN.org



Dear Sir / Madam:

The approval of the IDN country code Top-Level Domain names, has created a conflict between my business, which is "Landcruise.com" as established in 1998 and a new business Landcruise.uk.com has shown-up; in 2009.

They are creating bother for me, and confusion in the marketplace, via search engines, because of the name being identical. This in due course, will (if it has not already, without my being informed) cause past clients as future repeat clients, prospects who have known of my business for many years, waiting for my type of service to fit their needs and referrals, that have been given advice, go to 'Landcruise' or in todays terms, 'go google landcruise'.

Can you tell me of other business who have had this similar problem and how they prepared themselves to win at WIPO?

I contend that everything related to the Internet is rooted in the United States; and that ICANN runs the internet, on behalf of The United States Department of Commerce, so

Will my web-hosting from the US, with Network Solutions have a bearing?  
Will my eleven year advance have a bearing?  
Will my USPTO Trademark have a bearing?

Any advise you can share would be most appreciated.

Thank you.

Graham Schreiber,  
Landcruise Ltd. > www.landcruise.com  
1.416.803.4678.

From: Graham <graham@landcruise.com>  
Subject: ccTLD's. < More information on the Framework of Interpretation Working Group – Interim Report public comment period  
Date: 11 November, 2011 4:36:57 PM EST  
To: bart.boswinkel@icann.org

Hi Bart:

I would like to suggest that when a business applies for a domain name; that is Country Specific, such as, [www.landcruise.uk.com](http://www.landcruise.uk.com) that they must have ~ **1st & foremost** ~ the [www.Landcruise.com](http://www.Landcruise.com) domain name. *(and this question / business stated, is owing to an arbitration that I'm in, that will ultimately end at WIPO)*

This is required because; the small print dose not go far enough to eliminate 'pirate' use or 'Cybersquatting' of people / business domain names, as per in my problems case ...

WEBHOSTING SERVICE RULES:  
[of https://www.euhost.com/terms.php](https://www.euhost.com/terms.php)

**Banned Content**  
Illegal Material - This includes illegally exploited **copyrighted works**, commercial audio, video, or music files, and any material in violation of any regulation or **material that is perceived to be misleading**. Defamatory content - any website content **that makes a false claim**, expressively stated or **implied** to be factual, **that may give an individual, business, product, group, government or nation a negative image**.

DOMAIN REGISTERING FIRM'S RULES:  
[http://www.enom.com/terms/whl\\_terms.asp](http://www.enom.com/terms/whl_terms.asp)

3. Your Advertising Content Guidelines. You acknowledge and agree that in order for eNom to maintain the integrity of the Business Listing Services, You are subject to this Service Agreement and the other terms and conditions in the eNom Registration Agreement. eNom may, in its sole discretion, reject, cancel, or remove, at any time, any Advertising Content from the Business Listing Services for any reason without prior notice to You.

eNom will not be liable in any way for any rejection, cancellation or removal of any Advertising Content. You represent and warrant that: **(a) You have all necessary authority to enter into this Agreement;** (b) You will comply with all applicable laws; (c) that all text, data and information submitted by You for display as the Advertising Content is true, accurate, and complete; and that **(d) any use and display of the Advertising Content shall not:** (i) **infringe or violate any patent, copyright, trademark, service mark, trade secret, or other intellectual property right of a third party, including any right of privacy or publicity;** (ii) violate any federal, state or local laws or regulations or foreign laws; (iii) **contain material that is pornographic, obscene, vulgar, defamatory, libelous, fraudulent, misleading, threatening, hateful, or racially or ethnically objectionable** at the sole opinion of eNom; (iv) condone or participate in any activities designed to harm minors in any way; or (v) condone or participate in activities designed to **impersonate the identity of a third party;** or (vi) **be likely to result in any consumer fraud, product liability, tort, breach of contract, injury, damage or harm of any kind to any person or entity.**

I feel that a ".com" should be the the highest of the high (TLD) listings, as it was originally. Further, that when a person / business buys a ".com" it should be sold at a much, much higher price, thus incorporating the myriad ccTLD domains & the 2nd level domains, as a blanket coverage, for the whole World, much as the evolution of Trademarks, in the United States, went from State Trademarks, to a National Trademark system, per the Lanham Act, in the US.

Currently to mitigate further damage to my (home) businesses brand or mark; I've purchased "Landcruise" with many other ccTLD to merge or into the primary [Landcruise.com](http://Landcruise.com) website.


Granted I should have known better and bought all the domains years ago, but .... ..

PLEASE ~ If you have any 'case law' examples of people who've walked in my shoes & won, at the WIPO, I'd sure like to know about them, so that I can compose all of my current facts and add some, to win back my complete businesses branded name, on the internet.

Thank you for chairing the committee that asks the questions & does all the laborious work of making the internet a better place.

Cheers,

Graham Schreiber.  
Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)  
416.803.4678.



From: no-reply@icann.org  
Subject: ICANN Contact Form - Copy  
Date: 16 January, 2012 3:27:30 PM EST  
To: graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com

From: graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com (10.32.0.34)

First Name: Graham

Last Name: Schreiber

Email Address: graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com

Affiliation: Founder & President of Landcruise Ltd.

Country of Current Residence: Canada

Subject: Nominet problem, not Network Solutions problem.

Message: ++++ I think your form sent this to the wrong people. ++++

Dear Sir / Madam:

Im writing in connection with a Nominet file which I feel is being poorly handled between myself Landcruise Ltd / Graham Schreiber Vs Alco Leisure Ltd Trading as Landcruise.

Volumes of evidence has been submitted for my request that the respondent be removed from local UK domain extensions however I feel as though theyre dawdling with bias and are reluctant to follow their own rules and the rules of other firms governed either by them or under ICANN.

To date Ive paid the 900 Pounds Sterling fee for an expert and at this juncture Nominet are in a breach of contract based on an administrative error at their end which theyve finally conceded to in writing.

Additionally the multi-national rules such as the Madrid System are being violated which Ive pointed out.

I invite you to inspect their files by emails received from me and addressed to both Nominet and also centralNic for the complete document. Ill explain later how both are involved.



Digital proof was also furnished which shows that the respondent has lied repetitively in their rebuttals.

Thank you for your help.

Sincerely Graham Schreiber.  
416 803 4678.



From: "Compliance CCTLD" <complaint-followup@icann.org>  
Subject: [#EQA-955981]: ICANN Contact Form - Nominet problem, not Network Solutions problem.  
Date: 25 January, 2012 5:16:53 PM EST  
To: graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com  
Reply-To: complaint-followup@icann.org

Dear Mr. Schreiber,

Thank you for your message.

If you believe a domain name is identical or confusingly similar to a trademark or service mark in which you have rights, and the current registrant has registered and is using the name in bad faith (and has no rights or legitimate interests in the name), you can begin an administrative proceeding under the Uniform Domain-Name Dispute-Resolution Policy (UDRP).

Please note, this is a narrow category, so you should proceed with caution. For more details on this option, including a list of dispute resolution service providers, please see <http://www.icann.org/en/udrp/>. You may wish to seek legal advice to determine if this is applicable to your situation, or to assist in identifying additional alternatives.  
Kind regards,

ICANN Contractual Compliance

[graham\\_schreiber@landcruise.com](mailto:graham_schreiber@landcruise.com) Posted on: 16 Jan 2012 12:30 PM

=====  
From: [graham\\_schreiber@landcruise.com](mailto:graham_schreiber@landcruise.com)

First Name: Graham

Last Name: Schreiber

Email Address: [graham\\_schreiber@landcruise.com](mailto:graham_schreiber@landcruise.com)

Affiliation: Founder & President of Landcruise Ltd.

Country of Current Residence: Canada

Subject: Nominet problem, not Network Solutions problem.

Message: ++++ I think your form sent this to the wrong people. ++++

Dear Sir / Madam:

Im writing in connection with a Nominet file which I feel is being poorly handled between myself Landcruise Ltd / Graham Schreiber Vs Alco Leisure Ltd Trading as Landcruise.

Volumes of evidence has been submitted for my request that the respondent be removed from local UK domain extensions however I feel as though theyre dawdling with bias and are reluctant to follow their own rules and the rules of other firms governed either by them or under ICANN.

To date Ive paid the 900 Pounds Sterling fee for an expert and at this juncture Nominet are in a breach of contract based on an administrative error at their end which theyve finally conceded to in writing.

Additionally the multi-national rules such as the Madrid System are being violated which Ive pointed out.

I invite you to inspect their files by emails received from me and addressed to both Nominet and also centralNic for the complete document. Ill explain later how both are involved.

Digital proof was also furnished which shows that the respondent has lied repetitively in their rebuttals.

Thank you for your help.

Sincerely Graham Schreiber.  
416 803 4678.

Ticket Details

Ticket ID: EQA-955981  
Department: DN Dispute  
Priority: Low  
Status: Closed

From: Graham Schreiber <[graham\\_schreiber@landcruise.com](mailto:graham_schreiber@landcruise.com)>  
Subject: VeriSign agent, CentralNic <> Cease & Desist notice for [Landcruise.uk.com](http://Landcruise.uk.com)  
Date: 12 March, 2012 3:53:38 PM EDT  
To: [DMCA@verisign.com](mailto:DMCA@verisign.com), [complaint-followup@icann.org](mailto:complaint-followup@icann.org), [ids@ICANN.org](mailto:ids@ICANN.org)  
Cc: [info@centralnic.com](mailto:info@centralnic.com)

Hi DMCA and Legal & Complaints @ ICANN: cc CentralNic:

Under authority of the United States Department of Commerce, my business "[Landcruise.com](http://Landcruise.com)" is a Whois "registered" domain name, in business & conducting business since 1998, under / in ... Virginia, USA with "States Law" coverage, pending USPTO Trademark # 85348243, by employment of InterNic / Network Solution registration and "Hosting" in Virginia, with Network Solutions, accommodates me with the luxuries of US Law protection.

As VeriSign is the "Master Franchise" who grants a "Franchisee" license to CentralNic, to divide / sub-divide / dilute a ".com" with "[cc.com](http://cc.com)" they are functioning under United States Law; therefore, I request that you terminate their clients use, of my "Brand" name = Landcruise, with the ".uk.com" classification.

Cease & Desist notice to [Landcruise.uk.com](http://Landcruise.uk.com)

Lorraine Dunabin: [lorraine@landcruise.uk.com](mailto:lorraine@landcruise.uk.com) ~ Alco Leisure Limited. ~ "Trading As" ~ "Landcruise".

Unit 5, Woodhorn Business Centre, Woodhorn Lane, Oving, Chichester, West Sussex, PO20 2BX, UK. Telephone: +44 1243 641402

This firm is using my "brand name" of "Landcruise" in cyberspace and selling an "identical" or "Look-a-Like" service, imitating mine and sourcing their business from my marketplace ~ inside cyberspace ~ willfully confusing prospect clients into a "trust relationship" as proven, within their own website, they state, under ... 34 DEFINITIONS & INTERPRETATION ~ a. DEFINITIONS ~ ii. 'The Company' or 'The Company' means Alco Leisure Ltd **trading as** LandCruise, 1 Chalder Farm Cottages, Chalder Lane, Sidlesham, West Sussex, PO20 7RN.

This firm **DOSE NOT** have my permission to communicate the name "Landcruise" in their business and they never have. They are aware of my grievance with them, through Nominet {functioning with contempt & bias towards WIPO Law, and an even more deplorable act of ignoring PROVEN abuse.} & CentralNic, communications!

I've researched & concluded that ....under USPTO & States Law; a business "after five years, become "incontestable," at which point the exclusive right to use the mark is conclusively established." > <http://cyber.law.harvard.edu/metaschool/fisher/domain/tm.htm> < and as both USPTO & ICANN / IANA govern VeriSign, by or under, USDoC, I'd request that through all

levels of domain name, country code domain name & all others to come, be restricted from using my Brand Name, ANYWHERE inside the internet.

The Trademark Clearinghouse is ... To little, to late ... and since it's no doubt being bantered about, this week, in Sunny Costa Rica, I'd request that you apply US Trademark rules to all future domains. That being, after five (5) years, uncontested & unimpeded, an originally registered ".com" should become GLOBALLY INCONTESTABLE.

And and if a business has gone ten (10) Years; without issue, it should be grandfathered and automatically be granted it's own .brand .... in my case ".landcruise". Conveniently, mine went almost eleven (11) years, before being affected, by a "knowing" brand pirate, liar and fraud.

I look forward to hearing from you shortly.

Cheers,

Graham Schreiber.

Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)

Vancouver: 1.604.227.1610  
Calgary: 1.587.333.4620  
Edmonton: 1.780.666.1580  
Toronto: 1.416.803.4678  
Halifax: 1.902.800.1740



About CentralNic USA LTD:

Originally founded in 1994, CentralNic ([www.centralnic.com](http://www.centralnic.com)) is an independent global domain name registry committed to making it easier for Internet users to establish new and distinctive domain names with regional and country-specific identities. CentralNic has a portfolio of 23 domain names, including [eu.com](http://eu.com) (Europe), [uk.com](http://uk.com) (United Kingdom), & [us.com](http://us.com) (United States).

On 2012-02-16, at 5:41 PM, Tracy Lillis wrote:  
Dear Graham Schreiber,

Thank you for speaking with me earlier today, 2/16/12. I wanted to let you know that I still have not received the email you were forwarding to me.

I am sorry for the difficulties you are experiencing with regard to the trademark for LandCruise. Based on our conversation today, I believe Verisign may have been providing you information regarding the registration of the domain [CENTRALNIC.COM](http://CENTRALNIC.COM). That domain is registered with Network Solutions as a Customer not as a Licensee of Network Solutions.

Based on your voice message, I am providing the following information:

Network Solutions is accredited by ICANN as a Registrar and is not in control over ICANN. As for your inquiries regarding Central Nic and their accreditation history, I am sorry, but I will have to refer you to Central Nic for any information regarding their organization.

As we discussed earlier, Registrars are not responsible for determining the rights of domain name Registrants. Therefore, we are not in a position to prohibit the registration of domain names that may infringe on the trademark rights of an individual or an organization. If you have the domain name trademarked, you do have legal recourse and I urge you to consult an attorney to secure your rights under the law. I hope that helps.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, I can be reached at 570-708-8700 ext 9125. My office is available Monday through Friday 9 AM - 6 PM Eastern Time. Please note: I will be out of the office and unavailable on Friday, 2/17/12.

For all other inquiries, as a Network Solutions Customer, you are entitled to unlimited access, day or night, to technically skilled Customer Service Representatives who are dedicated to delivering any level of support you may need. To reach us, call 1-888-642-9675. If you are calling from outside the U.S. or Canada, please call 1-570-708-8788. You may also submit your request online at <http://www.networksolutions.com/help/email.jsp>.

Sincerely,

Tracy Lillis  
Executive Support Office  
Tel 570-708-8700 ext 9125 | Fax 570-708-3077



# Country-Specific Domain Names Now Available Through eNom

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

If you've been wanting to register "**whatever.com**" and it hasn't been available, you might just be able to get "**whatever.us.com**" in its place. These unrestricted domain names are now widely available on a first come first serve basis.

eNom, one of the largest ICANN Accredited Domain Name Registrars, has introduced a series of two-letter, geo-specific, domain names. These domain names, made possible by CentralNic, a private London Based domain name registry, include US.COM, EU.COM, UK.COM, CN.COM, RU.COM, DE.COM & twelve others that represent the worlds most populated countries.



Matt Stearn, VP, eNom Inc. & Joe Alagna, GM, North America, CentralNic, Ltd

"Our names open up the entire domain space again and work within the existing Internet infrastructure." said Joe Alagna, CentralNic's North American Manager. "There are tens of thousands of people in all of these countries who would love to be able to get a more descriptive domain name that ends in dot com, but can't because all the good dot com domains are taken. We not only give them a dot com domain, but we also give them a domain that relates to their specific country or region. People like that."

Matt Stearn, Vice President at eNom stated, "The domains are doing much better than projected. Considering the ease of implementation, high margins, CentralNic's rock-solid reputation, and long track record of success; adding their domains to our mix was a good business decision."

About CentralNic (<http://www.centralnic.com>) - Originally founded in 1995 as NomiNation, CentralNic is an independent global domain name registry committed to making it easier for Internet users to establish new and distinctive domain names with regional and country-specific identities. CentralNic has a portfolio of 18

domain names, including eu.com (Europe), uk.com (United Kingdom), & us.com, (United States).

#### Contact In London:

[Daniel.Schindler@centralnic.com](mailto:Daniel.Schindler@centralnic.com)

Phone: +44 (0) 870 017 0900.

#### Contact In the US:

[Joe.Alagna@centralnic.com](mailto:Joe.Alagna@centralnic.com)

Phone: +1 (909) 606 9175

About eNom (<http://www.enom.com>) - eNom, Inc. is an ICANN accredited domain name registrar. eNom provides domain name registration services directly to retail buyers and discounts these services to high volume resellers, ISPs and web-hosting companies. An innovator among registrars, eNom was the first to include DNS services, email forwarding and URL redirection with a domain name purchase. eNom also offers hosting, email, website monitoring, multi-lingual domains, international domains, and secure server IDs.

#### Contact In the US:

[Matt.Stearn@enom.com](mailto:Matt.Stearn@enom.com)

Phone: +1 (425) 274 4500

[Home](#) / [Site Map](#) / [Privacy Policy](#) / [© 2012 CentralNic Ltd.](#) All Rights Reserved / Friday, July 20th, 2012.

From: The Domains <donotreply@wordpress.com>  
Subject: [New post] Paul Stahura Of Donuts Picked By ManagingIP As One Of "The Most Influential People In The Americas"  
Date: 19 July, 2012 4:58:04 PM EDT  
To: graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com

New post on **The Domains**



## **D.** Paul Stahura Of Donuts Picked By ManagingIP As One Of "The Most Influential People In The Americas"

by [Michael H. Berkens](#)

[ManagingIP.com](#) has named [Paul Stahura of Donuts, Inc.](#) as one of the "The Most Influential People In The Americas".

ManagingIP selected 50 people who are "shaping the IP industry Worldwide".

Here is what the publication had to say about Paul:

"If you are a trade mark owner and aren't already familiar with Donuts, you soon will be."

"When Icaann announced last month that it had received 1,930 applications for new gTLDs, Donuts – a start-up company with \$100 million in venture funding – was revealed as the single biggest applicant, responsible for nearly one in six applications."

"Strings it is seeking range from .academy and .accountants to .yoga and .zone. While it is competing with other applicants for many of them, the company says it has the will – and the cash – to win and operate all of the 307 gTLDs it seeks."

"CEO Paul Stahura leads a seven-man executive team at Donuts, all of them seasoned domain-name specialists."

"Before launching the company, he founded registrar eNom in his garage; 10 years later it was making \$80 million in revenue, and he sold to Demand Media."

"He also owns four US patents."

"But testifying to Congress in 2009, he admitted that he always wanted to run a registry, rather than a registrar."

"Donuts will give him that chance: it has a serious business plan, including provisions for enhanced IP protection, and along with other big gTLD operators such as Fairwinds and TLD Holdings it is set to transform business on the internet."

Congrats to Paul

[Michael H. Berkens](#) July 19, 2012 at 4:57 pm | Categories: [Domain Industry](#) | URL: <http://wp.me/pqbbY-75U>

[Comment](#) [See all comments](#)

Unsubscribe or change your email settings at [Manage Subscriptions](#).

Trouble clicking? Copy and paste this URL into your browser:

<http://www.thedomains.com/2012/07/19/paul-stahura-of-donuts-picked-by-managingip-as-one-of-the-most-influential-people-in-the-americas/>

Introduction of New gTLDs: GNSO/Staff Discussion  
Los Angeles ~ 11 April 2008.

Note: The following is the output of transcribing from an audio recording of the Introduction of New gTLDs: GNSO/Staff Discussion in Los Angeles on 11 April 2008. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases it is incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages or transcription errors. It is posted as an aid to understanding the proceedings at the meeting, but should not be treated as an authoritative record. The audio is also available at:

<http://gns0.icann.org/calendar/#april>

Paul Stahura, [Inam 00:01:03], and I'm an observer here.  
John Nevitt, Network Solutions, registrar constituency.  
Dan Schindler, Centronic.

Page # 5. Avri.

So the first thing that was listed was in relation to term of reference 1. Whether to introduce the new gTLD and the topics that fell under that, was scheduled from .....

Page # 16. Ken.

Yeah, please understand, Kurt and John and Dan and so forth that I wasn't trying to drill down to specifics. What I'm concerned about is setting the tone for the process. That needs to be set at the point that the RFP is sent to the community. People need to understand clearly, one, that this is a process that you can gain, number two, that we have expectations from you – we're going to require that you meet those expectations.

Page # 73. Paul.

I think another... final thing I'm going to say... **another benefit, I think, of this certification thinking is everybody knows what is certified and what isn't**, so that we have a bunch of examples. Right now, you know, I don't know that... **are these ccTLD registries, can I use them, is that going to pass? Can I use [Centralnic 02:47:12]? You know, a third-level registry? You know, what? Give me some examples of what would pass the bar – that's another benefit of actually having the certification processes**. Everybody would know what good examples we have.

From the above cut-n-paste meeting review text, I think they're talking "right of the Dot" ~

However, in this whole dialog, Paul, of Enom, expresses "**everybody knows what is certified and what isn't**," I think that, that observation about the new domains, represents that Enom, had some misgivings about CentralNic; and their legitimacy, perhaps from proceeding WIPO interactions, from after the 2004 sign-up date and before this meeting.

Between the time Lorraine signed-up for the offending domain name, to infringe mine; and currently, Enom have dramatically changed the written presentaion of the CentralNic product range.



Home IP Services Arbitration and Mediation Center Second WIPO Internet Domain Name Process RFC

## [process2-comments] RFC-3

To: [process.mail@wipo.int](mailto:process.mail@wipo.int)

From: CentralNic

Subject: RFC-3

Date: 25th May 2001

Mr Francis Gurry  
Assistant Director General  
World Intellectual Property Organization Office of Legal and Organization Affairs  
34, chemin des Colombettes  
P.O. Box 18 1211  
Geneva 20  
Switzerland

25th May 2001

Dear Mr Gurry ,

### Geographical Domain Names. -WIPO 2000 Process

Following our discussion at your Brussels meeting I am writing about the applicability of the WIPO UDRP to registrants of CentralNic domains.

Before addressing this issue however I would like to provide you with some history and facts that I hope will help to explain the position of Centra1Nic in the Domain Name field. As a Registry we "fall between the cracks" of ccTLD and GTLD registries and outside the normal jurisdiction of ICANN. I also recognise that there are a number of private registries whose behaviour and business practices are not up to a good standard.

CentralNic has always attempted to uphold good practices in the Registry business. Many major companies will attest to you our support and helpfulness in disputes relating to trademark infringement and cybersquatting. Our record of never having been sued in over six years of operating as a registry attests to this.

Your report lists potential areas of abuse that could arise from our position, and to be fair it also makes the point that such abuse is not taking place. It does not however highlight the benefits that can arise from us providing choice in many countries.

In the UK we started trading six months before Nominet UK. At that time it was proposed that domains under co.uk would cost £200 UK (approximately 320 Euros) each. I believed this to be an abuse of monopoly power and I consulted the late Jon Postel. It was he who suggested that I should set up uk.com as an alternative registry. I did so, pricing uk.com at £65 UK. When Nominet UK started trading, co.uk was priced at £100 UK and quickly dropped to £80 UK. This story illustrates that competition can be good and beneficial to users and registrants.

There are also many countries, even in Europe where ccTLD managers (who are not always Government controlled) apply restrictive and capricious controls and exorbitant pricing to domain names. The provision of a good alternative choice backed by a company such as Centra1Nic, which has a good reputation and an enviably long trading record in the Internet arena, is a useful service to Internet users.

I would welcome some recognition of the good we can bring as well as the largely theoretical potential abuses that are mentioned in your report.

primary reason for this letter relates to the UDRP and its applicability to CentralNic's registrants. In your report you have made the following recommendation:

*"1. With regard to the use of the ISO 3166 code elements in the currently existing gTLDs, we do not express any opinion on the question of whether use should be forbidden retroactively. However, the persons or entities who have registered these codes and accept registrations of names under them should be encouraged to take measures to render the UDRP applicable to these registrations to ensure the proper and prompt implementation of decisions transferring or canceling the registrations resulting from the UDRP."*

As the chairman of CentralNic I would like to confirm to you our agreement in principle to the adoption of your UDRP. There are a number of issues that we would like to discuss:

The first is one that I believe my colleagues at Nominet UK (of which I am also a director) have also brought up with WIPO. Both Nominet UK and CentralNic have traditionally used informal mediation in the dispute arena. To date this has resolved around 80% of cases, saving all concerned considerable legal costs and enhancing our reputations. The UDRP provides no opportunity for such informal mediation. I would wish to offer this possibility as a phase that disputants can choose to go through prior to entering the UDRP. I hope that ultimately WIPO will adopt this as an integral part of your DRP.

The second is the question of retrospective application. We can re-word our terms and conditions to bind future registrants to a DRP but I am doubtful about, and indeed uncomfortable with applying such a major change retrospectively. I would prefer to offer the UDRP to all our current registrants and change their contracts to make it mandatory on renewal - a two-yearly process at present.

The third is more in the way of a proposal. As you may know CentralNic has a strong interest in the legal issues surrounding the ownership of Domain Names. Cathy Horton, our Legal Director gave a presentation at RIPE 38 of a legal platform for giving registrants a stronger and more reliable grasp and control over their domain names. One of the problems faced by the intellectual property community is that they may hold a trademark or brand name in multiple jurisdictions and are forced to fight cybersquatting cases in several jurisdictions (cybersquatting is much more effective if the perpetrator holds a "set" of domains - com, net, org, co.uk, uk.com, and so on).

It is our view that UDRP would be very much more effective if it could apply to all gTLD's and ccTLD's and private registries. We would strongly support the development of such a universal procedure that gathered together the "best" of the various dispute resolution systems. This is an activity which WIPO and CENTR could jointly undertake. The acceptance of such a universal policy could be written into the long-awaited ICANN-ccTLD contracts.

I would be happy to discuss these matters with you in the spirit of wishing to place Centra1Nic in harmony with WIPO's excellent initiative to regulate a somewhat turbulent area.

Yours sincerely,

Stephen Dyer

Chairman  
CentralNic



From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: Re: CentralNic, Stephen Dyer letter ... WIPO Current view, of CentralNic?  
Date: 15 June, 2012 12:43:39 PM EDT  
To: Erik Wilbers <erik.wilbers@wipo.int>, Francis Gurry <francis.gurry@wipo.int>  
Cc: ICANN <icann@icann.org>, bart.boswinkel@icann.org



Hi Erik & Francis: cc ICANN & Bart:

Further to my earlier inquires, regarding CentralNic.

Please be advised that I still require statistics, for the domains they market and your team offers services for.

You'll see at this page > <http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/domains/statistics/> < a drop down box, illustrating the following, taken from source code ...

```
<!--<a href="/amc/en/domains/statistics/domains.jsp">Total number of domain names by year</a></!-->
<!--<a href="/amc/en/domains/statistics/domains_avg.jsp">Average number of domain names per case by year</a></!-->
<!--<form action="/amc/en/domains/statistics/domains_tld.jsp" method="get">
gTLD by year
<select name="tld">
<option value="" selected> *** Select gTLD *** </option>
<option value="aero">aero</option>
<option value="asia">asia</option>
<option value="biz">biz</option>
<option value="cat">cat</option>
<option value="com">com</option>
<option value="coop">coop</option>
<option value="edu">edu</option>
<option value="info">info</option>
<option value="mob">mob</option>
<option value="museum">museum</option>
<option value="name">name</option>
<option value="net">net</option>
<option value="org">org</option>
<option value="pro">pro</option>
<option value="tel">tel</option>
<option value="travel">travel</option>
<option value="xxx">xxx</option>
```

On this page > <http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/domains/gtld/index.html> < WIPO graces CentralNic, with a presence as > **"Domain Name Dispute Resolution Service for Generic Top-Level Domains"** with the statement **"Registry-Specific Policies and Procedures"** showing all the sanctioned ICANN / IANA domains, with CentralNic, at the end, stating **"CentralNic Third-Level Domain Name Registrations"** which is followed by **"Country Code Top-Level Domains"** where a link is furnished many of the ICANN / IANA sanctioned ccTLD, noticing that Nominet is absent, as they do their own thing, in / for the UK.

Because you've lumped CentralNic in with the proper **"Generic Top-Level Domains"**, with a small statement, shifting them away, down & into 3rd, I'd expect WIPO to have them suitably represented, in this same list, of services deliberated and requiring statistical data, denoting them in the same drop-down box, as a 3rd, as follows ...

```
<option value="uk.com">uk.com</option>
<option value="eu.com">eu.com</option>
```

Now, you (should) know that the .com space they sub-divide is governed by / from the United States Federal District Court, Eastern District, Alexandria, given that the ICANN / IANA appointed "Registry" of VeriSign is located there, in addition to Network Solutions, who are the "Registrar" of both the domain names of [uk.com](http://uk.com) and also their [eu.com](http://eu.com) .

I understand that CentralNic runs their servers from Holland, > <http://www.robtex.com/dns/uk.com.html#all> < look at the location of the servers, for the IP #'s (behind or under the United Kingdom) on the RIPE system! However, that in my opinion, does not remove it from the base point, of .com, in the United States.

VeriSign & ICANN are (I believe) aware of the circumstances of cases / disputes raised, in part; because the ICANN requirement to VeriSign, is to have monthly reports > <http://www.icann.org/en/about/agreements/registries/verisign/appendix-04-01apr10-en.htm> < which shows ...

- 29 ~ transfer-disputed-won ~ number of transfer disputes in which this registrar prevailed
- 30 ~ transfer-disputed-lost ~ number of transfer disputes this registrar lost
- 31 ~ transfer-disputed-noddecision ~ number of transfer disputes involving this registrar with a split or no decision

I'm guessing ... that the domain name of [uk.com](http://uk.com) would keep appearing as / under **"ID:CNIC"** for ICANN, via Network Solution, their "Registrar" and VeriSign, the "Registry" ... and I suspect that the "-DO659590" is perhaps the part routing / connecting the other Landcruise, with their domain system in Holland. <∞> Herein I'm guessing; and am no doubt somewhere close to accurate.

All that aside, I do request that you have your statistical data page accurately communicate data, for all the services you've generated revenue from, deliberating on their behalf, since the relationship began.

At your earliest convenience, I'll look forward to receiving the requested data, so I can present it in Virginia.

**BART & ICANN > Perhaps you'll be helpful; and oblige WIPO to furnish the requested details.**

Thank you very much for your help & consideration.

Cheers,

Graham Schreiber.

Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)

Vancouver: 1.604.227.1610  
Calgary: 1.587.333.4620  
Edmonton: 1.780.666.1580  
Toronto: 1.416.803.4678  
Halifax: 1.902.800.1740

On 2012-05-25, at 12:57 PM, Graham Schreiber wrote:

Hi Erik & Francis: cc ICANN & Bart:

Further to my earlier inquiry with your organization, regarding the specific – statistical facts, regarding CentralNic – and their portfolio of, in my opinion, “Infringing” ... “Contributory Infringing” and/or “Dilutive” non cc.NSO domain names, which are posing as “legal” ICANN sanctioned, “.com” products, as run by the Registry of VeriSign.

As of yet, I’ve received no information, as requested, and having just plodded along and found a resent quote, from Francis, which is in part what I’m seeking, I’m once again motivated to follow up.

*“Press conference of Mr. Francis Gurry, Director General of WIPO, March 5, 2012, Palais des nations, Geneva, Switzerland.*

*The press release can be found at [www.wipo.int](http://www.wipo.int) In 2011, trademark holders filed a record 2764 cybersquatting cases covering 4781 domain names with the WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center (WIPO Center) under procedures based on the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP), an increase of 2.5% and 9.4% over the previous highest levels in 2010 and 2009, respectively.*

*Meanwhile, with plans by the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) for an expansion in the number of new generic Top Level Domains (gTLDs) underway in 2012, the WIPO Center recently rolled out resources for pre-emptive measures to prevent the abusive use of trademarks as gTLDs.”*

I look forward to receiving the information requested

Cheers, Graham

On 2012-05-16, at 4:43 PM, Graham Schreiber wrote:

Hi Eric & Francis: cc ICANN & Bart Boswinkel:

Thanks for the reply. Sorry to be a bother, however, I don’t feel as though my question poses a conflict for your team, as I’m asking for **pure statistical data!**

**Statistical data, does not imply a “position”** ... What it does, is illustrate an answer, based on the requesting of certain in-put variables, yielding an out-put, over a protracted period of time, such as one (1) decade = ten (10) years of in-put data, which should suffice a fair, accurate, clear and unbiased profile, or statement.

Your searchable data forms, are very good; however, they are unable to select or receive, the variables I’m searching for, which again, are for domain name endings with .uk.com, .eu.com, etc, as loosing to domain names with an “original” .com designation!

Granted, I did retrieve & send, communication wherein the founder of CentralNic was trying to justify his firms position, in a letter to your Director of the day, who remains in that prominent position, currently. All that link states, or shows, is that there was once a concern expressed by WIPO, and a position justified by the then owner of CentralNic and that before asking random questions, I’ve done some sound research, to establish if my inquiry has any deeper or greater merit.

Please will you secure the purely statistical, unbiased, and factual data, as derived from WIPO’s – win & loose – balance sheet, or help me use your online tool, to quickly access the answer.

Should WIPO truly wish to “safeguard the protection of trademark & other rights in the DNS” ... Perhaps it would be a worthwhile endeavour for WIPO, to revisit the concerns expressed 11 years ago, then seek out the answer(s) deliverable via statistics.

FYI ... I’m not pursuing either [Landcruise.uk.com](http://Landcruise.uk.com) or CentralNic via your service; simply because it is not the court of “Mutual Jurisdiction”.

Herein you’ll see that “Mutual Jurisdiction” is the United States, where the various domain names are registered; and the Registry, of .com, is also conveniently located.

1. My [Landcruise.com](http://Landcruise.com) > Bought from Network Solutions & hosted there too, since 2006.
2. Fraud - [Landcruise.uk.com](http://Landcruise.uk.com) > Bought from Enom, in Washington State, USA.
3. [UK.com](http://UK.com) > Bought from Network Solution, Virginia, USA.
4. The “.com” governed by VeriSign, in Virginia, USA.

I’ve sent ICANN / Bart Boswinkel a “cc” of my reply, as they too, maybe interested in the ... raw statistical data, concerning this non accredited portfolio, of dilutive & contributory infringing [sub.com](http://sub.com)’s ... which are outside the “legal” ccNSO system.

Your help is sincerely appreciated.

Cheers, Graham

On 2012-05-16, at 2:45 PM, Wilbers, Erik wrote:

Dear Mr. Schreiber,

This responds to below communication and the various other communications which the Director General of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and colleagues in the WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center have been receiving from you in recent days in relation to CentralNic.

WIPO develops and administers administrative dispute resolution procedures for use in the Domain Name System (DNS) in order to help safeguard the protection of trademark and other rights in the DNS. This work does not imply a WIPO position on the domain spaces to which such procedures apply, including on the specific questions which you present to this Organization in relation to CentralNic.

With best wishes,

Erik Wilbers  
Director  
WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center

---

**From:** Graham Schreiber [mailto:[graham\\_schreiber@landcruise.com](mailto:graham_schreiber@landcruise.com)]  
**Sent:** mardi, 15. mai 2012 17:30  
**To:** Gurry, Francis  
**Cc:** Wilbers, Erik  
**Subject:** Re: CentralNic, Stephen Dyer letter ... WIPO Current view, of CentralNic?

Hi Erik & Francis:

Here is a file I found driven by ICANN, with observation from your team, some of your views.

While it’s addressing the new .Brand domains, some of the problem elements are similar too the problems created by CentralNic, except with the Sub-Level domains diluted from VeriSign’s “.com” they’ve erected a reverse infringement, behind the “.com” and further behind their “.uk”



From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: Re: CentralNic, Stephen Dyer letter ... WIPO Current view, of CentralNic?  
Date: 25 May, 2012 12:57:18 PM EDT  
To: Erik Wilbers <erik.wilbers@wipo.int>, Francis Gurry <francis.gurry@wipo.int>  
Cc: ICANN <icann@icann.org>, bart.boswinkel@icann.org



Hi Erik & Francis: cc ICANN & Bart:

Further to my earlier inquiry with your organization, regarding the specific ~ statistical facts, regarding CentralNic ~ and their portfolio of, in my opinion, "Infringing" ... "Contributory Infringing" and or "Dilutive" non cc.NSO domain names, which are posing as 'legal' ICANN sanctioned, '.com' products, as run by the Registry of VeriSign.

As of yet, I've received no information, as requested; and having just plodded along and found a resent quote, from Francis, which is in part what I'm seeking, I'm once again motivated to follow up.

*\*Press conference of Mr. Francis Gurry, Director General of WIPO. March 5, 2012. Palais des nations, Geneva, Switzerland.*

*The press release can be found at [www.wipo.int](http://www.wipo.int) In 2011, trademark holders filed a record 2764 cybersquatting cases covering 4781 domain names with the WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center (WIPO Center) under procedures based on the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP), an increase of 2.5% and 9.4% over the previous highest levels in 2010 and 2009, respectively.*

*Meanwhile, with plans by the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) for an expansion in the number of new generic Top Level Domains (gTLDs) underway in 2012, the WIPO Center recently rolled out resources for pre-emptive measures to prevent the abusive use of trademarks as gTLDs."*

I look forward to receiving the information requested.

Cheers, Graham.

On 2012-05-16, at 4:43 PM, Graham Schreiber wrote:

Hi Eric & Francis: cc ICANN & Bart Boswinkel:

Thanks for the reply. Sorry to be a bother, however, I don't feel as though my question poses a conflict for your team, as I'm asking for **pure statistical data!**

**Statistical data, does not imply a "position"** ... What it does, is illustrate an answer, based on the requesting of certain in-put variables, yielding an out-put, over a protracted period of time, such as one (1) decade = ten (10) years of in-put data, which should suffice a fair, accurate, clear and unbiased profile, or statement.

Your searchable data forms, are very good; however, they are unable to select or receive, the variables I'm searching for, which again, are for domain name endings with .uk.com, .eu.com, etc, as loosing to domain names with an "original" .com designation!

Granted; I did retrieve & send, communication wherein the founder of CentralNic was trying to justify his firms position, in a letter to your Director of the day, who remains in that prominent position, currently. All that link states, or shows, is that there was once a concern expressed by WIPO; and a position justified by the then owner of CentralNic and that before asking random questions, I've done some sound research, to establish if my inquiry has any deeper or greater merit.

Please will you secure the purely statistical, unbiased; and factual data, as derived from WIPO's ~ win & loose ~ balance sheet, or help me use your online tool, to quickly access the answer.

Should WIPO truly wish to "safeguard the protection of trademark & other rights in the DNS" ... Perhaps it would be a worthwhile endeavour for WIPO, to revisit the concerns expressed 11 years ago, then seek out the answer(s) deliverable via statistics.

FYI ... I'm not pursuing either [Landcruise.uk.com](http://Landcruise.uk.com) or CentralNic via your service; simply because it is not the court of "Mutual Jurisdiction".

Herein you'll see that "Mutual Jurisdiction" is the United States, where the various domain names are registered; and the Registry, of .com, is also conveniently located.

1. My [Landcruise.com](http://Landcruise.com) > Bought from Network Solutions & hosted there too, since 2006.
2. Fraud - [Landcruise.uk.com](http://Landcruise.uk.com) > Bought from Enom, in Washington State, USA.
3. [UK.com](http://UK.com) > Bought from Network Solution, Virginia, USA.
4. The ".com" governed by VeriSign, in Virginia, USA.

I've sent ICANN / Bart Boswinkel a "cc" of my reply, as they too, maybe interested in the ... raw statistical data, concerning this non accredited portfolio, of dilutive & contributory infringing, [sub.com](http://sub.com)'s ... which are outside the 'legal' ccNSO system.

Your help is sincerely appreciated.

Cheers, Graham.

On 2012-05-16, at 2:45 PM, Wilbers, Erik wrote:

Dear Mr. Schreiber,

From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: Re: CentralNic, Stephen Dyer letter ... WIPO Current view, of CentralNic?  
Date: 16 May, 2012 4:43:44 PM EDT  
To: Erik Wilbers <erik.wilbers@wipo.int>, Francis Gurry <francis.gurry@wipo.int>  
Cc: ICANN <icann@icann.org>, bart.boswinkel@icann.org



Hi Eric & Francis: cc ICANN & Bart Boswinkel:

Thanks for the reply. Sorry to be a bother, however, I don't feel as though my question poses a conflict for your team, as I'm asking for **pure statistical data!**

**Statistical data, does not imply a "position"** ... What it does, is illustrate an answer, based on the requesting of certain in-put variables, yielding an out-put, over a protracted period of time, such as one (1) decade = ten (10) years of in-put data, which should suffice a fair, accurate, clear and unbiased profile, or statement.

Your searchable data forms, are very good; however, they are unable to select or receive, the variables I'm searching for, which again, are for domain name endings with .uk.com, .eu.com, etc, as loosing to domain names with an "original" .com designation!

Granted; I did retrieve & send, communication wherein the founder of CentralNic was trying to justify his firms position, in a letter to your Director of the day, who remains in that prominent position, currently. All that link states, or shows, is that there was once a concern expressed by WIPO; and a position justified by the then owner of CentralNic and that before asking random questions, I've done some sound research, to establish if my inquiry has any deeper or greater merit.

Please will you secure the purely statistical, unbiased; and factual data, as derived from WIPO's ~ win & loose ~ balance sheet, or help me use your online tool, to quickly access the answer.

Should WIPO truly wish to "*safeguard the protection of trademark & other rights in the DNS*" ... Perhaps it would be a worthwhile endeavour for WIPO, to revisit the concerns expressed 11 years ago, then seek out the answer(s) deliverable via statistics.

FYI ... I'm not pursuing either [Landcruise.uk.com](http://Landcruise.uk.com) or CentralNic via your service; simply because it is not the court of "Mutual Jurisdiction".

Herein you'll see that "Mutual Jurisdiction" is the United States, where the various domain names are registered; and the Registry, of .com, is also conveniently located.

1. My [Landcruise.com](http://Landcruise.com) > Bought from Network Solutions & hosted there too, since 2006.
2. Fraud - [Landcruise.uk.com](http://Landcruise.uk.com) > Bough from Enom, in Washington State, USA.
3. [UK.com](http://UK.com) > Bought from Network Solution, Virginia, USA.
4. The ".com" governed by VeriSign, in Virginia, USA.

I've sent ICANN / Bart Boswinkel a "cc" of my reply, as they too, maybe interested in the ... raw statistical data, concerning this non accredited portfolio, of dilutive & contributory infringing, [sub.com](http://sub.com)'s ... which are outside the 'legal' ccNSO system.

Your help is sincerely appreciated.

Cheers, Graham.

On 2012-05-16, at 2:45 PM, Wilbers, Erik wrote:

Dear Mr. Schreiber,

This responds to below communication and the various other communications which the Director General of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and colleagues in the WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center have been receiving from you in recent days in relation to CentralNic.

WIPO develops and administers administrative dispute resolution procedures for use in the Domain Name System (DNS) in order to help safeguard the protection of trademark and other rights in the DNS. This work does not imply a WIPO position on the domain spaces to which such procedures apply, including on the specific questions which you present to this Organization in relation to CentralNic.

With best wishes,

Erik Wilbers  
Director  
WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center

---

**From:** Graham Schreiber [mailto:graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com]  
**Sent:** mardi, 15. mai 2012 17:30  
**To:** Gurry, Francis  
**Cc:** Wilbers, Erik  
**Subject:** Re: CentralNic, Stephen Dyer letter ... WIPO Current view, of CentralNic?

Hi Erik & Francis:

Here is a file I found driven by ICANN, with observation from your team, some of your views.

While it's addressing the new .Brand domains, some of the problem elements are similar too the problems created by CentralNic, except with the Sub-Level domains diluted from VeriSign's ".com" they've erected a reverse infringement, behind the ".com" and further behind their ".uk."

These remarks illustrate to me, that CentralNic are profoundly guilty of "Contributory Infringement" and could almost be accused of "Direct Infringement" because they actively solicit this sort of business.

ICANN Document:

World Intellectual Property Organization Disclaimer:

This electronic message may contain privileged, confidential and copyright protected information. If you have received this e-mail by mistake, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail and all its attachments. Please ensure all e-mail attachments are scanned for viruses prior to opening or using.

Graham Schreiber.

Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)

Vancouver: 1.604.227.1610  
Calgary: 1.587.333.4620  
Edmonton: 1.780.666.1580  
Toronto: 1.416.803.4678  
Halifax: 1.902.800.1740

From: "Wilbers, Erik" <erik.wilbers@wipo.int>  
Subject: CentralNic, Stephen Dyer letter ... WIPO Current view, of CentralNic?  
Date: 16 May, 2012 2:45:42 PM EDT  
To: "Graham Schreiber" <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>

Dear Mr. Schreiber,

This responds to below communication and the various other communications which the Director General of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and colleagues in the WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center have been receiving from you in recent days in relation to CentralNic.

WIPO develops and administers administrative dispute resolution procedures for use in the Domain Name System (DNS) in order to help safeguard the protection of trademark and other rights in the DNS. This work does not imply a WIPO position on the domain spaces to which such procedures apply, including on the specific questions which you present to this Organization in relation to CentralNic.

With best wishes,

Erik Wilbers  
Director  
WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center

---

From: Graham Schreiber [mailto:graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com]  
Sent: mardi, 15. mai 2012 17:30  
To: Gurry, Francis  
Cc: Wilbers, Erik  
Subject: Re: CentralNic, Stephen Dyer letter ... WIPO Current view, of CentralNic?

Hi Erik & Francis:

Here is a file I found driven by ICANN, with observation from your team, some of your views.

While it's addressing the new .Brand domains, some of the problem elements are similar too the problems created by CentralNic, except with the Sub-Level domains diluted from VeriSign's ".com" they've erected a reverse infringement, behind the ".com" and further behind their ".uk."

These remarks illustrate to me, that CentralNic are profoundly guilty of "Contributory Infringement" and could almost be accused of "Direct Infringement" because they actively solicit this sort of business.

ICANN Document:

World Intellectual Property Organization Disclaimer:

This electronic message may contain privileged, confidential and copyright protected information. If you have received this e-mail by mistake, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail and all its attachments. Please ensure all e-mail attachments are scanned for viruses prior to opening or using.

From: Graham Schreiber <graham\_schreiber@landcruise.com>  
Subject: CentralNic, Stephen Dyer letter ... WIPO Current view, of CentralNic?  
Date: 14 May, 2012 9:46:31 AM EDT  
To: francis.gurry@wipo.int



Dear Mr. Gurry:

I'm researching the business of "CentralNic" and I would appreciate knowing WIPO's current position of this firm.

Here is a letter, to you from Stephen Dyer > <http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/proc/proc2/hic/hic3/comments/msg00000.html> < which I appreciate is a rather old document, being in it's 11th year since composition; however, from this benchmark, have WIPO regretted endorsing this firm?

CentralNic, I speculate must be disruptive to the greater good of the Internet; as I notice that WIPO has created a dedicated process for them at > <http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/domains/otid/cnic/index.html> <

How do WIPO's current views differ from the concerns stated 11 years ago, regarding the spurious nature & intent of CentralNic, by virtue of diluted domain names of / under / with ".com" ?

Currently their pitch is > *CentralNic's domains provide an alternative to the existing Top Level Domains (TLDs) and Country Code Top Level Domains (ccTLDs), allowing the creation of a simultaneously local and global Internet identity.* As you'll know, CentralNic **are not** members of the ccNSO ~ Country Code Names Supporting Organization, although they continue to represent, or elude to their prospects, such as ".uk.com" are being included as acceptable.

CentralNic is ICANN accredited; however, that honour does not include the licence or an endorsement of their own stream of sub-level ccTLD domains, in association with / under / of ".com" .

What are the statistics related to CentralNic's products, when comparing their ccTLD against business using a previously 'registered' domain name, under a VeriSign ".com" ? I've searched for the ~ exact ~ answers, using your various search tools, including > <http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/domains/search/legalindex.jsp> < which are not able to isolate the specific data related to "uk.com" violations against ".com" ~ 1st in use and infringement, etc.

PLEASE will you secure this information for me.

I have on file an ICANN document > <http://archive.icann.org/en/dnsco/old/additionalpage.htm> < where CentralNic & Nomination, are represented as two business interests, although both are of / with Mr. Dyer, in the past. ( Nomination Limited > <http://companycheck.co.uk/company/03189764> and CentralNic Limited > <http://companiesintheuk.co.uk/td/centralnic> ) which shows "Private Registries Constituency Group. May 21, 1999" .... so this activity is not new or unknown.

Continuing with this same inquiry; please could you tell me what advice WIPO have publicly furnished to ICANN / IANA, Network Solutions, VeriSign and others, regarding CentralNic; and any advice that perhaps the United States Federal District Court, in Virginia may need to know, regarding CentralNic.

I look forward to receiving your feedback.

Graham Schreiber.

Landcruise Ltd. > [www.landcruise.com](http://www.landcruise.com)

Vancouver: 1.604.227.1610  
Calgary: 1.587.333.4620  
Edmonton: 1.780.666.1580  
Toronto: 1.416.803.4678  
Halifax: 1.902.800.1740